

Dear Chair, Representative Rayfield, and Committee Members:

We are commenting on 2680 separately because we have a few different issues here but are in basic support of this bill. (Additionally, the commentary system appears to be set up this way.) Despite our support, we want to go directly to our concerns about this bill.

We are given to understand that currently the bill has large loopholes which would allow localities to opt out of limits, thereby becoming potential "sink holes" for big money channeled to other races. As believers in "One Person/One Vote", this is slick subterfuge!! ... In the same way, campaign spending from membership organizations should be publicly tied by name and, preferably, amount to the contributing members. And need we say, we do not support caucus committees having special dispensation towards higher limits!? We do not know why this bill was designed with so many loopholes. Perhaps it seemed necessary to gain support? But if so, please again, simply reference what we believe was the expressed will of real people in M107. Please serve the will of the people. Thank you. Anne S and John F. Wagner