

SB 16 would waive Oregon's land use laws and allow up to 100 new houses — not related to farming — to be scattered across 200 acres of exclusive farm use (EFU) lands in the large "eastern Oregon border region". Below are a few reasons why SB 16 should be opposed:

- Potentially adding many new homes for those not in farming or ranching in the midst of working lands causes many conflicts with farming.
- Agriculture is the economic engine of this region: Malheur County is among the top beef and dairy
  producing regions in the country. Farming and ranching is Malheur County's largest jobs provider,
  generating over \$350 million annually in agriculture sales.
- The results of SB 16 would take investment away from Ontario, which has land available for residential development. Rural communities need investment now more than ever.
- The state has recently invested in a major rail reload shipping facility in Nyssa, designed to increase the
  options available for Malheur County's ranchers and farmers to get their products to market quickly. This
  is not a time to be taking farmland out of production.
- Scattered housing development can interfere with wildlife and water resources and increases the risk of wildfire in an area already rated as high for wildfire risk.
- SB 16 is based on the flawed notion that if higher-end homes are allowed scattered on farmland, it will spur economic growth in the region. It seems likely these will end up as second homes, causing home prices overall to increase for those of middle- and low-incomes.



