## OREGON



## Informational Meeting on HB 3430 Before the House Committee on Energy and Environment

May 21, 2019 Testimony of Tom Byler, Director Oregon Water Resources Department



- ORS 536.075 sets out the processes available to parties associated with final orders issued by the Water Resources Commission and Water Resources Department
- ORS 536.075 addresses both final orders in contested a case and final orders in other than contested case
- HB 3430 proposes to repeal ORS 536.075(5)



- The filing of a petition for judicial review of a final order of the Water Resources Commission or Water Resources Department shall stay enforcement of the order
- The Commission or Department may deny the stay upon a determination that substantial public harm will result if the order is stayed
- The Commission or Department denial shall be in writing and specifically state the substantial public harm that will result from allowing the stay



## Comparison of Stay Processes for Final Orders in Other than Contested Cases

**Oregon Water Code** 

ORS 536.075(5)

- Stay is automatic upon filing of petition for judicial review
- Commission or Department may deny stay on determination of substantial public harm

Oregon APA - ORS 183.484

(Model Rules 137-004-0090)

- Petition for reconsideration of final order may also request stay
- Petition must state facts and reasons to show stay should be granted:
  - Irreparable injury if not stayed;
  - Colorable claim of error in order; and
  - Stay will not result in substantial public harm
- Agency must grant/deny within 30 days
  - Granting of stay may include conditions (bond, irrevocable letter of credit)



- OWRD distributes water rights based on a priority system regulating (shutting off) junior water rights in favor of senior water rights when water is short
- Regulation of junior water rights is enforced through final orders in other than contested cases
- 2015 OWRD began to see petitions for judicial review associated with regulation final orders assert the automatic stay provision



- The automatic stay allows continued water use by junior water right holder pending completion of judicial review process
- It is unlikely judicial review process can be completed during the current season of water use
- It takes time and resources for the Water Resources
  Department or Commission to develop necessary factual findings and issue a final order to deny the automatic stay



- Since 2015, 32 petitions for judicial review asserted the automatic stay provision, precluding regulation according to relative priority dates
  - Petitions from Umatilla, Willamette, Malheur Lake and Klamath basins
  - Six stays have been denied by OWRD (all surface water)
- Klamath Basin
  - 2013/14 Basin Adjudication Administrative Findings submitted, regulation begins
  - Basin accounts for 27 of the 32 petitions for judicial review with automatic stays related to regulation of water rights



Examples of Petitions for Judicial Review and Automatic Stay

- Petition for judicial review filed by junior surface water right holder after being regulated by OWRD to protect senior surface water right
- Petition for judicial review filed by water user who had no water right
- Petition for judicial review filed by junior groundwater right holder after being regulated for senior surface water right
  - Klamath Basin case pending before Oregon Court of Appeals



## **Questions?**