

Testimony on HJR 202

2/4/2020

1. What does "Medical Care is a right" specifically mean, beyond its obvious use as an empty propaganda slogan?
2. What does "ensure access to health care" specifically mean, beyond its obvious use as an empty propaganda slogan?
3. How does "health care" specifically differ from "medical care"?
4. What would HRJ 202 specifically commit Oregonians to do to "ensure access to health care", other than empower politicians and interest groups to force their agandas ahead of the deliberative legislative process of formulating revenue and fiscal policy?
5. How does a resolution like this get a full committee hearing with a Revenue Impact statement there is "No Revenue Impact" and only a boilerplate Fiscal Impact statement "May Have Fiscal Impact" that the people need to assess it?

These are fundamental questions to which every Oregonian has the right to expect answers from politicians BEFORE a resolution like this gets a hearing. Especially in these times when we no longer have a press that does "Witness Journalism" pressing politicians with basic, substantive questions like this as the people's witness to the process.