# PROJECT COYOTE





# **Statement in Opposition to Wildlife Killing Contests**

Signed by more than 70 conservation scientists

On behalf of Project Coyote's Science Advisory Board and the undersigned scientists, we express our support for the prohibition of wildlife killing contests—events in which participants compete to kill bobcats, coyotes, cougars, foxes, or even wolves for prizes or entertainment. These events are promoted throughout the United States.

The most general reason to prohibit wildlife killing contests is that hunters and wildlife managers believe, as a community, that killing animals without an adequate reason is unjustified and unsportsmanlike. Killing an animal for a prize or trophy constitutes killing without an adequate reason. Insomuch as wildlife killing contests are primarily motivated by killing for a prize or trophy, they are wrong.

Some advocates of killing contests argue that they are important for achieving management objectives for other species, especially game species. There is no credible evidence that indiscriminate killing of coyotes (the most common targets of killing contests) or other predators effectively serves any genuine interest in managing other species. If leaders in the hunting and wildlife management community believe that wildlife killing contests, in general, serve important objectives, then the principles of wildlife management mandate that (1) these objectives be articulated and vetted by the best-available science, and (2) some reasonable, science-based case be made to justify a killing contest as an appropriate means for achieving these objectives. In the absence of such an evaluation, these events should be prohibited.

Advocates of wildlife killing contests might argue that they are an important means for realizing one or both of these objectives: (1) decrease the loss of livestock to depredation, and (2) increase the abundance of prey species in the interest of maximizing hunting success by humans.

With respect to objective (1), a great deal of science has been developed on how to effectively manage depredations, including both lethal and non-lethal methods. Lessons from that science include:

(i) Indiscriminate killing is ineffective and it is plausible, perhaps likely, that when associated with a killing contest it would lead to increased risk of depredations. A primary reason for this concern is that only some, often only a few, individual predators participate in depredation. Indiscriminate and "pre-emptive" killing of predators associated with these events can lead to the disruption of predators' social structure and foraging ecology in ways that increase the likelihood of depredations. In hunted (exploited) coyote populations, for

example, the number of surviving pups that must be fed by the alpha parents and the number of transient individuals may increase. These factors may predispose more coyotes to depredate livestock.

(ii) The indiscriminate killing associated with a wildlife killing contest does not target: (a) the offending predator, (b) the site where depredation has occurred, and (c) the time when depredation has occurred. This renders the competitions ineffective as a means of depredation control.

While managing to reduce the loss of livestock is a common goal for all stakeholders, wildlife killing contests do not contribute to this goal and may work against it.

With respect to objective (2), a large body of science indicates that killing predators, especially under circumstances associated with killing contests, is not a reliable means of increasing ungulate abundance. The circumstances most likely to result in increased ungulate abundance are also the circumstances most likely to impair important ecosystem benefits and services that predators provide. Even when predators are killed to the point of impairing the ecosystem services, there is still no assurance that ungulate abundance will increase. The reason being is that ungulate abundance is frequently limited by factors other than predators—factors such as habitat and climate.

Beyond objectives (1) and (2), which focus on affecting game populations and livestock depredations, lies a need to better recognize and celebrate the predators' valuable contribution to the health and vitality of our ecosystems. For example, predators serve human interests through beneficial effects such as rodent control and disease prevention and promoting diverse plant communities and soil fertility. Thus, reduction of the distribution and numbers of apex predators can have detrimental ecological effects.

Some advocates of wildlife killing contests might also believe that killing coyotes is vitally important for preventing coyote populations from growing out of control. This concern is unjustified. Science demonstrates that unexploited coyote populations self-regulate their numbers by means of dominant individuals defending non-overlapping territories and suppressing subordinate pack members from breeding.

Opposition to wildlife killing contests is growing rapidly. New Mexico and Vermont abolished coyote killing contests in 2019 and 2018, respectively. The California Fish and Game Commission banned the awarding of prizes for killing furbearing and nongame animas in 2014. Local governments in Arizona, New Mexico and Wisconsin have condemned the events.

