

**David S. Wall**  
**P.O. Box 756 Newberg, Oregon 97132; [(408)-287-6878]**

February 14, 2021

To: Senate Committee on Judiciary and Measure 110 Implementation; others

**Re: I OPPOSE [SB 418]...Too vague and ambiguous.**

The "Text [Page 1 at 4-7]" states, "SECTION 1. A peace officer conducting an interview of a youth in connection with an investigation of an act that, if committed by an adult, would constitute a crime may not use deceit, trickery or artifice, or any other misleading interrogation technique, during the interview."

\*Peace Officers /(Police Officers) use all forms of "deceit, trickery, artifices of all kinds and additional misleading and or misrepresentation interrogation techniques," to facilitate investigations to thwart the criminal element and or to prevent future criminal acts.

[SB 418] is objectionable due to its' vague and ambiguous language and intent.

What is the legal status of the juvenile(s)?

Has the juvenile(s) been detained by lawful means?

\*What type of crime does [SB 418] propose to shield the juvenile(s) via narrowing the scope of interrogation techniques used by Peace Officers/(Police Officers) since cave-man days?

Senator Gorsek-you've been a valiant and dutiful Police Officer will you clarify your position on [SB 418]?

Representative Reardon, will you clarify your position on [SB 418]?

Absent cogent explanation(s) from Senator Gorsek and Representative Reardon; to clarify the vague, ambiguous language and intent of [SB 218], for timely review and public comment; [SB 418] should be summarily discarded.

*Respectfully submitted,*  
/s/ David S. Wall

///  
///  
///