

Submitter: Curtis Schulz
On Behalf Of:
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary
Measure: HB2005

On Behalf Of: The Second Amendment
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary
Measure: HB2005

The right of the people to keep and bear arms, enshrined in the Constitution's Second Amendment, is centered not on hunting or sport shooting but on the natural right of self-defense. It gives "teeth" to the promises of liberty, ensuring that attempts to reduce our natural rights to mere dead letters may be met with meaningful resistance.

Just like the Constitution protects the broad concept of "speech" instead of particular modes of speech, it protects "arms" as a general concept of weaponry. The idea was not to protect a specific type of weapon, like a musket, any more than the idea of the First Amendment was to protect a specific mode of speech, like a quill pen or printing press. That is in large part because the Framers of our Constitution and the people who ratified it knew that while technology and circumstances would undoubtedly change in unanticipated ways, these broader concepts of self-defense and free speech would remain vital to a free society.

This bill would make fellows out of law-abiding citizens who followed the previous law, and built their own firearms. Don Powers, whom I quoted above had it correct. This bill is against the right to self defense.

Oppose.
Curt Schulz
Gresham, OR