Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I oppose -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!! This way the people of Oregon will own the decision and I will accept that decision

Sincerely,

Max Sherman

Sent from my iPad

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I oppose -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

## LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely,

James C Benson Registered voter in Clatsop County

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE: 41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes, as I am. We deserve to vote on a Bill that will have a huge effect on all Oregonians.

LET US VOTE!

Sincerely,

Lynne Marks

Salem OR 97305

From:	DS
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Cc:	Sen Taylor; Rep Reardon; Rep Breese-Iverson; Sen.FredGirod@state.or.us; Sen Girod; Rep Hayden; Sen
	Frederick
Subject:	Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 11:36:17 AM

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Amy Brown

From:	Kristi Granberg
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Testimony on SB1530 Amendments
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 11:17:44 AM

I SUPPORT the Amendment removing the Emergency Clause from SB 1530.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment. This creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities, which it the reality of what will happen. The legislature should not be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

PLEASE, LET US VOTE!!! Respectfully, Kristi Granberg Dear Members of the Subcommittee on Natural Resources,

My wife and I are <u>strongly opposed</u> to SB1530 and any other type of "cap and trade" measure and request that you reject SB1530 without further action.

With that being said:

## We support the -42, -43, -45 and -52 amendments, which would remove the unwarranted and unjustified Emergency Clause from the bill, as well as referring it to a Vote of The People.

SB1530 represents yet another abuse of the "emergency clause," which has been added to this bill with the clear intent to prevent public opposition through a ballot initiative. Despite the climate lobby's protestations to the contrary, there is <u>no emergency that will be mitigated by this proposal</u> – this is proven by the fact that this proposal will have no impact on the climate at all!

SB1530 represents \$700 million in additional taxation - just to start! It's essentially a sales tax on carbon! Tax dollars that will be extorted from hard-working citizens! Any proposal for new taxation at this level must be put to a vote of the people!

Oregon is not responsible for climate change, and this bill will do nothing at all to impact the climate! Oregon's emissions have decreased and will continue to decrease organically over time. The state's own Greenhouse Gas Emissions report asserts *"Oregon's population has increased 43 percent since 1990 while in-state emissions per capita have decreased in the same time period. Since 2011, Oregon's in-state production emissions per capita have stayed relatively flat, around 13 MTCO2e per person."* Some federal reports show Oregon's per capita CO2 emissions at less than 10 metric tons.

There is an inconvenient truth that seems to have been omitted from the discussions regarding this bill. That truth is that the carbon being sequestered by Oregon's forests amounts to nearly one-half of our total CO2 emissions, which means that our per-capita emissions totals are even lower than noted in the paragraph above!

Further reductions can and should be achieved without the massive government intervention that this bill represents, and through incentives rather than punishment. SB1530 establishes improbable and in our opinion, unattainable emissions reductions – standards that are based on completely unrealistic assumptions on the availability of technology that will be needed in order to meet those standards.

As the Legislature well knows, <u>the ability to control CO2 emissions is the ability to control the</u> <u>economy, energy, land, people and resources</u>. This equates to a massive and unacceptable expansion of government power that we will <u>strongly resist</u>. Thank you for your consideration.

Keith and Tami LaHaie Central Point

From:	Melissa Laird
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Cc:	Melissa Laird
Subject:	SB 1530 Amendments
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 11:01:07 AM

TO: Joint Committee on Ways and Means Subcommittee on Natural Resources

RE: SB 1530 Amendments

I am writing today to ask you to SUPPORT -52 and -43 Amendments and OPPOSE -41 and -51 Amendments.

This bill, like so many others that have so claimed, is **not an emergency** and should not be deemed so. I support the -52 amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from the bill.

This bill is complex with lengthy, last-minute amendments. It has been rushed through the Legislature with little to no time for people to truly comprehend its far-reaching impact on all Oregonians. I support the -43 amendment which refers the entire bill to the people.

I oppose amendment -41 which looks to be the result of back room negotiations with unclear intentions.

I oppose amendment -51 which seeks ~\$20 MILLION in funding to kick start SB 1530.

I oppose SB 1530 without a vote of the people. Let the people vote. The Oregon Legislature should not be afraid of the opinions and beliefs of the people it represents.

