Committee Members;

I'm finding it impossible to understand how anyone with the smallest modicum of gun experience can put forth the idea of the gun lockup (sure, let's put a cable across a trigger, yeah, that sounds smart!) or even a smaller modicum of legal training or inherent sense (I can be punished for something someone *ELSE* does?) By what possible logic? Serious question. I would truly appreciate a reply explaining the logic behind this policy.

This bill needs to be burned without debate. Debate would be wrong and moot, because this bill is unconstitutional both at the state (Article 1 Section 27) and federal (2nd Amendment) levels. Both references cited should be crystal clear and totally unambiguous to anyone who can speak and read the English language. I only have a high school education, and I understand both of them quite well.

Maurice A. Hallauer PO Box 1816 Roseburg, Oregon 97470 1gyrene@dslextreme.com