

Oregon Mortuary & Cemetery Board (OMCB)

HB 5023: 2023-25 Budget Presentation Reference Document

Before the Joint Committee on Ways and Means Subcommittee on Education

February 8, 2023

The Origins of the Oregon Mortuary & Cemetery Board (OMCB)

- In 1921, the Funeral Directors and Embalmers Board was created.
- The name of the agency changed in 1983 to the **State Mortuary Board**.
- The Board's name was changed again in 1985 (after a significant incident in Lincoln City) to the **State Mortuary** & Cemetery Board.
- The Lincoln City incident had a profound impact on the community, the death care industry, the State of Oregon and this agency.
- As a result of this incident, the agency's focus became **Consumer Protection**.
- During the 1985 legislative session, the **Death Laws Task Force** (comprised of Lincoln City residents, industry representatives & legislators) was formed and most of the laws relating to death care were rewritten.

OMCB's Mission Statement

The mission of the Board is to protect public health, safety and welfare by fairly and efficiently performing its licensing, inspection and enforcement duties; by promoting professional behavior and standards in all facets of the Oregon death care industry; and, by maintaining constructive relationships with licensees, those they serve and others with an interest in the Board's activities.

Overview of OMCB's Performance and Outcome Measures

Our agency currently has five approved Key Performance Measures (KPMs):

- Key Performance Measures #1, #2 & #4 are reported for the following data collection period:
 - July 1st through June 30th
- **Key Performance Measure #3** is reported for the following data collection period:
 - o January 1st through December 30th
- **Key Performance Measure #5** is reported for the following data collection period:
 - January 1st through January 1st

The five Key Performance Measures are as follows:

KPM #	Approved Key Performance Measures (KPMs)						
1	Facility Inspection	Percentage of licensed facilities inspected not less than once per biennium.					
2	Complaint Investigation	Percent of investigative reports completed within six months of a complaint from any person against a licensee.					
3	Customer Service	Percent of customers rating their satisfaction with the agency's customer service as "good" or "excellent": overall, timeliness, accuracy, helpfulness, expertise, availability of information.					
4	Best Practices	Percent of total best practices met by the Board.					
5	Timely Resolution of Complaints	Percent of cases closed within 9 months.					

KPM #1: Facility Inspection – Percentage of licensed facilities inspected not less than once per biennium

Inspections Completed					
Actual	102%				
Target	100%				

Our agency is statutorily mandated to inspect, at least once every two years (a biennium), the premises and records of all licensed facilities – we currently regulate 756 licensed facilities located throughout the state. In order to satisfy this requirement, our inspectors must complete at least half of these facility inspections (approximately 378) during each July 1 – June 30 reporting period. For the period of July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022, our inspectors performed and completed a total of 385 facility inspections. These inspections included 377 random biennial inspections and 8 biennial inspections as part of a licensing action. The number of completed full biennial inspections equate to a rate of 102% of licensed facilities inspected not less than once per biennium.

Factors Affecting Results

In addition to our agency's ability to successfully continue virtual inspections, statewide travel became a more viable option during this reporting period, which also allowed our agency to begin performing on-site inspections again. Our inspection rate increased from 92% in 2021 to 102% in 2022.

KPM #2: Complaint Investigation – Percent of investigative reports completed within six months of a complaint from any person against a licensee

Complaint Investigation					
Actual 100%					
Target	90%				

For the reporting period of July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022, our agency opened 48 cases. All investigative reports for this reporting period were completed within 6 months of each complaint, resulting in a rate of 100%.

Factors Affecting Results

With the exception of having to cancel a board meeting in 2020 due to an unforeseen extended absence of the Executive Director, our agency has maintained a 100% report rate for the last 8 years.

KPM #3: Customer Service – Percent of customers rating their satisfaction with the agency's customer service as "good" or "excellent": overall, timeliness, accuracy, helpfulness, expertise, availability of information

Customer Service						
Overall						
Actual	86.4%					
Target	95%					
Availability of Information						
Actual	93.2%					
Target	95%					

Helpfulness	
Actual	89.9%
Target	95%
Accuracy	
Actual	89.8%
Target	95%
Timeliness	
Actual	74.6%
Target	95%
Expertise	
Actual	91.5%
Target	95%

In 2021, our agency received 15 customer service survey responses. In 2022, our agency received 44 responses, which is a 193% increase. This increase in response participation was the result of agency staff promoting the survey to all licensees, stakeholders, and people from the public. A link to the survey is located on the home page of our agency's website & is also included in all staff's email signature lines.

