

This proposed bill has significant flaws that need to be looked at carefully. While we are still in a pandemic and we have so many unknowns ahead of us there is no point in extending a no pay policy till 2022. While it may take a little to pay back landlords how do you expect landlords to afford the home with no payments? Does anyone guarantee that the landlord will not be penalized in future endeavors because they could not pay their mortgage because of their tenants? This is a one sided bill that needs to be looked at closer to make sure all individuals are protected if that is the goal. I understand looking out for those who have been greatly affected by Covid but this blanket statement bill does not do that. My last point is that in no way shape or form should this bill include ending the landlords guest limits on leases! We screen for a reason and tenants allowing just anyone in the home for however long they like is unacceptable. When someone stays long enough they eventually have rights to stay in the property, even when they are not on the lease. This is completely against the rights of the landlord and takes away any purpose to screening and finding tenants as well as signing a lease. If someone stays as a guest for more than a few months are they a guest or a tenant? This is why such blanket statements on this bill are unacceptable and I oppose this bill.