



March 17, 2021

Chair, Senate Committee on Health Care
Oregon State Legislature
900 Court Street NE
Salem, OR 97301

RE: Senate Bill 168 - SUPPORT

Madam Chair Senator Patterson, Vice Chair Knopp, and Members of the Committee,

My name is Dr. Paula Amato. I am a Professor of OB/GYN and a reproductive endocrinologist at OHSU. I am writing in support of SB168 which would provide infertility insurance coverage to all Oregonians. Thank you for the opportunity.

The WHO and the ASRM classify infertility as a disease. Infertility affects 1/8 couples and results in considerable emotional and psychological distress. In-vitro fertilization (IVF) is the most effective treatment for most cases of infertility. The average cost of IVF the U.S. is \$15,000. Only 1 in 4 large employers cover IVF. Approximately half of those who need IVF do not receive it. Lack of affordability and lack of insurance coverage creates access disparities.

I am a native of Canada where I did my medical training. In Canada, rarely would my discussion with a patient involve their financial situation or specifics of their insurance coverage. In contrast, in Oregon, two-thirds of my patients lack insurance coverage for infertility, and I spend a lot of my time focused on what treatments my patients can afford rather than on what treatment is the most effective for their particular condition. Lack of affordability incentivizes patients to pursue more aggressive treatments, such as transferring more embryos to increase their chances of success. This results in a higher risk of multiple pregnancy which carries a much higher rate of pregnancy complications and substantial health care costs. In some cases, patients may pursue less expensive but less effective treatments. Often patients abandon treatment altogether because it's not financially feasible for them to continue or they may delay treatment which decreases their success due to age-related fertility decline. Do we really want to live in a society where only the wealthiest can access assisted reproductive technologies to have children?

About 10% of all cancer cases occur in young adults of reproductive age. The cure rates for cancer in that age group is 85%. Unfortunately, some of our cancer treatments can cause infertility. There are very effective options to preserve

School of Medicine

Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology

Division of Reproductive Endocrinology & Infertility

Fertility Consultants

Center for Health & Healing
Mail Code: CH10F
3303 S. Bond Avenue
Portland, OR 97239-4501

Tel: 503 418-3700

Fax: 503 418-3708

www.fertilityoregon.com

Paula Amato, M.D., MCR
Professor

David M. Lee, M.D.
Associate Professor

Sacha A. Krieg, M.D., Ph.D.
Assistant Professor

Jamie Peregrine, M.D., M.S.
Assistant Professor

Diana H. Wu, M.D.
Associate Professor
Medical Director

Thomas O'Leary, Ph.D., HCLD
Assistant Professor
Director, Andrology/Embryology
Lab

fertility prior to cancer treatment, namely sperm banking, egg and embryo freezing. Unfortunately, these treatments are usually not covered by insurance and can cost between \$10-15K. You will hear directly from cancer survivors in a moment. But I offer my perspective as a physician. It is heartbreaking to tell a young person just diagnosed with cancer and their parents that we have this amazing technology available that will help preserve their ability to have a genetically related child one day, but that they are unable to access it due to cost.

This past year, Covid has highlighted the health disparities that exist in the U.S. Racial and ethnic minorities are less likely to seek infertility care, more likely to delay care, and have worse outcomes compared to White patients. When cost barriers are reduced by providing insurance coverage, IVF utilization among minority patients increases. Improving access to care via broader insurance coverage would help rectify these disparities.


Nineteen states have passed infertility insurance laws, 13 of these include IVF coverage. Ten states have fertility preservation laws for medically induced infertility. Oregon is often a progressive leader as evidenced by historic passage of the RHEA a couple of years ago. Notably infertility was excluded from this law. I'm sad to say that when it comes to infertility insurance coverage, Oregon is indeed a laggard.

The perceived cost impact is the biggest barrier to offering insurance coverage. But many studies and real-world data from other states show that the cost is minimal and in fact may be cost saving in the long run due to the reduced risk of multiple pregnancy.

In summary, infertility is a disease with a substantial psychosocial burden. The lack of affordable options may have a detrimental effect on quality of life of over 100,000 Oregonians impacted by infertility. Infertility insurance coverage can be provided at a reasonable cost and may be potentially cost saving.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paula Amato', written in a cursive style.

Paula Amato, MD
Professor, Obstetrics & Gynecology