

**SB 1547 A STAFF MEASURE SUMMARY**

Carrier: Sen. Dembrow

**Senate Committee On Judiciary****Action Date:** 02/10/20**Action:** Do pass with amendments. (Printed A-Eng.)**Vote:** 7-0-0-0**Yeas:** 7 - Dembrow, Gelser, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Olsen, Prozanski, Thatcher**Fiscal:** Has minimal fiscal impact**Revenue:** No revenue impact**Prepared By:** Channa Newell, Counsel**Meeting Dates:** 2/5, 2/10**WHAT THE MEASURE DOES:**

Relates to courts. Allows misdemeanor court proceedings to be conducted in Gresham that would otherwise be transferred to Portland if defendant is not in custody and all warrants issued by fourth judicial district for defendant have been vacated or executed. Corrects error in process to establish that a decedent's writing was intended to be a partial or complete revocation of the decedent's will. Allows notice to protected person of appointment of guardian to include forms of notice that are reasonably calculated to be received and understood by protected person, including verbal notice. Modifies date of expiration of sexual abuse restraining orders entered on behalf of a minor petitioner if the petitioner fails to request renewal of the order by the specified date following the petitioner's 18<sup>th</sup> birthday. Specifies procedures when service on respondent of sexual abuse restraining order is completed by alternative service. Declares emergency, effective on passage. Makes section pertaining to wills effective on passage. Makes provisions relating to restraining orders operative 91st day following adjournment sine die. Makes provisions relating to Gresham court and guardianship notice operative January 1, 2021.

**ISSUES DISCUSSED:**

- Examples of determining personal information from expiration of sexual assault protective order
- Unintentional error in process for determining if a writing is a will or revocation of a will
- Significance of East Multnomah courthouse in Gresham
- Intent of measure to provide technical corrections

**EFFECT OF AMENDMENT:**

Clarifies process for when proceedings can remain in Gresham court and when proceedings must be transferred to Portland. Makes technical changes to restraining order provisions. Makes provisions related to revocation of wills effective on passage.

**BACKGROUND:**

Senate Bill 1547-A is an omnibus bill with court-related technical corrections. It has four components.

Section 1 of SB 1547-A modifies the criteria for determining if a defendant's case should remain in the Multnomah County Circuit Court's Gresham court or be transferred to the court located in Portland. Currently, all proceedings in which the defendant is in custody of the sheriff or Department of Corrections, or in which a warrant has been issued against the defendant must be transferred to the Portland court. SB 1547-A allows misdemeanor proceedings to remain in Gresham upon the request of either party, unless good cause is shown, or when a defendant is not in custody and all warrants have been vacated or extinguished.

Section 2 of SB 1547-A corrects an error from House Bill 3007 (2019). ORS 112.238 provides a process for courts to determine if a writing is intended to be a will or revocation of a will. Subsection (2) describes the process for

## **SB 1547 A STAFF MEASURE SUMMARY**

determining that a writing is a will while subsection (3) provides the process to determine if a writing is a will, or a partial or complete revocation of a will. Because subsection (3) references determining if a writing is a will, it confuses the process outlined in subsection (2). SB 1547-A removes language referencing the determination that a writing is a will from subsection (3), thereby clarifying the process in both situations and makes this change effective on passage.

Section 3 of SB 1547-A allows for alternative forms of notice to a protected person when a guardianship has been ordered. In 2019, Senate Bill 376 required a guardian to give notice of an appointment of a guardian to the protected person. Concerns were raised that mailing a notice to a protected person may not provide actual notice of a guardianship to the person. SB 1547-A allows notice to be reasonably calculated to be received and understood by the protected person and may be verbal or in writing.

Section 4 of SB 1547-A modifies provisions of Senate Bill 995 (2019), which amended certain procedural requirements for the provision and service of a Sexual Assault Protection Order (SAPO) and unintentionally allowed disclosure of a petitioners' otherwise confidential birthday information. The measure also allowed courts to authorize alternative service of a SAPO if a petitioner demonstrated due diligence in attempting to effectuate personal service. SB 1547-A provides minor petitioners' an expiration date by which they must renew a SAPO after their 18<sup>th</sup> birthday that does not allow for disclosure of their confidential birthdate information. The bill also makes procedural changes to the alternative methods of service allowed in certain circumstances.