

Postponing the requirement for rent will lead to a detrimental situation for landlord owners and tenants. Currently there are fewer people that have chosen to keep their investment properties in fear of something just like this. The income for those rental homes is all the income some retired people have. Because of this amendment current landlords are selling their homes and this impacts the tenant population for the future. Jackson County already has low inventory and this will even further the problem.

What is going to happen to these people that are NOT making their rent payments? Does one think that they will magically be able to make up the difference over night? Give the opportunity to the landlords to work with the tenants and the tenants to pay a reduced amount. This amendment is just postponing the inevitable.

I vote in opposition of this amendment