Sincerely,

Melissa Laird

Beaverton, Oregon

From:	Elizabeth Wilkinson
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Addendum to Original Testimony (02/18/2020) Opposing & Supporting Amendments of SB1530, SB1530A & related bills
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 10:33:59 AM

TO: All Committee & Subcommittee Members of the Joint Committee on Ways & Means,

Cap and Trade has got to stop. There is ample evidence showing Oregon is already doing amazing things in our state and for our nation's interest on environmental impact. We reached a GHG goal set in 2007 to decrease our percentage per capita by 20% by 2020. We exceeded that goal by 2016 which is 4 years earlier while increasing our GDP and increasing population count that was expected! We should be celebrating our accomplishments!!!

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the Amendment -42, as requested by Senator Fred Girod, which deletes "declaring an emergency" & providing a referral to the voters for acceptance or rejection

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I oppose -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately <u>\$20</u> MILLION for SB 1530.

I OPPOSE LC308 now being set forth in the Oregon House of Representatives as it is basically a "rewrite" of SB1530 & SB1530A.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Elizabeth W Wilkinson Linn County PCP Precinct 101, Lyons, OR

From:	Fred Dalzell
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Testimony on SB 1530
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 10:24:27 AM
Importance:	High

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely,

**Fred Dalzell** 

From:ChristianaTo:JWMNR ExhibitsSubject:SB1530Date:Wednesday, February 19, 2020 10:06:56 AM

I SUPPORT the -52 Amendments from Rep. Cedric Hayden- which removes the Emergency Clause from the bill.

Christiana Mayer' Hillsboro OR

## Hello,

I was unable to come in person today to testify but I wanted to let you know my opinion on some of the amendments to the bill.

I support the amendment 43 which lets the voters have a say. This is a big step with repercussions across many industries and I think the people should have a chance to vote on it.

I am opposed to spending 20 million dollars in funding on this without a vote of the people. Less government offices and programs would probably end up being more beneficial to our environment, not more.

I am also opposed to the amendment 41. Again, too much regulation and interference in the free market.

You call this bill a free market solution but when I read it, it sounds like a bloated, complicated government program full of red tape which will be a huge headache for businesses, cause price increases for consumers, grow the government, and end up costing tax payers much more than is currently proposed.

Sincerely, Suzanne Jensen 17931 NW Gilbert Ln. Portland, OR 97229

From:	Belinda Coalman
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	SB1530
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 10:01:07 AM

Joint Ways and Means Subcommittee on Natural Resources,

I would like to say that I oppose the A41 amendment that was introduced without a public hearing. Not giving the public the chance to testify on a bill is no way to legislate. This amendment only removes from one bill and adds to another. I also oppose the -51 amendment that allocates funds to get SB1530 up and running. You might see this as an allocation, but we all know it will be payed for by the taxpayers, so is another tax wrapped up in this already enormous tax.

I support the -52 amendment that will remove the emergency clause from this bad bill. I support the -43 amendment which will refer the entire bill to the people. Throughout this entire past several weeks, the people have been asking you to either stop this legislation that will have untold costs and consequences to Oregon and it's peoples, with little to imperceptible impact on the environment, or remove the emergency clause and let the citizens of Oregon vote on it and decide for themselves.

This is probably the most divisive and polarizing bill that I can ever remember. You have the power to either unite Oregonians, or cause further divide. Please let us vote on this

Thank you for your time, Belinda Coalman

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely,

Helen Dalzell

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection

I'm retired, living on a small fixed income. It's hard enough making ends meet as it is now. And I live in Portland and as you know, their are a lot of new local taxes that I've got to deal with also. I'm sure that when you go to the store to buy something, you look at cost of an item before you buy. Then you're able to make a decision on whether or not you can afford it. Now I have the government raising my cost of living without any consideration as to whether or not I can afford it. What am I going to have to go without so I can pay these new taxes? Will it be prescription drugs, will it be home heating or will it be food. The cap and trade will raise the cost of everything and I'm very worried about if I'm going to be able to get by.

PLEASE LET THE PEOPLE VOTE ON THE CAP AND TRADE,

From:	Sherry Amelung
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 9:57:40 AM

LET the PEOPLE of this STATE VOTE on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I OPPOSE it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. This proposed bill is to large in scope and important to be "rushed" thru in this short session and SHOULD be VOTED on by the people of this state and NOT by executive power. It seems to much information will be withheld from the public and since WE will be paying for it the executive power use is unacceptable. I do not care how far "backwards you bend" or amendments that are continuing to be added, or what side of the fence you stand...THIS EFFECTS US AND WE SHOULD DECIDE! **NO TAX** of any kind will change the climate.

