

Submitter: Andrew Meyer
On Behalf Of: 2nd Amendment
Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary
Measure: SB348

I would like to make a suggestion to you on the use and likely misuse of SB 348. Your premise is to study the unlawful ownership of firearms. While your history in Oregon can be said to create laws that mostly harm, hinder and make otherwise hellish the lives of law abiding gun owners, I'd like to suggest a more logical and perhaps more effective approach to fight crime. It's simple really. Lock up criminals. Actions should have real life consequences. Stop releasing and coddling bad behavior. Make the consequences to stealing, looting, murder, threatening and violent behavior strong enough to distinctivise criminal behavior. Maybe slow down on that so those who hear about how easy street it is stop migrating here to join in on the action. Portland's demise is the most obvious example to point out to an Oregon Senator. I live in Hillsboro and will avoid stopping in any big city in Oregon especially Portland.

Anyway, before you take this placeholder 348 to gut and stuff land and fill it with more potential gun restrictions you want to befall the law abiding Oregonians, how about addressing the criminals. The ones you have allowed to go scot-free to repeat their crimes. Let's do that to avoid maintaining all these records in murder and theft mounting up under your watch. When someone is allowed to walk into my local grocery store and steal under \$900 of merchandise (including beer) and not be arrested, I'm calling that tragic mis-justice.

Actually enforce the laws we have, hire more police and let them get ILEAGLE guns off the street and leave us honest gun owners alone. What you are really doing is making honest citizens like me criminals with these bad laws. Quit criminalizing honest people and frivolously spending our tax dollars on keeping criminals out of prison.