In 2018, hunter and Chairman of the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission Mike Finley condemned wildlife killing contests as "slaughter fests" and "stomach-turning examples of wanton waste." Former President of the California Fish and Game Commission and waterfowl hunter Mike Sutton denounced the events as "unethical" and "an anachronism [with] no place in modern wildlife management." The Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department stated, "coyote hunting contests are not only ineffective at controlling coyote populations, but these kinds of competitive coyote hunts are raising concerns on the part of the public and could possibly jeopardize the future of hunting and affect access to private lands for all hunters." The Wildlife Society issued a position statement in 2019 recognizing that "while species killed in contests can be legally killed in most states, making a contest of it may undermine the public's view of ethical hunting" and discouraging "contests that portray hunting in an unethical fashion."

#### John A. Vucetich, PhD

Houghton, MI

**Associate Professor** 

School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science

Michigan Technological Univ.

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

### **David Parsons, MS**

Albuquerque, NM

Carnivore Conservation Biologist, Rewilding Institute

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

### Robert Crabtree, PhD

Victoria, British Columbia

Founder & Chief Scientist Yellowstone Ecological Research Center

Research Associate Professor, Department of Ecosystem and Conservation Science, University of

Montana Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

#### Michael Paul Nelson, PhD

Corvallis, OR

Professor, and Ruth H. Spaniol Chair of Renewable Resources

**Oregon State University** 

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

### Michael Soulé, PhD (In Memoriam)

Paonia, CO

**Professor Emeritus** 

Dept. Environmental Studies, University of California, Santa Cruz

Co-founder, Society for Conservation Biology

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

#### Paul Paquet, PhD

Meacham, Saskatchewan

Senior Scientist Carnivore Specialist, Raincoast Conservation Foundation

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

# Jeremy T. Bruskotter, PhD

Columbus, Ohio

Associate Professor School of Environment & Natural Resources

The Ohio State University

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

### Marc Bekoff, PhD

Boulder, CO

Professor Emeritus, University of Colorado, Boulder

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

### Bradley J. Bergstrom, PhD

Valdosta, GA Professor of Biology, Valdosta State University Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

# Shelley M. Alexander, PhD

Calgary, Alberta Associate Professor, Geography, University of Calgary Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

### Adrian Treves, PhD

Madison, WI Associate Professor University of Wisconsin-Madison Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

### John Hadidian, PhD

Gaithersburg, MD Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

#### Rick Hopkins, PhD

San Jose, CA
Principal and Senior Conservation Biologist
Live Oak Associates, Inc.
Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

### Joanna Lambert, PhD, FLS

Professor, Environmental Studies Program Faculty, Ecology & Evolutionary Biology University of Colorado - Boulder Boulder, CO

### Jennifer Wolch, PhD

Berkeley, CA Dean, College of Environmental Design Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

# Becky Weed, MS

Belgrade, MT Thirteen Mile Lamb and Wool Co. Advisory Board, Project Coyote

#### Chris Schadler, MS, MA

Webster, NH Wild Canid Specialist NH & VT Rep., Project Coyote

### William J. Ripple, PhD

Portland, OR Distinguished Professor of Ecology Oregon State University

#### Paul Beier, PhD

Flagstaff, AZ

Regents' Professor, School of Forestry, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff AZ Past President, Society for Conservation Biology

#### David Mattson, PhD

Livingston, MT

Lecturer and Senior Visiting Scientist, Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies USGS Colorado Plateau Research Station Leader (retired) USGS Research Wildlife Biologist (retired) Past Western Field Director, MIT-USGS Science Impact Collaborative

### Melissa Savage, PhD

Los Angeles, CA Professor Emerita University of California, Los Angeles

### **Philip Hedrick PhD**

Tempe, AZ Ullman Professor of Conservation Biology Arizona State University

### Megan Isadore

Forest Knolls, CA
Co-founder and Executive Director
River Otter Ecology Project
Member, IUCN Otter Specialist Group
Founder, Good Riddance! Wildlife Exclusions, LLC

#### David Fraser, PhD

Vancouver, Canada Professor University of British Columbia

### Bernard E. Rollin, PhD

Fort Collins, CO
University Distinguished Professor
Professor of Philosophy
Professor of Animal Sciences
Professor of Biomedical Sciences
University Bioethicist

#### Malcolm R. MacPherson, PhD

Santa Fe, NM Retired Scientist Member AAAS and the Society for Conservation Biology