LET US VOTE !!

Respectively,

Sherry Amelung

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530 -

Overall I am Opposed to SB 1530. That said;

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I oppose -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes, the middle class and poor. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Kim W Rollins

831 Riverside Drive Burns, Oregon 97720

From:	Gene
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Testimony on Amendment son SB 1530
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 9:16:45 AM

I fully support the amendment to remove the emergency status from A SB 1530. This is no emergency by any definition of the word. An emergency would be the Senate chambers being aflame. It's unlikely an amendment would have prevented it.

I support Amendment 43. Referring this massive revenue legislation to the people that will produce all this revenue to the State is the only fair process. It's also the only Constitutional method to advance this measure.

I oppose 41 because, like so many aspects in this bill, it is another bait and switch tactic. Alluding that utilities are liable to pay for their own carbon tax when in another bill you stick that cost on us. Amendment 41 is just part of a 2 pronged assault on us and shouldn't be allowed.

I oppose the \$20 MILLION taxpayer allocation to the taxpayers that A 51 to SB1530 mandates.

In the final analysis, if this is truly a life saving emergency measure, it should be easy to see the imminent threat. The truth is there is no imminent threat. Oregon is doing much better in reducing carbon than almost every place on the globe. The reason is our Forests that are carbon sequestering factories and according to a recent op ed in the Register Guard, this carbon reducing potential wasn't even factored in to your calculus.

Just as when the State was setting electric energy goals to reduce carbon, they didn't factor in hydro power which as we all know is absolutely carbon free.

Why is that? The only answer is they chose to be devious, just as almost everything in this bill, including its intended and titled purpose is a farce.

LET US VOTE AND MAKE THE DECISION ON SB 1530! WE ARE THE ONES WITH HONOR THE TRUTH!

Gene Gradwohl Shedd, Oregon

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

Sincerely, Shawnie Wheeler Coquille Truck & Auto Supply, LLC. 76W Hwy 42 Coquille, Or 97423 For personal testimony regarding SB1530:

I support the -52 Amendments from Rep. <u>Cedric Hayden</u>- which removes the Emergency Clause from the bill.

https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/.../ProposedAmendment/17745

I support the - 43 amendment from Rep. <u>Christine Drazan</u> which refers the whole bill to the people.

https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/.../ProposedAmendment/17754

I oppose the A41 amendment, as the utility company rate increases are being unfairly snuck into other bills.

I oppose the fiscal allocation to SB 1530. It's currently the -51 amendment.

This cap and trade bill is wrong for Oregon. My husband and I and our family love living in Oregon, and this would make it so much more expensive for us, and for what reason? It truly does not help our climate, but is a bill that is structured under the false pretense of helping with climate change, but only opening the door for further corruption and financial gain of those in power. There's no transparency with this bill, and it is wrong for Oregon. Please vote NO on SB1530.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Eland

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

Sincerely, Shawnie Wheeler

MP Auto and Rigging, LLC. 725 Ash St. Myrtle Point, OR 97458 541-559-1081 Ph 541-559-1086 Fax mpcarquest@hotmail.com

Coquille Truck and Auto Supply, LLC. 76 W Hwy 42 Coquille, OR 97423 541-396-6116 Ph 541-396-7232 Fax carquest@live.com

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Bob & Darcie Stone PO Box 37 Broadbent, OR 97414

From:	Reef Dearth
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Cap and trade
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 8:43:03 AM

Cap and Trade has got to stop. There is ample evidence showing Oregon is already doing amazing things in our state and for our nation's interest on environmental impact. We reached a GHG goal set in 2007 to decrease our percentage per capita by 20% by 2020. We exceeded that goal by 2016 4 years earlier while increasing our GDP and increasing population count that was expected! We should be celebrating our accomplishments!!!

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I oppose -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Reef Dearth Benton county.

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the -52 Amendments which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Nickie Schmidt Salem, Oregon Marion County

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Respectfully, A true Oregonian, Wanda Gustafson

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all. Let us vote.

Sincerely, Kristi France They're are allowing public testimony on the Amendments on SB 1530 tomorrow (Wednesday). If you can't show up in person, please consider sending an e-mail of your testimony.

Here is a letter I'm sending to the Joint Subcommittee on Natural Resources for testimony on Wednesday (tomorrow):

Please feel free to copy, paste, edit, share and send!!

