

Submitter: John Oswald  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary  
Measure: HB2006

HB2006

I am OPPOSED to this nonsensical and unconstitutional bill. There are no ideological, tangible, scientific, social, societal, empirical, or documented benefits from this bill. It also makes no sense in the exceptions it makes for single-shots, double-barrels, etc., but is rather a torturous, hodge-podge, overly complicated, illogical, and silly attempt to undermine the 2nd Amendment and incrementally deprive adult citizens, one small step at a time, of their constitutional right to bear arms, for all lawful reasons including self defense, which SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED. It shows the bill's sponsors' ignorance of the basis and intent of the 2nd Amendment and guns in general and their fear of 18-21 year olds (which is odd in light of leftist's attempts to lower the voting age to 16, unless one realizes that leftists are counting on the success of their systematic indoctrination of our youth).

What is the difference between an 18 year old and a 21 year old, relative to gun ownership (specifically, pistols or semi-automatic rifles or shotguns)?

Why would my 21 year old daughter be allowed to own and defend herself with a pistol, but not my 20 year old daughter? Do you have daughters? Do you have 20 year old sons (fully trained, sane, competent, mature, law abiding adults) that have been hunting and sport shooting and capable of defending their home and person for years, and currently own (potentially prohibited) guns, and are currently legally allowed to open carry in Oregon, that would become criminals overnight?

The problem with illegal guns and illegal gun violence is criminals. Criminals possess guns illegally and do illegal things with guns - guns of any type or ammunition capacity. Why don't you focus on the problem? Taking guns away from non-criminals is not a solution. It is a gun grab disguised as a solution to a straw man.