

Open Government Impact Statement

82nd Oregon Legislative Assembly 2023 Regular Session

Measure: SB 517 Only impacts on Original or Engrossed Versions are Considered Official

Prepared by: Date:

SUMMARY

Prohibits licensing board, commission or agency from denying, suspending or revoking occupational or professional license solely for reason that applicant or licensee was convicted of crime or subject to qualifying juvenile adjudication that does not substantially relate to specific duties and responsibilities for which license is required. Specifies criteria for determining whether crime substantially relates to specific duties and responsibilities for which license is required. Specifies additional restrictions on licensing board's, commission's or agency's power to deny occupational or professional license.

Permits person convicted of crime to petition licensing board, commission or agency at any time for determination as to whether conviction will prevent person from receiving occupational or professional license. Permits licensing board, commission or agency to charge reasonable fee for determination. Provides that final determination is binding upon licensing board, commission or agency unless, at time of petition, person has charges pending, failed to disclose previous crime or was convicted of crime after submitting petition. Requires notice to person before final determination that conviction will result in denial of occupational or professional license. Specifies additional rights of person and additional notice requirements.

OPEN GOVERNMENT IMPACT

Legislative Counsel has not adopted standards for drafting measures that establish exemptions from disclosure of public records.

This measure exempts from public disclosure information regarding an applicant's or petitioner's criminal history that a licensing board, commission or agency obtains and uses to make a determination when authorized or required to consider the criminal history, moral character, fitness or similar qualifications of an applicant for a license or a licensee.

If those public records that could be subject to public disclosure were instead subject to mandatory disclosure under public records law, the public could gain information related to criminal background checks conducted on an applicant for a license or a licensee.