\*\*(Thank you Julie Parrish for your guidance and clarity)

To: Joint Subcommittee on Natural Resources,

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Sandra Rohn

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the -52 Amendments which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Douglas Schmidt Salem, Oregon Marion County

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I FULLY SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

WE THE PEOPLE HAVE A RIGHT to VOTE !

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Shannon Dugan Cap and Trade has got to stop. There is ample evidence showing Oregon is already doing amazing things in our state and for our nation's interest on environmental impact. We reached a GHG goal set in 2007 to decrease our percentage per capita by 20% by 2020. We exceeded that goal by 2016 4 years earlier while increasing our GDP and increasing population count that was expected! We should be celebrating our accomplishments!!!

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I oppose -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Robert Egner Linn County PCP Pricinct 46, Sweet Home

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone Get <u>Outlook for Android</u>

From:	linda music
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Amendments to cap and trade
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 6:09:45 AM

I support the 52 amendments from Rep. Cedric Hayden to remove the emergency clause. I also support the 43 amendments from Rep. Christine Deboy Drazan which refers the whole bill to the people to vote on.

I oppose the A41 (which was introduced with no public hearing)

I oppose the fiscal allocation to SB 1530 without a vote of the people.

Linda Music 541-826-8930 Grants Pass

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely,

Lois Hancox

From:	dustin cummins
To:	JWMNR Exhibits; Rep Reardon; Rep Breese-Iverson; Sen Girod; Rep Hayden; Sen Frederick;
	Sen.FredGirod@state.or.us; Sen Taylor
Subject:	Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 1:00:29 AM

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Dustin Cummins

From:	Vicki Schaur
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Testimony on Amendments to SB1530
Date:	Tuesday, February 18, 2020 11:38:31 PM

jwmnr.exhibits@oregonlegislature.gov.

I will address the amendments first.

**I SUPPORT the -52 amendment** from Rep C Hayden which removes the Emergency Clause from the bill.

**I SUPPORT the -43 amendment** from Rep C D Drazan which refers the whole bill to the people for a vote.

**I OPPOSE the -41 amendment** (which was introduced with no public hearing). This is the 'bait and switch' amendment that removes from Cap and Trade the ability or utility for utility companies to pass through rate increases for THEIR cost of Cap and Tree projects onto to citizens. Even worse, the provision is being restored in another bill. Devious at best, nefarious at worst. **OPPOSE -41** 

**I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation (or any allocation) of funds for SB1530,** currently -51, roughly \$20M.

**I vehemently OPPOSE SB 1530 without a vote of the people**. It has been labeled Cap and Trade but by intent and design it is a Cap and Tax. All Tax measures must go before the citizens for a vote!

Those having to pay should get a vote!!

A voter in District 26.

From:	Jennifer Hamaker
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Cc:	<u>Sen Taylor; Rep Reardon; Rep Breese-Iverson; Sen.FredGirod@state.or.us; Sen Girod; Rep Hayden; Sen</u> <u>Frederick</u>
Subject:	Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530
Date:	Tuesday, February 18, 2020 11:06:12 PM

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I oppose -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Jen Hamaker

Sent from my iPhone
I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Josie Alexander

Sent from my iPhone

## I'm in FULL SUPPORT to Representative Christine Drazan's SB 1530-A43 (LC19) **PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO A-ENGROSSED**

## SENATE BILL 1530

On page 1 of the printed A-engrossed bill, line 7, delete "declaring an

emergency" and insert "providing that this Act shall be referred to the people

for their approval or rejection".

On page 94, delete lines 36 through 41 and insert:

## **"REFERRAL**

"SECTION 111. This 2020 Act shall be submitted to the people for

their approval or rejection at the next regular general election held

## throughout this state.".

LET US VOTE! If you think this is such a great bill, then you should not be worried by LETTING THE PEOPLE VOTE!

Sincerely,

Lisa Miller

**Oregon Resident** 

Joint Subcommittee on Natural Resources,

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION.

Let the people of Oregon vote on this bill.

Sincerely,

Stacey Black Eugene Oregon

From:	Lisa Miller
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	RE: SB 1530-A52 (LC19)
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 12:27:02 PM

I'm writing this letter IN SUPPORT of Representative Cedric Hayden's amendment SB 1530-A52 (LC19)

On page 1 of the printed A-engrossed bill, line 7, delete "declaring an emergency" and insert "prescribing an effective date".