Factors Affecting Results

Our agency continues to evaluate ways in which to enhance the survey's effectiveness and provide additional opportunities to receive accurate feedback from licensees, stakeholders, and people from the public.

KPM #4: Best Prac	tices – Percent of total best	practice	s met by the Board
	Best Practices		
	Actual	100%	
	Target	100%	

The Best Practices Self-Assessment Evaluation is available on every Board Member's iPad and is reviewed during every board meeting. Board Members are encouraged to ask questions and raise any issues/concerns they have during the year, so they may be addressed immediately. During the July 12, 2022 general session board meeting, all Board Members who served between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022 completed the Best Practices voting slip. Our agency scored 100% for 2022.

Factors Affecting Results

Generally, by reviewing the Best Practices Self-Assessment Evaluation during each board meeting, all questions/issues/concerns are being properly and sufficiently addressed in a timely manner to ensure that all Board Members can clearly understand and identify the agency's expectations and determine if these expectations are being met.

KPM #5: Timely Resolution of Complaints – Percent of cases closed within 9 months

Timely Resolution of Complaints				
Actual	66%			
Target	90%			

For the reporting period of January 1, 2021 through January 1, 2022, our agency opened 32 cases. Out of the 32 total cases, 21 were closed within 9 months of receiving each complaint, resulting in a completion rate of 66%.

Factors Affecting Results

Our regularly scheduled April 2021 board meeting was postponed by three weeks due to an unforeseen extended absence of the Executive Director. This is the only occurrence of our agency not meeting the 100% target in over 8 years.

Summary of OMCB's Programs

The Board's programs affect those who have suffered a loss, those who make final arrangements and those who provide death care merchandise and services. It is the Board's responsibility to license and regulate the practice of individuals and facilities engaged in the care, preparation, processing, transportation and final disposition of human remains. The Board's licensees include funeral service practitioners (funeral directors), embalmers, apprentices, interns, death care consultants, funeral establishments, crematoriums, cemeteries and other facilities for final disposition of human remains.

The Board protects the public and the industry by promoting and enforcing compliance with statutes and rules established for that purpose primarily through education. Engaging in certain death care activities without a license is the only crime within the Board's jurisdiction.

For budgetary purposes, the Board is considered a single program unit. For practical purposes, the Board's principal activities are organized into four sections, which all contain an educational aspect:

- 1. Licensing & Examination;
- 2. Compliance;
- 3. Administration & Policy, and
- 4. Education.

Licensing &	Compliance	Administration &	Education
Examination		Policy	
The Board licenses both	Inspection:	The Board's	The Board serves as an
death care professional	ORS 692.320 requires that the Board inspect	administrative functions	informational resource
and facilities. Individual	"at least once every two years, the premises	are carried out by the	for the public relating to
licenses include:	and records of funeral establishments,	Executive Director with	death care options,
apprentice/trainee,	cemeteries, crematoriums, immediate	the assistance from staff.	other agencies,
combination (funeral	disposition companies and other facilities	Principal functions	organizations, the
service practitioner &	used for the final disposition of human	include program and	media, as well as
embalmer), death care	remains and any other location at which	project planning,	licensees. Education is
consultant, embalmer,	human remains may be stored, temporarily	development,	also one of the principal
funeral service	held or processed prior to final disposition."	management and	aims of the Board's
practitioner, intern &		evaluation; budget	inspection process.
preneed salesperson.	The Board takes a preventative approach to	preparation and	Inspectors welcome
Facilities include:	compliance – by educating licensees in order	presentation;	questions and provide
alternative disposition	to prevent violations. With the exception of	performance	on-site technical
company, cemetery	egregious or repeated violations, deficiencies	measurement; legislative	assistance, as well as
authority, crematory	noted during routine inspections rarely lead	coordination;	explain the regulatory
authority, funeral	to formal disciplinary action.	rulemaking; personnel	basis for any noted
establishment, immediate		recruitment,	deficiencies. Finally, the
disposition company &	Complaint & Background Investigation:	,	Board provides
removal company		management and	apprenticeship

