

Dear Rules Committee Chair and Members:

I have been catching up on the status of HB2680. I am disappointed with the direction of this bill as an effective consequence of voter support for campaign finance limits. One important measure of legislative language should be the ability of an average citizen to understand what it does and what it means; the current amendments do not pass this test.

I notice that the enrolled version was 5 pages long, the -1 amendment is 45 pages (May 10) long and the -2 amendment (May 12) document totals 20 pages. There's lots to dislike about HB2680 and the -2 version especially incomprehensible, for example, the -2 amendment language in Section 2 (2)(a) puzzles me as to "unlimited", especially as it relates to Section 2 (3)(c)(B) - amazingly incomprehensible. Especially worrisome in -2 is the deletion of the prior specified \$1000 and \$2000 limits and the text looks to open up considerable opportunity for loopholes, skirting the rules, confusion, and litigation.

Lack of legislative clarity on this top priority item from voters passing the Constitutional Amendment raises citizen dis-ease with the political process.

I recommend you kill this bill and use your limited time more productively on a clean and simple campaign finance limit as the voters intended.

Thank you for your work.

Thank you, Tom