

Submitter: John SCHARF
On Behalf Of: Competence and Sanity
Committee: Senate Committee On Energy and Environment
Measure: SB546

This is, at best, a federal matter. YOU do not have legal or moral authority to limit the use of any substance. Creating a list to use for prohibition is a malfeasance and misappropriation of money you take without our consent. In the companion bill, House Bill 3213, you prohibit animal testing and in its definition, state: (1) "Animal" means a live, nonhuman vertebrate.

So, what you are advocating, is its testing on humans. I will assume anyone who approves this bill is volunteering for human testing. It will go on your permanent record. Your brave submission to supplant rats in experimentation to determine the toxicity of cosmetics is laudable, but this also includes all liability for your health and those who actually need to use these and then cite federal sources:

21 U.S. Code § 321:

"The term "cosmetic" means (1) articles intended to be rubbed, poured, sprinkled, or sprayed on, introduced into, or otherwise applied to the human body or any part thereof for cleansing, beautifying, promoting attractiveness, or altering the appearance, and (2) articles intended for use as a component of any such articles; except that such term shall not include soap."

Food, "for the purpose of promoting attractiveness" can be "...introduced into, or otherwise applied to the human body..." So, if I take Vitamin A, YOU are going to make Oregon accountable for the the consequences. I also drink more water to promote attractiveness. Does Flint, MI, Camp Lejeune, sound familiar? Are you ready to take responsibility for the mercury in the form of cinnabar which the water of Salem is exposed to? That which you regulate you assume moral, if not civil and criminal responsibility for.