David S. Wall P.O. Box 756 Newberg, Oregon 97132; [408-287-6838]

March 16, 2021

To: House Committee on Water; others

Re: I OPPOSE [HB 3293] & [HB 3228]...Costs to the taxpayers would be exorbitantly unacceptable,

In re [HB 3293]: <u>OPPOSE</u>

"Water project support providers; "(A) The Department of Environmental Quality; (B) The Oregon Business Development Department; (C) The State Department of Fish and Wildlife; (D) The Oregon Health Authority; (E) The Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board; or (F) The Water Resources Department."

*But, there are other water providers, the usual cast of characters; nonprofits and "other organizations."

[HB 3293] unjustly enriches the nonprofits.

"Community engagement" is sketchy and cannot be uniformly relied upon.

*There are too many unidentified variables affecting the cost of this legislation to be borne by the taxpayers.

*There are ''No,'' Revenue, Fiscal Impact Reports and or a Budget Report. There are ''No'' ancillary costs to identify and recover administrative fees for any of the aforementioned State of Oregon Departments.

In re [HB 3228]: OPPOSE

***[HB 3228]** creates additional layers of costly overhead and staff increases to enable the Water Resources Department to carry out the prescribed responsibilities.

*[HB 3228] requires additional costly monitoring and water availabilities by the Water Masters.

****[HB 3228]** Read "SECTION (1)." It is apparent where people and governments can potentially make serious errors in judgment, initiating protracted, plethoric litigation.

[HB 3228] could easily create exorbitant litigation form the errors in judgment.

Every living Attorney would be feeding from [HB 3228]'s taxpayer funded trough.

The litigation would be so plentiful and ripe for the taking, even dead attorneys would exhume and cleanup themselves to participate in feasting upon taxpayer's monies.

*There are ''No,'' Revenue, Fiscal Impact Reports and or a Budget Report. There are ''No'' ancillary costs to identify and recover administrative fees.

[HB 3228] is not worth pursuing any further.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David S. Wall