(Washington). The Board also administers a funeral service practitioner (FSP) and death care consultant (DCC) law examinations at least twice each year.	ORS 676.165 requires that the Board to conduct an investigation upon receipt of a complaint by any person against a licensee or applicant. The Board may also initiate an investigation upon its own motion. Though complaint investigations are mandatory, the Board's sanctioning authority is discretionary. The Board conducts criminal background checks on a variety of individual license applicants and principals of licensed facilities. The Board may deny a license application for conviction of a crime bearing a demonstrable relationship to funeral service and/or embalming practice, or the operation of funeral establishments, crematories, cemeteries & immediate disposition companies. The Board may also deny an application for any misrepresentation in obtaining a license.	retention; contracting and purchasing; receipt and expenditure control; accounting oversight; information systems and database management; meeting planning and facilitation; public relations; and maintaining critical partnerships and collaborative relationships.	registration for prospective funeral service practitioners and embalmers, and also provides the law examinations for licensure for funeral service practitioners and death care consultants.
---	--	--	---

Additional Licensing Information:

The Board currently issues the following 13 license types:

	Individual Licenses		Facility Licenses		
1	Apprentice/Trainee (Funeral Service	1	Alternative Disposition Company		
1	Practitioner, Embalmer or Both)	L.	Alternative Disposition company		
2	Combination (Funeral Service	2	Comptony Authority		
2	Practitioner & Embalmer)		Cemetery Authority		
3	Death Care Consultant	3	Crematory Authority		
4	Embalmer	4	Funeral Establishment		
5	Funeral Service Practitioner (Funeral	5	Immediate Disposition Company		
5	Director)	5	Immediate Disposition Company		
6	Intern	6	Removal Company (Washington)		
7	Preneed Salesperson	6	Removal Company (Washington)		

License Types Issued Between July 1st and June 30th	2009 - 2011	2011 - 2013	2013 - 2015	2015 - 2017	2017 - 2019	2019 – 2021*	2021-2022
Initial Individual Licenses: Apprentice/Trainee, Combination, Death Care Consultant, Embalmer, Funeral Service Practitioner, Intern & Preneed Salesperson	417	435	476	375	349	290	221
Initial Facility Licenses: Alternative Disposition Company, Cemetery Authority, Crematory Authority, Funeral Establishment, Immediate Disposition Company & Removal Company (Washington)	216	80	65	65	39	30	20
Individual Renewals: Apprentice/Trainee, Combination, Death Care Consultant, Embalmer, Funeral Service Practitioner & Preneed Salesperson	1,264	1,289	1,260	1,101	1,121	801	734
Facility Renewals: Alternative Disposition Company, Cemetery Authority, Crematory Authority, Funeral Establishment, Immediate Disposition Company	717	711	726	753	748	709	0
Total Licenses Issued	2,614	2,515	2,527	2,294	2,257	1,830	975

*It is important to note that for previous biennia, certain individual licenses were being counted twice in different categories – which provided an inaccurate total count of individual licensees. This inaccuracy was identified and corrected during the 2019-21 biennium, which is reflected in the total count reduction of licenses.

OMCB's Organizational Information

OMCB employs 7 FTE and the Board is comprised of eleven members appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate: one must be a funeral service practitioner; two must be representatives of an immediate disposition company or licensed funeral establishment, and one must be a licensed embalmer; three must be representatives of cemeteries (for-profit, nonprofit & city/county/special district); one must be a representative of a crematorium or other facility for final disposition of human remains; four must be representatives of the public (one must represent a recognized senior citizen organization).



Significant Improvement to/Enhancement of the Indigent Disposition Program (IDP) Fund

Historical Background of the IDP Fund:

The purpose of the Indigent Disposition Program (IDP) is to provide licensed Oregon funeral establishments with reimbursement for costs incurred while providing services for the disposition of unclaimed indigent decedents. The Indigent Disposition Program has been in existence since the Oregon State Legislature originally assigned administrative responsibility for reimbursement to the Public Health Division of the Oregon Health Authority in 1993. Prior to that legislation, each county was responsible for covering all indigent disposition expenses. In 2015, the Oregon State Legislature revised certain elements of the program, transferred management to the Oregon Mortuary & Cemetery Board (OMCB) and amended the required process that a funeral establishment must complete prior to performing final disposition of an indigent decedent. These changes went into effect on January 1,2016.

