

I'm opposed to the following aspects of HB 2006.

1. It removes the ability of a 18 to 20 year old the ability to hunt.
The bill appears to allow the transfer of a firearm to a minor. But a 18 to 20 year old is not a minor.
And if a parent (assuming one still lives) could transfer a firearm to a 18 to 20 year old during hunting with them, would a parent want the extra legal exposure, because their offspring is no longer a minor?
2. Appears to remove the ability of an 18 to 20 year old to target practice with family/friends that are older than 20 years.
3. It unfairly disadvantages 18 to 20 year old individuals who purchased their firearms in good faith.

If you must persist with this idea that 18 to 20 year old's with rifles are a scourge then this bill should be changed to change when it applies. For instance, it applies to anyone who's under 18 years old on the day the bill is signed into law.