#### **Bob Ferris, MA**

Eugene, OR Executive Director, Cascadia Wildlands

#### Simon Gadbois, PhD

Halifax, NS, Canada Director of the Canid Behaviour Research Team Dalhousie University, Canada

### Zoë Jewell, MA, MSc, Vet. MB, MRCVS

Sydney, Australia Adjunct Faculty, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University Associate Academic, Center for Compassionate Conservation, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

#### Chris Dairmont, PhD

Victoria, BC Hakai-Raincoast Professor University of Victoria

#### Dale Jamieson, PhD

New York, NY

Professor of Environmental Studies, Philosophy, and Bioethics, Affiliated Professor of Law, Director of the Animal Studies Initiative New York University

### **Kevin Crooks, PhD**

Fort Collins, CO Monfort Professor, Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology Colorado State University

### William Lynn, PhD

Marlborough, MA Research Scientist Marsh Institute, Clark University

### Jonathan Way, PhD

Osterville, MA
Eastern Coyote Research
Research Scientist, Clark University

### Geri T. Vistein, MS

Belfast, Maine

**Carnivore Conservation Biologist** 

Executive Director and Founder, Coyote Center for Carnivore Ecology and Coexistence

### Lisa Micheli, PhD

Santa Rosa, CA

**Executive Director** 

Pepperwood's Dwight Center for Conservation Science

#### Winston Thomas, PhD

Founder and CEO, Canine Genetics, LLC San Mateo, CA

# Megan M. Draheim, PhD

Washington, DC

Visiting Associate Professor

Virginia Tech Center for Leadership in Global Sustainability

Director, The District Coyote Project

### Stephen F. Stringham, PhD

Soldotna, AK

**Predator Biologist** 

President, WildWatch Consulting

Chair, Advisory Committee, BEAR League

### Bonny Laura Schumaker, PhD

La Canada, CA

Physicist & Technical Manager, Retired

(Theoretical Astrophysics and Remote Sensing)

California institute of Technology / Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Founder and President, OnWingsOfCare.org

#### Rolf Peterson, PhD

Robbins Professor of Sustainable Environmental Management School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science Michigan Technological University

### David Johns, PhD

Hatfield School of Government Portland State University Portland, OR

### Thomas L. Serfass, PhD

Frostburg, Maryland Professor of Wildlife Ecology and Chair, Department of Biology and Natural Resources North American Coordinator, IUCN Otter Specialist Group Frostburg State University

### Robert Schmidt, PhD

Salt Lake City, UT Associate Professor, Dept. Environment and Society Utah State University

### Arnold Newman, PhD, Executive Director

Sherman Oaks, CA

The International Society for the Preservation of the Tropical Rainforest

# Susan E. Townsend, PhD

Oakland, CA Wildlife Ecology and Consulting

#### Ian R. MacDonald, PhD

Tallahassee, FL Florida State University

#### Martin B. Main, PhD

Gainesville, FL
Professor, Wildlife Ecology and Conservation
Associate Dean and Program Leader, Natural Resources Extension
University of Florida

#### **Guillaume Chapron, PhD**

Sweden Associate Professor Grimsö Wildlife Research Station Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

#### Jill Sideman, PhD

Tiburon, California Environmental Management Consultant

#### Richard P. Reading, PhD

Denver, CO
Department of Conservation Biology
Denver Zoological Foundation

### José Vicente López-Bao, PhD

Spain
Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO/CSIC/PA)
Oviedo University

# Francisco J. Santiago-Ávila, MEM, MPP

Madison, WI Graduate Research Scholar, PhD Candidate Carnivore Conservation Lab University of Wisconsin - Madison

#### Alexandra Pineda Guerrero, MS

PhD Student, Environment & Resources Carnivore Coexistence Lab Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies University of Wisconsin-Madison

### Miha Krofel, PhD

Slovenia

Assistant Professor and Wildlife Researcher
University of Ljubljana
Biotechnical Faculty, Department for Forestry and Renewable Forest Resources

#### Brian Schuh, MS

Madison, WI Carnivore Coexistence Lab University of Wisconsin - Madison

# Andrés Ordiz, PhD

Norway

Faculty of Environmental Sciences and Natural Resources Management Norwegian University of Life Sciences