Not every state has established a program like Oregon's Indigent Disposition Program. In the states surrounding Oregon, payment for the care of unclaimed decedents falls to the county – which may or may not have sufficient funds – and may or may not have requirements regarding the decedent's residency in the county. Overall, fewer than half of all state governments have established funds similar to this one.

The Indigent Disposition Program's maximum reimbursement rate is set annually by OMCB's Board – the current rate is \$500 per approved claim. This rate is posted on OMCB's website and notification of any rate changes are publicized.

In accordance with OAR 830-040-0090(1), only a licensed funeral establishment (including an immediate disposition company or persons acting as funeral service practitioners) may apply for indigent disposition reimbursement.

Circumstances Causing Legislation During the 2021 Session:

Early in 2020, our agency determined that the IDP Fund was running at a deficit – due to an unforeseen increase of IDP reimbursement claims paid during 2020 – and would not be sustainable unless additional funds were immediately contributed or the claim reimbursement amount was significantly reduced.

Once we made this determination, our Board, Policy Advisor and CFO worked collaboratively to address the situation immediately and to ensure that this wouldn't happen again in the future.

Based on the immediate situation, unfortunately, the Board had to make the difficult decision to reduce the reimbursement amount from \$500 to \$20 for the month of June.

However, after carefully and thoughtfully considering many options, the Board made the decision to allocate a portion of its reserve account to temporarily fund the IDP reimbursements for the remainder of 2020 – and we were able to restore the \$500 reimbursement amount beginning in July.

To address this issue longer-term, the Board collaborated with Governor Brown's Office to sponsor a bill – <u>HB 2120</u> – which proposed to increase the death filing fee from \$20 to \$30. The addition \$10 would be entirely allocated to the IDP Fund.

Given the current projections, the \$10 fee increase should ensure that the IDP Fund is sustainable through 2026 – at that time, the Board will need to review the current financial situation of the IDP Fund and make appropriate changes, if necessary.

How the IDP Fund was Funded Prior to HB 2120:

Prior to the implementation of HB 2120, the IDP Fund received its revenue from the death filing fee as follows:

(1) The State Mortuary and Cemetery Board shall impose and collect a filing fee of \$20 for each report of death and shall deposit the total amount of the fee collected to the credit of the State Mortuary and Cemetery Board Account established under ORS 692.375. Of the fee, at least \$6 must be used by the board to carry out the purposes of ORS 97.170 (5). The board shall use the remainder of the fee in the same manner as other funds credited to the account under ORS 692.375.

(2) The board shall adopt rules regarding the use of the fee described in subsection (1) of this section and shall consider historical data related to expenditures made for the purposes of carrying out ORS 97.170 (5) and 692.375. Expenditures relating to the administration of the fee may not exceed five percent of the moneys collected.

Therefore, OMCB previously received \$20 (death filing fee) for each death and allocated the amount as follows:

- \$6 was paid to the IDP Fund, and
- \$14 was paid to OMCB's agency budget account this is the primary source of our agency's revenue.

How the IDP Fund is Currently Funded with the Implementation of HB 2120:

With the implementation of HB 2120 that became effective on January 1, 2022 – now included in **ORS 692.415** – the \$30 death filing fee is allocated as follows:

- \$16 to be used to carry out the management/administration of the IDP Fund, and
- \$14 is to be used for OMCB's agency budget account still the primary source of our agency's revenue.

