

Testimony to State Reps and Senators
HB 2510:Firearm restriction Bill
House Committee on Healthcare
March 11, 2021

Chair Rachel Prusak, Vice Chairs Hayden, Vice Chair Salinas, and members of the committee, I am a citizen of the United States of America and resident of Oregon.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide written testimony in support of HB 2510. My goal with HB 2510 is to encourage a common sense view on this bill.

First I agree that firearms should be supervised and kept safe which provides safeguards while not in use. Here is what I don't agree with in this bill.

This bill will allow a citizen to be criminally prosecuted for up to 2 yrs if the firearm is stolen and used to commit a crime, even if the person reports the theft, that is ridiculous and anyone with common sense knows this. Who makes up these stupid bills.

How about we pass some laws that doesn't take the 2nd Amendment rights of a US citizen to defend himself. By the way my house is locked and my car is locked, if a criminal breaks into my house or car they have already broken a law probably more than one (trespassing, breaking and entering, theft, etc) and you want to pass a law that says if a criminal does this then you can prosecute me for up to 2 yrs. Yeah, real common sense.

How about we pass some laws that protect the 2nd Amendment rights of US citizens, we all know crime is on the rise in OR and no doubt due to DA's in Oregon that want to cripple the law enforcement processes and give criminals a free pass when they do commit a crime, what irresponsible leadership is this. And you want US citizens to approve of your bill to take away the right to defend ourselves when your letting the criminals go free and enabling their crimes. I will support bills that protect OR citizens from criminals, not the other way around.

The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that a trigger lock requirement is a violation of the Second Amendment. That is all you should need to know. Excerpts from Washington D.C. et. al. v. Heller: Heller, a D.C. police officer, challenged a D.C. law placing wide-ranging restrictions on guns, including a trigger lock requirement. A lower court dismissed the suit but the D.C. Circuit reversed. The reversal was challenged at SCOTUS. SCOTUS upheld the reversal. SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ET AL. v. HELLER

Remember your oath to uphold the constitution and Do Not Pass This Unneeded intrusive bill