

As you can see from the following the Legislative Assembly cares more about being informed themselves than to take the same actions during the Regular Legislative Session to provide the Citizens of Oregon with the same information legislators want to be provided ahead of Prospective Petition being released to the People of this state.

I deliberate, instead legislators should pass a Bill that requires all the below components<sup>1</sup> in advance of a Bill actually introduced for first reading and most definitely before public hearing(s) could be held for testimony.

Most times the Bill has not been through or even been considered to provide an Impact statement, Fiscal impact statement, Revenue impact statement and definitely is not examined for Constitutional or other legal concerns. Legislative Assembly possibly believing that the legislators are the law, not the enactors of the laws in this state. Do legislators have any idea how difficult their written word confuses the issues in a Bill, how each section and subsection monotonously writes the same paragraphs over and over, has no explanation how the Bill will be financed either by general ways and means agency budget appropriation or an appropriation in addition to agency budget appropriation?

---

<sup>1</sup> **Page 1, Line 20-27:**

- (a) The Legislative Policy and Research Director shall provide an impartial summary that describes the policy impacts if the prospective petition is enacted into law;**
- (b) The Legislative Fiscal Officer shall conduct an impartial analysis of the fiscal impact to the state if the prospective petition is enacted into law;**
- (c) The Legislative Revenue Officer shall conduct an impartial analysis of the revenue impact to the state if the prospective petition is enacted into law; and**
- (d) The Legislative Counsel shall conduct an impartial analysis to determine if any constitutional or other legal concerns would be raised if the prospective petition is enacted into**