

Paid Parent Caregivers for Disabled Children

Advocates for Disability Supports

There is nobody else

Unmet Need

The four agencies surveyed showed a combined total of 181 children currently waiting for a direct support professional placement.

+Current +Future

One in-home nursing agency expects to see a 50% increase in unfilled hours when parents are no longer eligible to fulfill them

SUPPORT FOR PAID PARENT CAREGIVERS OF MINOR DISABLED CHILDREN

Oregon Pediatric Society A Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics

Voice Of Reason











"We must also recognize that insisting upon a caregiver from outside of the child's home being considered the "qualified" individual, despite the fact that it is the parents that will likely train the DSPs or PSWs, brings the training schools that individuals with I/DD were historically sent to, into the home. It would be nothing less than taking a step back in history via the child's front door." - Oregon Disability Commission (ODHS),

Aug 10th, 2022







Calypso

Baybeblue

Nic



Milestones

• March 2020 COVID-19 emergency begins; parents begin asking for access to hours	June 2022 Senate committee hears testimony for and against a paid parent program	
2020	2021-23	
	January 2021 Oregon begins its temporary paid parent program for those with 240 hours or more	• May 11, 2023 The federal PHE will end, and with it the 1135 waiver authority for the temporary program

"Our health and social systems typically focus narrowly on an individual's single need and are not designed to work together to address multiple needs, often leading to costly and avoidable care. Many causes of poor health are best addressed through **non-medical interventions** that require cross-sector and community collaboration."

 National Center for Complex Health and Social Needs





Time Periods	Total average monthly hours provided per non-parent caregivers	Total average monthly hours provided per parent caregivers
3/1/2019-2/29/2020 Pre COVID	31,392	0
3/1/2020-12/31/2020 Pre Parents as Providers	37,672	0
1/1/2021-12/31/2021 Post Parents as Providers	38,801 avg monthly hours	24,186 avg monthly hours

24,186 x \$41/hr = \$991,626 - FMAP of 66 percent = \$337,152 (GF)/330 families

~ \$1,000 per month per family of the highest-needs children

Also:

→ ONA implementation

Assessed hours will be cut **in half** for minor children in 2025

Federal match (FMAP) reappropriated

6.2 percent additional match taken out of DD services to fight pandemic

→ SB 91 administrative burdens

ODDS would need money to track agency hours, etc.





I/DD Foster care = \$70,000/yr



Childrens Residential Settings for Fiscal Year 2022

		24 Hour Residential Homes	Foster Homes
Av	verage Monthly Cost Per Child	\$23,839	\$5,784
	Monthly Cost All Children	\$3,397,333	\$1,587,480
2022	General Fund	\$8,376,696	\$3,915,792
	Federal Fund	\$22,199,301	\$10,371,530
	Total Fund	\$30,575,997	\$14,287,322
tes - e Total Costs do not incl	Total Fund ude ARPA Funds Costs from 7/1/2021 - 3/31/2022	\$30,575,997	\$14,287,3

	7/1/19-6/30/21	\$ 1	0,575,396.35
	Avg Per Mo:	\$	465,648.14
Providence Child Center	Avg # of children:		27
	Avg/child	\$	17,246.23

Secondary benefits across the system

Lower need for other government assistance programs such as:

- Housing assistance
- SNAP
- Utilities assistance
- Lower costs to public insurance through healthier kids and caregivers

Added benefits:

- Better ability to advocate
- Better K12 outcomes
- Economic generator with 2:1 federal match
- Continuity of care
- Better trajectories for children when they are supported early and a path to greater independence as adults



Amendments needed to SB 91!

→ Don't pass a program that is too difficult to use!

→ Talk to folks in other states

Minnesota, Colorado, Arizona, New Hampshire, Iowa and California all either have paid parents or are looking at implementing a program

→ Parent limits

Many of the limits are too broad or don't make sense in a family context

→ SB 646 provides a better option

Suggested Amendments to SB 91

Remove or increase the Cap on Agencies

- The cap would require a new and costly tracking system
- The cap would result in long wait lists
- The cap would disproportionately negatively impact those living in rural Oregon and service deserts.



Suggested Amendments to SB 91

Expand Eligibility

- Every child on the program is a financial benefit to the state.
- Allotment of attendant care hours is influenced by a parent's ability to advocate
- Restricted eligibility "doubles down" on the inequities that exist in the system

SB 646 Inclusive Straightforward Accessible.

Suggested Amendments to SB 91

- Cap on "Households"
- Change the hour cap from "Households" to "Worker"
- Applying the cap to households is not equitable to families raising multiple disabled children
- These families often need access to this service the most



Kotek's priorities match up

Housing

Reduces reliance on other government services, housing subsidies, SNAP, utilities assistance, wraparound services, etc.

Education

Paid parents have more bandwidth for advocacy, more resources, more flexibility

Behavioral health

Children with BH needs are particularly benefited as they frequently do not want strangers. Also: less-stressed families.

When parents are paid for their labor, the whole family benefits in these ways and more.