

Chair, members of the committee.

I am opposed to this bill. There are many reasons for my opposition, but here are a few. If someone steals a gun from my house how do I prove it was stolen within the 72 hour reporting time, and that it had an approved trigger lock or cable lock device installed? If I sold a gun how do I prove one year after the sale that it was sold with a trigger lock. A plaintiff in a lawsuit would simple claim that I didn't comply with the law. How do I prove that I did comply?

Is there proof (physical evidence) that the gun at Clackamas Town Center didn't have a trigger lock? Maybe the thief removed it?

Because the burden of proof that I obeyed the law is on me and due to the high liability of law suit I will just accept the loss and not report it. For the same reason if I sell a gun I will not do a background check. I don't want someone claiming a year later that I didn't install the trigger lock.

This law will have the opposite effect of its intent. Unintended consequences.