

Submitter: Derek Becker  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary  
Measure: SB348

Members of the Committee,

I oppose SB 348 on legal and Constitutional grounds. First, the implementation of Measure 114 has been halted by the State court pending the outcome of a trial in September. The outcome of that trial is likely to be appealed to the Oregon Supreme Court, the Ninth Circuit Court and, perhaps, even the Supreme Court of the United States. If passed with either of the -1 amendments, SB 348 will also likely be placed on hold pending the outcome of the court cases. 2nd, In New York State Rifle & Pistol Association (NYSRPA) v. Bruen (2022), the Supreme Court disavowed the use of all “means-end” tests when interpreting a Second Amendment challenge and stated that if a government wishes to place restrictions on firearm ownership it must “affirmatively prove that its firearms regulation is part of the historical tradition that delimits the outer bounds of the right to keep and bear arms” (excerpt from Cornell Law School).” 3rd, passing and attempting to implement this bad legislation is tantamount to telling the Supreme Court that whatever they decide does not apply in Oregon. Finally, the 2nd Amendment says what it says and doesn't say what it doesn't say, specifically “...Shall not be infringed.” and this bill represents a real and present infringement.

Do not let SB 348 pass.

Respectfully,