#### Alejandra Zarzo-Arias, PhD

Spain

Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO/CSIC/PA) University of Oviedo

### Jennifer A. Leonard, PhD

Seville, Spain
Doñana Biological Research Station
Spanish National Research Council

### Jorge Echegaray, MSc

Spain

Wildlife Researcher for Spanish Conservationist NGOs Director of the Project "Wolf in the Basque Country"

### Bridgett M. vonHoldt, PhD

Princeton, NJ
Assistant Professor
Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology
Princeton University

# Carles Vilà, PhD

Seville, Spain Doñana Biological Station Spanish National Research Council (CSIC)

# Klaus-Peter Koepfli, PhD

Washington, D.C.
Conservation Biologist
Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute

#### Robert Long, PhD

Seattle, WA Senior Conservation Scientist Woodland Park Zoo

#### Alberto Fernández-Gil, PhD

Estación Biológica de Doñana (CSIC) Spain

#### **Rich Bard**

Portland, ME Wildlife Biologist

#### Franz Camenzind, PhD

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote

#### **Brad Purcell, PhD**

Science Advisory Board, Project Coyote Australia The Dingo Tracker – Wildlife & Ecological Consulting

#### Chris Mowry, PhD

Mt. Berry, GA Associate Professor of Biology Berry College Department of Biology

#### Ryan Bell, MA Biology

Phoenix, AZ Miami University

#### John Miles, PhD

Bellingham, WA Professor Emeritis Huxley School of the Environment Western Washington University

# Susan Morgan, PhD

Arroyo Seco, NM President The Rewilding Institute

### Omar Ohrens, PhD

Madison, WI Visiting Assistant Professor Carnivore Coexistence Lab University of Wisconsin – Madison

### Alejandro Estrada, PhD

Instituto de Biologia Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico

#### Amanda Stronza, PhD

Anthropologist and Professor
Ecology and Conservation Biology
Joint Appointment in Rangeland, Wildlife and Fisheries Management
Co-Director | Applied Biodiversity Science Program
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY
Faculty Affiliate, Human Dimensions of Natural Resources
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY
Co-Founder, Ecoexist Project, Botswana

### Herbert H. Covert, PhD

Professor of Anthropology
Director, Baker Residential Academic Program
University of Colorado Boulder
Boulder, Colorado
Member of the Executive Committee of the IUCN/SSC Primate Specialist Group
Director, Baker Residential Academic Program
Professor of Anthropology, CB 233
University of Colorado Boulder

#### Michelle Sauther, PhD

Boulder, CO Professor, Faculty in the Department of Anthropology University of Colorado Boulder

#### Joel Berger, PhD

Professor, Department of FWCB

Barbara Cox Anthony University Chair in Wildlife Conservation Colorado State University

### Colin Chapman, PhD

Department of Anthropology
Center for the Advanced Study of Human Paleobiology
Royal Society of Canada, Killam Fellowship
Conservation Fellow WCS
Velan Humanitarian Award
The George Washington University

#### Paul Alan Garber, PhD

Professor Emeritus
Department of Anthropology
Program in Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation Biology
University of Illinois

#### Thomas H. Struhsaker, PhD

Department of Evolutionary Anthropology Duke University

#### Michelle L. Lute, PhD

Santa Fe, NM National Carnivore Conservation Manager Project Coyote

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### Appendix A. Additional Literature Cited

Here we provide additional scientific explanation (with citations) for two ideas expressed in this letter. (1) Some advocates of wildlife killing contests (WKCs) believe they are necessary or beneficial for effective management of livestock depredation. We indicated that WKCs are unlikely to have this effect. The reason why is that most individual predators do not participate in livestock depredations (Gipson 1975; Knowlton et al. 1999; Sacks et al. 1999a, 1999b; Linnell et al. 1999; Stahl and Vandel 2001; Blejwas et al. 2002; Treves et al. 2002; Treves and Naughton-Treves 2005). Consequently, effective management of depredation requires (1) targeting the offending individual(s), and (2) intervening close to the site where the depredations occurred as well as responding in a timely manner (Gipson 1975; Sacks et al. 1999a, 1999b; Smith et al. 2000; Bangs and Shivik 2001). WKCs do not represent the kind of targeted effort required for effective management of livestock depredations.