Your abuse of the emergency clause is disheartening to say the least and not only that maybe you all need to go back and read what the intended purpose of the emergency clause was created for. Thank you Representative Hayden for continuing to serve and not dictate to your constituents.

# **Emergency Clause**

A statement added to the end of a <u>measure</u> which causes the <u>act</u> to become effective before the accustomed date. An emergency clause either sets a specific date or is effective immediately, which means that the <u>measure</u> will take effect on the date of its signature into law. **NOTE: emergency clauses may not be attached to bills which would raise revenue.** 

Oregon State Legislature <sup>1</sup>

Sincerely, Lisa Miller Oregon Resident To: Joint Subcommittee on Natural Resources,

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost me, I am on SSD income and cannot afford a higher cost of living. Please do not contribute to homelessness by passing this bill. The people deserve to vote on a bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Margaret Sexty Registered voter Resident of Salem --Sent from myMail for Android I SUPPORT the -52 Amendments from Rep. Cedric Hayden- which removes the Emergency Clause from the bill.

https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/ .../ProposedAmendment/17745

I SUPPORT the - 43 amendment from Rep. Christine Deboy Drazan which refers the whole bill to the people.

https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/ .../ProposedAmendment/17754

I OPPOSE the A41 (which was introduced with no public hearing).

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation to SB 1530. It's currently the -51 amendment

I oppose SB 1530 without a vote to the people!

Regards,

Tricia Stockwell Columbia River Auto Glass, LLC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Bryan Hodson
То:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 4:36:02 PM

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Regards, Bryan Hodson House District 21

From:	T. Carrasco
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Cc:	Stacee Taft (And Mark): Dan and Michelle Affonso; Jeff P. Brother; ARTHUR CRINO; Don and Margaret Smith;
	Bob & Mae Heide; Valerie Brooks; Gary Bray-email; Charles Blatt; Sen Boquist; Walter Drysdale-GOP house
	captain for distr.37; Dorothy Moore; Daniel Zike; Daniel Lacy; Roger W Law-email; Ellie McClure-new 6/05;
	<u>Gordon Fiddes; Paul Fruin; Ron Garcia HD 37; Lauri Grossman; Karla &amp; Greg Doering; Ginni Kennedy; Tracy</u>
	Honl; Linda Herb-momneighbor; Mike Hannegan; PEGGY (6169)HOUCK; Len Morelli; Judy Luse-new 4/06; Nancy
	Kost; Nyla Taylor; Brenda Odette & Barb; Tobey, Reba; reed.christensen@washco.gop; Christine T; Tootie
	<u>Smith; Vanlaningham, Larry; Wade Vandenburg; Stan Withee; Timothy T. Anderson (the younger)</u>
Subject:	(Please enter in official record as public testimony)
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 4:19:14 PM

All Oregon senators,

Please enter in official record as public testimony from:. Toni and Leonard Carrasco, Tualatin, Oregon:

Regarding Cap and Trade 1530 ammendments-----

We SUPPORT -52 Amendments from Rep. Cedric Hayden- which removes the Emergency Clause from the bill.

https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2020R1/Downloads/ProposedAmendment/17745

We SUPPORT the - 43 amendment from Rep. Christine Deboy Drazan which refers the whole bill to the people.

https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2020R1/Downloads/ProposedAmendment/17754

We OPPOSE the A41 (which was introduced with no public hearing). This is the "bait and switch" amendment that removes from Cap and Trade the ability for utility companies to pass through rate increases for THEIR cost of Cap and Trade. Please DO NOT RESTORE this provision in another sneaky back door bill.

We OPPOSE the fiscal allocation to SB 1530. It's currently the -51 amendment, but they are modifying it and it hasn't been posted, but it's roughly \$20 MILLION in funding to get SB 1530 moving. Please don't use our tax money in this manner.

You've told us our hard earned dollars, that require time away from our families and our favorite activities to earn, would go to the issues and needs that we voted for. Why isn't that happening? We do not believe this will improve the climate on all only make it possible for those with money to buy credits and make money on them. Please don't penalize the hard-working people of Oregon by taxing their gasoline, which will put many young families out of business as loggers or truck drivers, since this is their largest expense. This tax on natural gas for heating our homes will drive many of us retirees on fixed incomes out of their homes.

We oppose SB 1530 without a vote of the people.

