

Thank you for the opportunity to give input. As a small woodland owner, I support both/either of the two amendments to HB 2070, the Marsh and Nathanson amendments, in the interest of keeping it simple, and avoiding the time and expense of a review every two years. It makes sense to review the harvest tax only when there are circumstances making it likely it needs significant increase or decrease. A routine review every two years takes time and money from more important issues.