

SB 116 A STAFF MEASURE SUMMARY

Carrier: Sen. Manning Jr

**Senate Committee On Judiciary and Ballot Measure 110
Implementation**

Action Date: 03/29/21

Action: Do pass with amendments. (Printed A-Eng.)

Vote: 4-3-0-0

Yeas: 4 - Dembrow, Gelser, Manning Jr, Prozanski

Nays: 3 - Heard, Linthicum, Thatcher

Fiscal: Has minimal fiscal impact

Revenue: No revenue impact

Prepared By: Channa Newell, Counsel

Meeting Dates: 2/3, 3/29

WHAT THE MEASURE DOES:

Prohibits private security providers or entities employing private security providers from possessing or using equipment, vehicles, uniforms, or titles that imply the provider or entity is affiliated with a public or private safety agency.

ISSUES DISCUSSED:

- Confusion of private security providers with law enforcement officers
- Examples of uniforms and vehicles used by private security that could be mistaken for local law enforcement
- Training requirements of private security providers

EFFECT OF AMENDMENT:

Specifies that provisions of measure do not apply to private security providers employed on campuses or special campus security officers.

BACKGROUND:

Oregon law prohibits private security businesses from using names that imply the entity is, or is affiliated with, an existing law enforcement agency. While special campus security providers and private security providers on campuses have specific uniform and vehicle requirements and prohibition, non-campus affiliated private security providers have no uniform or vehicle requirements or prohibitions.-

Senate Bill 116 A prohibits the use or possession of equipment, vehicles, uniforms, or titles that imply that a private security provider or private security business is affiliated with a public or private safety agency. The prohibition does not extend to private security providers employed on campuses or special campus security officers because they are subject to specific uniform requirements in ORS 181A.912.