IDP Claims Received by Region (2020-2021)

Region 1: Portland Metro (Clackamas, Hood River, Multhomah and Washington Counties) 185 39.87% 227 43.16% 412 41.62% 1,688,567 42% 15,314 1.21% Region 2: Willamette Valley, North and Mid- Coast (Benton, Clatsop, Columbia, Klamath, Lane, Lincoln, Linn, Marion, Polk, Tillamook & Yamhill Counties) 202 43.53% 202 38.40% 404 40.81% 1,368,742 33.70% 15,966 1.27% Region 3: Southern Oregon and South Coast (Goos, Curry, Douglas, Jackson & Josephine Counties) 53 11.42% 83 15.78% 136 13.74% 517,740 12.75% 8,237 0.64% Region 4: Central Oregon (Crook, Deschutes, Gilliam, Jefferson, Lake, Sherman, Wasco & Wheeler Counties) 21 4.53% 10 1.90% 31 3.13% 295,973 7.29% 3,072 0.68%	IDP Claims by Region	IDP Claims Received in 2021	% of Total IDP Claims in 2021	IDP Claims Received in 2020	% of Total IDP Claims in 2020	Total IDP Claims Received for Both Years (2020 & 2021)	% of Total IDP Claims Received for Both Years (2020 & 2021)	Regional Population*	Region % of Total Population	Deaths by Region+	IDP Claims as % of Total Deaths (2021)
Valley, North and Mid- Coast (Benton, Clatsop, Columbia, Klamath, Lane, Lincoln, Linn, Marion, Polk, Tillamook & Yamhill Counties) 202 43.53% 202 38.40% 404 40.81% 1,368,742 33.70% 15,966 1.27% Region 3: Southern Oregon and South Coast (Coos, Curry, Douglas, Jackson & Josephine Counties) 53 11.42% 83 15.78% 136 13.74% 517,740 12.75% 8,237 0.64% Region 4: Central Oregon (Crook, Deschutes, Gilliam, Jefferson, Lake, Sherman, 21 4.53% 10 1.90% 31 3.13% 295,973 7.29% 3,072 0.68%	(Clackamas, Hood River, Multnomah and Washington	185	39.87%	227	43.16%	412	41.62%	1,688,567	42%	15,314	1.21%
Oregon and South Coast (Coos, Curry, Douglas, Jackson & Josephine Counties) 53 11.42% 83 15.78% 136 13.74% 517,740 12.75% 8,237 0.64% Region 4: Central Oregon (Crook, Deschutes, Gilliam, Jefferson, Lake, Sherman, 21 4.53% 10 1.90% 31 3.13% 295,973 7.29% 3,072 0.68%	Valley, North and Mid- Coast (Benton, Clatsop, Columbia, Klamath, Lane, Lincoln, Linn, Marion, Polk,	202	43.53%	202	38.40%	404	40.81%	1,368,742	33.70%	15,966	1.27%
(Crook, Deschutes, Gilliam, Jefferson, Lake, Sherman, 21 4.53% 10 1.90% 31 3.13% 295,973 7.29% 3,072 0.68%	Oregon and South Coast (Coos, Curry, Douglas, Jackson &	53	11.42%	83	15.78%	136	13.74%	517,740	12.75%	8,237	0.64%
	(Crook, Deschutes, Gilliam, Jefferson, Lake, Sherman,	21	4.53%	10	1.90%	31	3.13%	295,973	7.29%	3,072	0.68%
Region 5: Eastern Oregon (Baker, Grant, Harney, Malheur, Morrow, Umatilla, Union & Wallowa Counties) 3 0.65% 4 0.76% 7 0.71% 189,435 4.67% 2,392 0.13%	(Baker, Grant, Harney, Malheur, Morrow, Umatilla, Union &	3	0.65%	4	0.76%	7	0.71%	189,435	4.67%	2,392	0.13%
Grand Total 464 100% 526 100% 990 100% 4,060,457 100% 44,981 1.03% *Data from US Census		464	100%	526	100%	990	100%	4,060,457	100%	44,981	1.03%

+Data from Oregon Health Authority



IDP Claims Received/Paid Per Year (2018-2021)

IDP Claims Recei	ved Per Year	IDP Claims Pai	d Per Year	% of IDP Claims Paid Per Year
2018	422	2018	394	93.4%
2019	528	2019	487	92.2%
2020	526	2020	476	90.5%
2021	464	2021	371	80%
Average Per Year	485	Average Per Year	432	89%

IDP Claim Status (2020-2021)