From:	Lynda Sherman
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 2:46:58 PM

I SUPPORT Amendment 52 that removes the Emergency Cause from SB 1530. I SUPPORT Amendment 43 which refers the whole bill to the people.

I OPPOSE Amendment 41 I OPPOSE Amendment 51 which is the fiscal allocation to SB 1530.

Cap and Trade will effect every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed or low income. We deserve to vote on bills that will effect us. I think we can make better use of the fiscal allocation to SB 1530 that would improve climate and life for Oregonians, without Cap and Trade.

I OPPOSE SB 1530 without a vote of the people. Sincerely Lynda Sherman

Sent from my iPad

I'm writing to show my support for amendments that remove the emergency clause from SB1530 as well as my support for amendments 42 & 43 which refer SB1530 to Oregonians for a vote. The emergency clause has been repeatedly abused as a way to prevent Oregonians from being able to vote on bills. It needs to stop! Save the use of emergency clauses for actual emergencies.

I also strongly oppose amendments 41 & 51.

Allow Oregonians the opportunity to vote on carbon emissions bills. Trust that the majority will make the right decisions for our State. Remove the emergency clause and give us back our right to vote.

Respectfully, Donna Wilson Resident of Turner, OR Dear Oregon Legislatures,

I oppose SB1530 without a vote of the people.

Amendments: 17745 17754 I support the -52 Amendments from Rep. Cedric Hayden which removes the Emergency Clause from the bill.

I support the -43 Amendment from Rep. Christine Deboy Drazan which refers the whole bill to the people.

I oppose the A41 Amendment

I oppose the 51 amendment.

Sincerely, Cathy C. Holtz 22 Treasure Cove Lane Manzanita, Oregon 97130 To: Joint Subcommittee on Natural Resources,

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

### LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

### LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Maurice C.Yoder 441 NE Hill St. Sheridan, Oregon 97378-1339 To whom it may concern,

I SUPPORT the -42 & -43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Desiree Housley Jefferson

Sent from my iPhone

From:	<u>7halls</u>
To:	JWMNR Exhibits
Subject:	Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530: I oppose SB 1530 without a vote of the people!
Date:	Wednesday, February 19, 2020 1:40:44 PM

To: Joint Subcommittee on Natural Resources,

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection. LET US VOTE!

I OPPOSE -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

### LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

#### LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely,

Heather Hall

I am writing in regards to some of the amendments that I would like to see added to SB1530.

I agree with the -52 that removing the emergency clause is needed. This clause is being misused by our legislature and leads to distrust as well as diminish bills that are truly needing to have emergency clauses.

I also agree that the -43 amendment that also removes the emergency clause and also refers this to be an issue added to the ballot for all Oregonians to be voting on. This issue is way too important and effects all Oregonians and should be referred to the voters. This is not an issue that should be pushed through on a short session. If this is such a good bill why are certain democrats afraid to put it to the people.

I am in opposition to the -41 amendment. Trying to take it out of this bill and put it in another bill is just sneaky.

I oppose the fiscal allocation to this bill. Even though it is not exactly clear on the cost of implementing this program the last I heard it would be roughly around 20 million dollars. That is a lot of money that our state does not have to go towards something that will make little difference in the emissions everyone seems to be concerned about. That money needs to be used to actually help the people of our state such as the homeless epidemic, drugs and crime, and sex trafficking, just to name a few.

I ask for a no vote on SB1530 but am speaking today specifically on the amendments that you are considering. ~Megan

To :Joint Subcommittee on Natural Resources,

Re: Testimony on Amendments on SB 1530

I SUPPORT the Amendment which removes the Emergency Clause from A SB 1530.

I SUPPORT the 43 amendment which refers A SB 1530 to the people of Oregon for approval or rejection.

I oppose -41 amendment because it's a bait and switch tactic that creates the illusion that this bill is going to make utilities pay their own carbon tax, when in reality, you're sticking that provision into another bill where voters won't see it. NO WAY should we pay the carbon tax for utilities. In NO WAY should the legislature be hiding the truth from the people - that's what the Amendment 41 does and I oppose it.

I OPPOSE the fiscal allocation in A 51 to SB 1530, which costs taxpayers approximately \$20 MILLION for SB 1530.

LET US VOTE!

Cap and Trade will cost every Oregonian, particularly those on fixed incomes. We deserve to vote on a Bill that effects us all!

LET US VOTE!!

Sincerely, Sonja M. Nunnally Astoria, Oregon