

Submitter: Lyn Boniface  
On Behalf Of: The people of Oregon  
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary  
Measure: HB2006

Dear Judiciary Committee:

I vehemently oppose HB2006.

It is unconstitutional on its face.

You are selectively infringing the individual rights of adults who are of a certain age bracket while at the same time you are exempting anyone serving in law enforcement or the armed forces "while on active duty". People in this country who have attained the age of 18 can execute contracts, buy and sell homes, vote, join the military and go to war and possibly die for you and your family.

So let me get this straight, it is okay to use a firearm if a person is 18, 19 or 20 and in the armed forces but that person is not old enough to own a firearm of their own? Oh but someone even under the age of 16 is mature enough in their thinking to decide to have life altering gender reassignment surgery??? Do you see how ludicrous your thinking is???

If you pass this law you need to also pass laws forbidding anyone under 21 years of age from voting, entering into any contracts, joining the military, serving in law enforcement or making any medical decisions without their parents consent. You cannot have it both ways.

This is another feel good bill that will do nothing to stem what you term as "gun violence" (which by the way there is no such thing – guns are inanimate objects and as such cannot themselves be violent). Prosecute the laws that are on the books against committing crimes and it is a win-win for everyone.

Oh and just in case you were unaware this very same thing was struck down by a federal judge in August of 2022 in Firearm's Policy Coalition v Mc Craw.

I keep hoping there are some reasonable, logical democrats who can think for themselves and not just follow along blindly with the agenda. I understand that is quite a lot to hope for but hope springs eternal.

If you pass this it will end up in court and, based upon the Texas case, will be found to be unconstitutional.