

I'm opposed to HB 2007.

This bill continues the unfounded drumbeat that CHL holders are causing havoc throughout the state when nothing is further from the truth.

“the grounds adjacent to each such building” is so open, and ripe, for interpretation (and abuse). For instance, a public sidewalk around the building? How about if you step off the sidewalk onto the grass to let a large group pass?

Buildings are easy. They have an identifiable ingress and egress points.

As for allowing “everybody else” to ban CHL holders – a city, county, district as defined in ORS 198.010 or any other entity that falls within the definition of “municipal corporation”. Realize that Tri-Met is a “municipal corporation”.

Just guess what's going to happen.

This bill is trying to re-balkanize Oregon, which the CHL was created to eliminate. It was created specifically to allow Oregonians to go about their daily activities while carrying concealed.

It doesn't make sense for the State of Oregon to grant an Oregonian a license to engage in an activity, yet allow non-state actors to deny the license holder the ability to use it.