Moreover, indiscriminate killing of predators is likely to exacerbate risks to livestock. The reason is that killing social carnivores like coyotes (and wolves) can lead to the disruption of predators' social and foraging ecology in ways that increase the number of transient individuals (Bjorge and Gunson 1985; Haber 1996; Treves and Naughton-Treves 2005; Brainerd et al. 2008). These transient individuals that have not been acculturated (aversively conditioned) to living in areas with livestock may be more likely to kill livestock. Studies by USDA's Wildlife Services clearly indicate that many, if not most, depredations are inflicted by the breeders (i.e., alphas) in coyote social groups (Knowlton et al. 1999; Sacks et al. 1999b). Even if the offending individuals are removed, they can be replaced by other members of the social group or from populations outside the area where the WKC is occurring. In some cases, this can also increase reproductive performance in coyotes (Crabtree and Sheldon 1999; Knowlton et al. 1999).

Scientific evidence is increasingly suggesting that harvesting predators can exacerbate losses to livestock (Collins et al. 2002; Treves et al. 2010, Peebles et al. 2013, Wielgus and Peebles 2014).

- (2) Some advocates of wildlife killing contests believe they are necessary or beneficial for increasing the abundance of ungulate populations. We had indicated in our letter that WKCs are unlikely to have that effect. The reason why is two-fold:
  - (i) Killing predators cannot result in increased ungulate abundance in cases where the ungulate population is not limited by predators, but is instead limited by other factors, such as climatic conditions or food availability (Sæther 1997; Forchhammer et al. 1998; Coulson et al. 2000; Parker et al 2009). Without careful study, the claim that killing predators will improve wild ungulate populations is simply an unsupported assumption. Moreover, scientists are not good at understanding the conditions that cause a population to be limited by predators as opposed to other factors (Vucetich et al. 2005; Wilmers et al. 2006). For example, an experimental study in Idaho (Hurley et al. 2011) found that annual removal of coyotes was not an effective method to increase mule deer populations because coyote removal increased neonate fawn survival only under particular combinations of prey densities and weather conditions.
  - (ii) Even in cases where predators do limit prey abundance, human-caused mortality (HCM) could only lead to an increase in prey abundance if the rate of HCM was sufficient to result in a significant reduction in predator abundance. Human-caused mortality is not a reliable means of reducing coyote abundance unless the rate of HCM exceeds 70% (Connolly and Lonhurst 1975). It is difficult to imagine that any set of WKCs would be intense enough or frequent enough to result in that rate of HCM.

Finally, the interest of some advocates of WKCs (i.e., increased ungulate abundance) is antithetical to good natural resource management practices in cases where increased ungulate abundances present a risk of overbrowsing (e.g., Côté et al. 2004).

Thank you for allowing us to further explain ourselves. If additional explanation on this or any other topic would be of value, please let us know. We would be eager to provide any such explanations.

#### **Citations**

Bangs, E., & Shivik, J. A. (2001). Managing wolf conflict with livestock in the northwestern United States. USDA National Wildlife Research Center-Staff Publications, 550.

Blejwas K.M., Sacks B.N., Jaeger M.M., McCullough D.R. (2002). The effectiveness of selective removal of breeding coyotes in reducing sheep predation. J Wildl Manage 66, 451-462.

Brainerd, S. M., Andrén, H., Bangs, E. E., Bradley, E. H., Fontaine, J. A., Hall, W. & Wydeven, A. P. (2008). The effects of breeder loss on wolves. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 72(1), 89-98.

Bjorge, R. R., and J. R. Gunson. (1985). Evaluation of wolf control to reduce cattle predation in Alberta. Journal of Range Management 38:483-486.

Collins, G.H., R. B. Wielgus, And G. M. Koehler. (2002). Effects of sex and age on American black bear conifer damage and control. Ursus 13:231–236.

Connolly, G. E., and W. M. Longhurst. (1975). The effects of control on coyote populations: A simulation model. Division Agricultural Science, University of California, Davis, Bulletin 1872.

Côté, S. D., Rooney, T. P., Tremblay, J. P., Dussault, C., & Waller, D. M. (2004). Ecological impacts of deer overabundance. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 113-147.