IDP Claim Status	Total IDP Claims Received in 2021	% of Total IDP Claims in 2021	Total Claims Received in 2020	% of Total IDP Claims in 2020
Paid	371	79.96%	476	90.49%
Waiting for Supplemental Information	64	13.79%	13	2.47%
Withdrawn	18	3.88%	22	4.18%
Denied	1	0.22%	6	1.14%
Approved, Processing	3	0.65%	3	0.57%
Other, See Additional Info	4	0.86%	6	1.14%
Intake	3	0.65%	-	-
Grand Total	464	100%	526	100%

Veteran Status for Paid IDP Claims (2020-2021)

Veteran Status for Paid Claims	IDP Claims Paid in 2021	% of Total Claims Paid in 2021	IDP Claims Paid in 2020	% of Total Claims Paid in 2020	Total Population in 2021*	% of Total Population in 2021
Not a Veteran	302	81.40%	388	81.51%	3,941,852	93.69%
Veteran	54	14.56%	84	17.65%	265,325	6.31%
Unknown Status Due to Incomplete Application	15	4.04%	4	0.84%	-	-
Grand Total	371	100%	476	100%	4,207,177	100%

*Data from US Census

How Funeral Homes Acquired Indigent Cases in 2021

How Funeral Homes Acquired Indigent Cases in 2021								
Adult Foster Home	2	0.54%						
Hospice	64	17.25%						
Hospital	83	22.37%						
Medical Examiner	145	39.08%						
Nursing Facility	29	7.82%						
Other	24	6.47%						
Police Call	24	6.47%						
Grand Total	371	100%						

IDP Claims Complete/Incomplete Upon Submission (2020-2021)

	IDP Claims Re	eceived in 2021	IDP Claims Received in 2020			
IDP Claims Complete Upon Submission	232	50%	402	76.43%		
IDP Claims Incomplete Upon Submission	232	50%	124	23.57%		
Grand Total	464	100%	526	100%		

IDP Claim Internal Processing Time* (2020-2022)

The overall internal processing time for each IDP claim received in 2022 took an average of 23 calendar days, including weekends and observed holidays.

Average Internal Processing Time (including weekends and observed holidays)								
weekenus and op	served nondays)							
2020	157 days							
2021	72 days							
2022	23 days							

*Internal processing time begins on the day a completed application is received by our office and concludes on the day when the reimbursement warrant is issued (or the day an application is withdrawn or denied). This does not include the time our staff is waiting for a pending application to be completed by the applicant.

Program Prioritization for 2023-25

Program Prioritization for 2023-25

Ageno	gency Name: Oregon Mortuary & Cemetery Board (OMCB)																				
	23-25 Biennium Agency Number: 833																				
Progran																					
	Program/Division Priorities for 2023-25 Biennium																				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
(ranked	iority with highest rity first)	Agency Initials	Program or Activity Initials	Program Unit/Activity Description	Identify Key Performance Measure(s)	Primary Purpose Program- Activity Code	GF	LF	OF	NL-OF	FF	NL-FF	TOTAL FUNDS	Pos.	FTE	New or Enhanced Program (Y/N)	Included as Reduction Option (Y/N)	Legal Req. Code (C, D, FM, FO, S)	Legal Citation	Explain What is Mandatory (for C, FM, and FO Only)	Comments on Proposed Changes to CSL included in Agency Request
Agey	Prgm/ Div													-							
833	417	OMCB		Operational Fund	Licensure	з			3,090,191				\$ 3,090,191		7.00		N	s	Oregon Laws 2019, Chapter 25(1)	n/a	n/a
		Ι		I	[Ι	[L				\$ -		1						[
													\$ -		1						
								ļ					<u>s</u> -		.	.					L
													<u>s</u> -	 	+	+			-		
		+					••••••					·	<u> </u>	 	+	+					
	•••••	+					+						s -		<u>+</u>	+					
							-	-	3,090,191	-	-	=	\$ 3,090,191	7	7.00						

7. Primary Purpose Program/Activity Exists

1 Civil Justice

- 2 Community Development 3 Consumer Protection
- 4 Administrative Function
- 5 Criminal Justice
- 6 Economic Development
- 7 Education & Skill Development
- 8 Emergency Services
- 9 Environmental Protection 10 Public Health

Within each Program/Division area, prioritize each Budget Program Unit (Activities) by detail budget level in ORBITS

Document criteria used to prioritize activities:

The Board's programs affect those who have suffered a loss, those who make final arrangements an services. It is the Board's responsibility to license and regulate the practice of individuals and facilities engaged in the care, preparation, processing, transportation and final disposition of human remains; to educate the general public; and advise on relevant issues of public policy.

