

**I OPPOSE [SB 531].**

My Opposition to **[SB 531]** is as follows:

I do not accept "open-ended budget allocations." I want to know the program costs so I might be useful to analyze more efficient uses of taxpayer money.

The "after-school programs" have "No" qualified descriptions..I do not see Science Technology Engineering or Math [STEM], reading, writing, music, art, theater classes being offered nor are any of the "Trades (carpentry, welding etc.)."

"...collaboration between community organizations and education providers..." is objectionable for this "collaboration" is vague and ambiguous. Who are these entities and what do they have to further childhood education?

Who exactly are, "... public organizations and community members...?" Looks like a bunch of "Non-profits" lining up to fleece the taxpayers.

No. [SB 531] as written is an abomination and needs to be thrown-away.

A new, comprehensive piece of legislation is to be create to better educate and prepare children for the modern ages they will inherit.

David S. Wall

Mr. Oregon Concurr...**[SB 531] is taxpayer funded childcare. masquerading as "education."**

///  
///