

David S. Wall
P.O. Box 756 Newberg, Oregon 97132; [(408)-287-6878]

February 11, 2021

To: Senate Committee on Judiciary and Ballot Measure 110 Implementation; others

Re: I OPPOSE [SB 185]...Where's the money to FUND the machinery to make this work?

[SB 185] conveying "jurisdictional equivalence as to organization" to nonprofit, public benefit, religious corporations for other jurisdictions outside of Oregon and vice versus with the organizational requirements of Oregon with variations to address specialized procedures for domestication of foreign nonprofits raises a few questions.

Where is the Funding source for the Secretary of State (SOS) and the Attorney General (AG) to; fund staff, overheads, et cetera to implement what appears as a significant increase in workload?

With reference to the SOS Audits Division, it is all but guaranteed the State of Oregon will be issuing government contracts with; nonprofit, public benefit, religious corporations and foreign nonprofits for services. **Audits are a certainty.** Where is the funding where is the funding source for; staff, overheads, et cetera to fund this significant increase in workload?

Has anyone from the **Interim Senate Committee (2019-2020)** run this bold innovative theory [SB 185] by the following Committees;

Senate Committees: Finance and Revenue; Labor and Business, Energy and Environment and Rules?

House Committees: Economic Recovery and Prosperity, General Government, Energy and Environment, Water, Rules, Agriculture and Natural Resources?

In Yamhill County I have seen "Nonprofit and Public Benefit corporations created, "almost out-of-thin-air" and then receive County contracts without any; Requests for Proposals (RFP), "NO" competition and or audits as one would think persons with fiduciary obligations would have designed and implemented.

To my knowledge, only one(1) received performance and financial audits because; this particular Public Benefit Corporation was so "administratively mismanaged (except for cashing County pay vouchers)" the County couldn't find a rug big enough to sweep it under and keep it from competing with Mt. Hood for elevation comparisons.

Another one for the record books, involved an "Out-of-State" corporation to provide Inmate Medical Services but someone was "negligent" and screwed up and didn't monitor an Inmate and the Inmate died. The Plaintiff's Attorney didn't even have to get out of bed to cash-the-check on this case. Low and behold, the "Defendant Corporation" dissolved and was reborn anew with a service contract with the Jail.

[SB 185] does not have either a Fiscal or Revenue Impact Statements. Why?

If any action the Legislature should take; is to review how easy business entities are be formed and prevent their misuse(s). Now, that would be an accomplishment(s) to receive codification(s) and NOT [SB 185].

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ David S. Wall