David S. Wall P.O. Box 756 Newberg, Oregon 97132; [(408)-287-6878]

February 23, 2021

To: Senate Committee on Natural Resources and Wildfire Recovery; others

Re: I OPPOSE [SB 109]...as written.

The "**Text: Page 1 at (6-9**)]" states, "SECTION 2. (1) The State Parks and Recreation Commission shall adopt rules managing the use of unmanned aircraft systems by people in state parks to protect natural, cultural, scenic and recreational resources in a park property or adjacent areas while providing for enjoyment of recreational use of unmanned aircraft systems."

******"Section 2(1)" will be expensive to enforce.

*The Attorney General should be directed to report on the liabilities pertaining to [SB 109].

For example, Drone photographic imagery of naked people being uploaded to the internet unless they pay the Drone pilot a "fee" not to do so.

Are there privacy issues?

There are too many problems with "Drones" and what activities they can be employed to carry out.

At this time, I would not support any "Drone" usage as defined in "Section 2(1)."

"Drone " use under Section 2(2) is the appropriate and only use of "Drones" in State Parks.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David S. Wall

||| ||| |||