



DEFEND TODAY,
SECURE TOMORROW

State and Territory Interoperability Markers: A Review of Interoperability Maturity from FY21 - FY22



Oregon Interoperability Markers Overview

This report summarizes key findings from the annual review of OR Markers performance between FY21 and FY22 to enhance national interoperability maturity.

Marker Assessment

This Marker Assessment summary chart depicts the state or territory self-assessed rating for each Marker by the three scoring categories: Initial, Defined, and Optimized.

Markers are classified as either Initial, Defined, and Optimized to represent a stepped progression to the highest levels of interoperable communication maturity.

Note: Marker 17 is a checklist of technologies the emergency communications governing body is tracking, evaluating, and implementing and is not scored by the three scoring categories.

Reminder


Your Emergency Communications Coordinator can assist with updates to the state or territory assessment at any time throughout the year.

FY22 Oregon Self-Assessment Results


Marker	Initial	Defined	Optimized	Not Scored	National Average
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Initial Defined Optimized | Not Scored National Average

OR Key Findings



of Markers for OR were self-assessed as **Optimized or Defined** in FY22



of Markers for OR showed **improvements from FY21 to FY22**; 67% of Markers had no change and 4% of Markers decreased their ranking

Average Marker Assessment
2.46

Last Year: 2.21

Markers Breakdown

3 Initial
7 Defined
14 Optimized


Markers that increased from FY21 to FY22:

- Marker 9
- Marker 12
- Marker 14
- Marker 19
- Marker 21
- Marker 24
- Marker 25

Markers that decreased from FY21 to FY22:

- Marker 11

CISA | DEFEND TODAY, SECURE TOMORROW



National Interoperability Markers Overview

National findings from the annual review of Markers performance between FY21 and FY22 are highlighted below.

National Key Findings



69% of states and territories self assessed as having **all of the components of the emergency communications ecosystem participating in their governing body**



73% of SWICs and/or governing bodies provide **guidance and recommendations for emergency communications grant proposals and investments** to ensure alignment with the SCIP



10% national increase in the **Alerts and Warnings Marker** net score reflects a positive trend of AWN coordination within the states and territories

"The CISA Interoperability Markers have provided a valuable baseline for the emergency communications governance bodies to utilize as focal growth opportunities in areas where other states may be lending. This tool has provided the insight for the governance board members and other emergency communications leaders to identify goals and objectives within out Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan (SCIP) that aide our efforts in shifting from one reporting value to the next."

Brad Stoddard, Michigan SWIC; Chair, NCSWIC

National Areas of Focus

The Markers in the table below represent those with the greatest room for growth at a national level in FY22. Each state and territory are encouraged to consider the following recommendations for increasing their maturity in each Marker.

Recommendations for Growth in the National Areas of Focus	
National Area of Focus	Recommendation
Marker 21: WPS Subscription	Priority Telecommunications Services Area Representatives (PARs) are available to discuss priority services and provide training.
Marker 14: Cybersecurity Assessment Awareness	Cybersecurity Advisors (CSAs) offer cybersecurity assistance to critical infrastructure owners and operators and SLTT governments.
Marker 10: TICP (or equivalent) Developed Marker 12: Alerts & Warning Marker 15: NG911 Implementation Marker 24: Risk Identification Marker 25: Cross Border/Interstate Emergency Communications	ECD specific technical assistance offerings , which are provided at no cost, include instruction and assistance with the planning, governance, operational, and technical aspects of developing and implementing interoperable communications initiative.

Points of Contact

Below is the contact information for the ECC and Regional Branch Chief, the primary points of contact for CISA products and services, to assist a state or territory in their efforts to mature and enhance interoperability and their emergency communications ecosystem.

ECC	Regional Branch Chief
Brandon Smith brandon.smith@cisa.dhs.gov	Steve Noel steven.noel@cisa.dhs.gov