Coulson, T., Milner–Gulland, E. J., & Clutton–Brock, T. (2000). The relative roles of density and climatic variation on population dynamics and fecundity rates in three contrasting ungulate species. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 267(1454), 1771-1779.

Crabtree, R. L., and J. W. Sheldon. (1999). Coyotes and canid coexistence. In Carnivores in ecosystems: The Yellowstone experience, ed. T. W. Clark et al., 127–163. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Forchhammer, M. C., Stenseth, N. C., Post, E., & Landvatn, R. (1998). Population dynamics of Norwegian red deer: density—dependence and climatic variation. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 265(1393), 341-350.

Gipson P.S. (1975). Efficiency of trapping in capturing offending coyotes. Wildlife Management 39, 45-47.

Knowlton F.F., E. M. Gese, Jaeger M.M. (1999). Coyote depredation control: An interface between biology and management. Journal of Range Management **5**2, 398-412.

Haber, G. C. (1996). Biological, conservation, and ethical implications of exploiting and controlling wolves. Conservation Biology 10:1068-1081.

Linnell J.D.C., Odden J., Smith M.E., Aanes R., Swenson J.E. (1999). Large carnivores that kill livestock: do problem individuals really exist? Wildl Soc Bull 27, 698-705.

Parker, K. L., Barboza, P. S., & Gillingham, M. P. (2009). Nutrition integrates environmental responses of ungulates. Functional Ecology, 23(1), 57-69.

Peebles, K. A., R. B. Wielgus, B. T. Maletzke, And M. E. Swanson. (2013). Effects of remedial sport hunting on cougar complaints and livestock depredations. PloS ONE. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079713.

Ritchie EG, Elmhagen B, Glen AS, Letnic M, Ludwig G, McDonald RA. (2012). Ecosystem restoration with teeth: what role for predators? In: Trends Ecol. Evol. 27(5):265-271.

Sacks B.N., Blejwas K.M., Jaeger M.M. (1999a). Relative vulnerability of coyotes to removal methods on a northern California ranch. J Wildl Manage 63, 939-949;

Sacks, B. N., M. M. Jaeger, J. C. C. Neale, and D. R. McCullough. (1999). Territoriality and breeding status of coyotes relative to sheep predation. Journal of Wildlife Management 63:593-605.

Sæther, B. E. (1997). Environmental stochasticity and population dynamics of large herbivores: a search for mechanisms. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 12(4), 143-149.

Smith, M. E., Linnell, J. D., Odden, J., & Swenson, J. E. (2000). Review of methods to reduce livestock depredation II. Aversive conditioning, deterrents and repellents. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A-Animal Science, 50(4), 304-315

Stahl P., Vandel J.M. (2001). Factors influencing lynx depredation on sheep in France: Problem individuals and habitat. Carnivore Damage Prevention News 4, 6-8.

Treves A., Naughton-Treves L. (2005). Evaluating lethal control in the management of human-wildlife conflict. pp. 86-106 in R. Woodroffe, S. Thirgood, A. Rabinowitz editors. People and Wildlife, Conflict or Coexistence. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Treves, A., R. L. Jurewicz, L. Naughton-Treves, R. A. Rose, R. C. Willging, and A. P. Wydeven. (2002). Wolf depredation on domestic animals: control and compensation in Wisconsin, 1976-2000. Wildlife Society Bulletin 30:231-241.

Treves, A., K. J. Kapp, And D. Macfarland. (2010). American black bear nuisance complaints and hunter take. Ursus 21:30–42. doi: 10.2192/09gr012.1

Vucetich, J. A., Smith, D. W., & Stahler, D. R. (2005). Influence of harvest, climate and wolf predation on Yellowstone elk, 1961-2004. Oikos, 111(2), 259-270.

Wielgus, R. B. And K. A. Peebles. (2014). Effects of Wolf Mortality on Livestock Depredations. PLoS ONE 9(12): e113505. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113505.

Wilmers, C. C., Post, E., Peterson, R. O., & Vucetich, J. A. (2006). Predator disease outbreak modulates top-down, bottom-up and climatic effects on herbivore population dynamics. Ecology Letters, 9(4), 383-389.