The mission of the Oregon Mortuary & Cemetery Board (OMCB) is to protect public health, safety and welfare by fairly and efficiently performing its licensing, inspection, education and enforcement duties; by promoting professional behavior and standards in all facets of the Oregon death care industry; and, by maintaining constructive relationships with licensees, those they serve and others with an interest in the Board's activities.

OMCB also provides administration for the Indigent Disposition Program (IDP) Fund, which reimburses funeral establishments & immediate disposition companies for services/merchandise related to the final disposition of indigent decedents.

19. Legal Requirement Code

- C Constitutional
- D Debt Service
- FM Federal Mandatory
- FO Federal Optional (once you choose to participate, certain requirements exist)
- S Statutory

HB 5023: 2023-25 Budget Presentation Reference Document | Oregon Mortuary & Cemetery Board (OMCB) | Page 14 of 15

11 Recreation, Heritage, or Cultural 12 Social Support
nd those who provide death care merchandise and

Other Funds Ending Balance

UPDATED OTHER FUNDS ENDING BALANCES FOR THE 2021-23 & 2023-25 BIENNIA

Agency: 833-17 Mortuary and Cemetery Board

Contact Person (Name & Phone #): Katy Moreland 971-900-9754

					BEX100 (N)		BDV002A (A d	vr J)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(i)
Other Fund				Constitutional and/or	2021-23 End	2021-23 Ending Balance 2		ing Balance	
Туре	Program Area (SCR)	Treasury Fund #/Name	Category/Description	Statutory reference	In LAB	Revised	In CSL	Revised	Comments
Limited	83300-017-00-00000	83300-01167	Operations		1,418,141	1,561,688	2,316,147	1,785,732	

Rev	Ехр	Revised Ending			
		-			
3,314,235.00	(3,090,191.00)	1,785,732.00			
3,314,235.00	(3,090,191.00)	2,316,147.00			
		-			
		-			
	3,314,235.00	3,314,235.00 (3,090,191.00)			

Objective: Provide updated Other Funds ending balance information for potential use in the development of the 2023-25 legislatively adopted budget.

Instructions:

Column (a): Select one of the following: Limited, Nonlimited, Capital Improvement, Capital Construction, Debt Service, or Debt Service Nonlimited.

Column (b): Select the appropriate Summary Cross Reference number and name from those included in the 2021-23 Legislatively Approved Budget. If this changed from previous structures, please note the change in Comments (Column (j)). Column (c): Select the appropriate, statutorily established Treasury Fund name and account number where fund balance resides. If the official fund or account name is different than the commonly used reference, please include the

working title of the fund or account in Column (j). Column (d): Select one of the following: Operations, Trust Fund, Investment Pool, Loan Program, or Other. If "Other", please specify. If "Operations", in Comments (Column (j)), specify the number of months the reserve overs, the methodology used to determine the reserve amount, and the minimum need for cash flow purposes.

Column (e): List the Constitutional, Federal, or Statutory references that establishes or limits the use of the funds.

Columns (f) and (h): Use the appropriate, audited amount from the 2021-23 Legislatively Approved Budget and the 2023-25 Current Service Level at the Agency Request Budget level.

Columns (g) and (i): Provide updated ending balances based on revised expenditure patterns or revenue trends. The revised column (i) should assume 2023-25 Current Service Level expenditures, considering the updated 2021-23 ending balance and any updated 2023-25 revenue projections. <u>Do not include</u> adjustments for reduction options that have been submitted. Provide a description of revisions in Comments (Column (j)).

Column (j): Please note any reasons for significant changes in balances previously reported during the 2021 session.

Additional Materials: If the revised ending balances (Columns (g) or (i)) reflect a variance greater than 5% or \$50,000 from the amounts included in the LAB (Columns (f) or (h)), attach supporting memo or spreadsheet to detail the revised forecast.