

HB 2015 doesn't allow an individual to assess their situation and take steps to deal with those steps.  
There are plenty of court cases, administrative law and Bills to cover so that HB 2510 is not needed.  
Even though regulations and the concomitant punishments are placed upon those who strive to abide by the law, there are NO increased punishments for the lawless.  
Firearms owners get no chance to decide various aspects of this bill, such as trigger locks.  
OSP shows that crimes committed with guns are done with crooks who have a number of crimes against them as well.  
The argument "if only one life can be saved" never mentions that that one life might be a gunowner trying to protect his family.  
Google search shows many crimes go unsolved.  
This proposed Act doesn't fall within ORS 401.025 which defines an emergency as an event ". . .that causes or threatens widespread loss of life, injury to person or property, human suffering or financial loss. . ."

Specifically,

P. 2, line 19: needs to be objective, such as the definition of a modified room.

P. 2 line 26: Windows locked? 95 degrees outside? Airing out a room? After stating who can even be IN the room?

Page 3, line 3-6: Lawful gun owners have NO INPUT in promulgating this law.

Page 3, lines 9-10: Ready available is subjective at best. Part of the readily available is for quick reaction to a problem. Search Google to find the number of unsolved murders are in Oregon by geographical district. You or I could be one of the victims.

Page 3, lines: 20-23: Strictly liable? Someone steals a gun, defeats the trigger lock or gun is transferred with a trigger lock and the gun owner is responsible for what the crook or transferee does? This is flabbergasting.

Page 4, lines 19 - 25 Who determines reasonable? And if strict liability, what's the point — or is that the point?

Page 5, lines 28 - 32 No consultation with any person from the gun owner's community?

P. 5, lines 39-44: Actually, 2015 becomes in effect operative if it is past because the OHA can act on the provisions at any time. Meanwhile firearms owners have to second guess how the bill is to be interpreted.  
Why the Oregon Health "Authority"? This is a judicial act, in the Judicial committee and enforced by the police and the courts.

Section 10: This proposed Act doesn't fall within ORS 401.025 which defines an emergency ". . .that causes or threatens widespread loss of life, injury to person or property, human suffering or financial loss. . ."

Give the huge majority of responsible firearm owners a break. They deserve it.

I ask you to please vote NO on this bill.

Paul Ashton,  
Keizer.