

Submitter: L Houlihan

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Climate, Energy, and Environment

Measure: HB3152

1000% Oppose this Bill for more reasons then I can possibly state in the space allowed here.

We have been told for decades that Natural Gas is a clean source of energy. Now all of a sudden it is not????

Why are so many major cities using Natural Gas to fuel their Buses ??? Better for the environment because it burns clean, more efficient ,naturally renewable, more cost effective, according to the experts.

Natural Gas is a more effective and efficient way to heat, cook, use for back up electric source and more for residential utility customers, without an energy burden. Then electricity which would be the only alternative.

Solar power is outrageously expensive making it unaffordable for a large population of residential utility customers. Totally inefficient, inadequate, produces very small amounts of energy especially in the northwest and puts a HUGE energy burden on residential utility customers. It is also been shown to have a very negative impact on wildlife. We hear advertisements that the government will pay for solar on your home. Look into it, that is a BIG LIE. Don't try to fool us the residential utility customer pays for it one way or another.

To use Solar Power at night you need very expensive batteries that are more damaging to the environment to make, not recyclable, known to catch fire easily that are attached to your house. or rely on the Electric Company. Once again a burden.

Wind power is outrageously expensive, It takes more fossil fuel, natural resources then it energy that can ever be produce. The materials can not be recycled. As we saw in Texas during a bad winter storm they froze up, thus produced NO POWER. People DIED because of this bad form of energy. Birds fly into them, they die. These wind towers catch on fire often and fall to the ground. They are now useless, now the burden is how do you dispose of this large environmentally unsafe structure. It make NO LOGICALSENSE at all to use this option.

The Electric power companies, infrastructure is already being taxed, cost wise much more expensive then Natural Gas. They use lot of fossil fuels to produce electricity that is proven to be more damaging to the environment then Natural Gas. ie - as mentioned above the Buses in large cities. and Electric Car recharging stations in

some areas that use large gas generators to charge the cars. The whole reason for these cars is to not use fossil fuel. Makes NO SENSE.

So called greenhouse gases exist in nature. Natural Gas is scientifically lower in emissions than other sources of fuel. It makes SENSE to expand the use of Natural Gas not eliminate or reduce it.

If Natural Gas is such a concern for the STATE OF OREGON. Why is Governor Tina Kotax currently in the process of installing a \$300,000.00 Natural Gas Generator System in the Governor's mansion with a 500 gallon propane tank??? Check her twitter account she posted a tweet about it. Makes NO SENSE.

If Natural Gas is such a concern for the STATE OF OREGON. Why is this measure only targeting residential utility customers? Why not Businesses, Industries and Government, all Commercial utility customers??? Clearly a distinction between customer bases. That is Discrimination. Description - discrimination, the intended or accomplished differential treatment of persons or groups for reasons of certain generalized traits.

This bill is also, unconditional and infringes on "We the Peoples" FREEDOM and rights to choose. Which makes this Bill null & void.

Government exists to do the will of the PEOPLE with the consent of the PEOPLE. Government exists to serve the PEOPLE. The PEOPLE do not serve the Government.

This is all backwards and must STOP.

The PEOPLE have not CONSENTED to this backward agenda. This action DOES NOT serve the PEOPLE. This action WILL NOT solve the issue government alleges. Science is very clear about this. Many Experts say that this Greenhouse Gas issue is a LIE. I agree

VOTE NO