

David S. Wall
P.O. Box 756 Newberg, Oregon 97132; [(408)-287-6878]

Via Electronic Mail

April 24, 2019

To: House Committee on Human Services and Housing; [and many others].

Re: I DO NOT SUPPORT: [SB 543]-I do not support subsidizing very low and low income families.

[SB 543]: "WHAT THE MEASURE DOES: Authorizes formation of children's special districts and provides districts the authority to assess, levy and collect taxes to pay the cost of children's services within the boundaries of the district. Defines children's services as services that support children's total health and well-being that are provided to children outside of school hours. Requires district to discontinue a project if an action is filed claiming that any revenue of the district is subject to the \$5 limitation per \$1,000 of real market value under Article XI, section 11b, of Oregon Constitution. Requires petition for formation of children's special district to be signed by greater of 10 percent or more of electors in territory or 100 electors. Aligns formation and changes of organization of children's special districts with existing special district statutes."

I am not sympathetic to adults who do not have an education and or reliable job skills who have children and then want to "pick-my-pocket" to pay for their children's "needs."

Very low and low income families will have many difficulties in Oregon for the following reasons:

"Sanctuary State" illegal aliens who are subject to criminal prosecution and deportation. Meanwhile, these criminals chew-up Oregonian tax-dollars in public services and health care. They also compete for low-skilled jobs.

Oregon's embrace of "High-Tech and Service Industry economies" have a finite number of jobs. Competition is tough from legal resident aliens and citizens of the United States of America. Oregon's resource economy; timber, forest products, agriculture and fisheries are under severe attack with the pursuit of economic development of rural areas. This increases pressures on Oregon's cities which are not designed and or have the resources to absorb the population increases.

Housing was never designed to accommodate influx of legal job-seekers in conjunction with The State of Oregon's "Sanctuary State" flagrant seditious conduct. The "Sanctuary State" has deleterious effects on the overall job market. "E-verify" and restrictions placed on sending revenue to a foreign country would serve to curtail this problem in many workplaces.

Housing has become a premium commodity commanding high income revenue. Low skilled workers cannot afford to live in Oregon. Government subsidies by self-serving politicians who transform the public treasury into the public trough to "buy votes" exacerbate the multiple problems unskilled workers and unfortunately the taxpayers will endure.

Non-profit corporations continue to perpetrate a hoax and or espouse illusory incantations to very low and low income families you don't have to move, financial support is on the way. Meanwhile, the "Non-profits" are cashing in as recipients of lucrative, never ending government contracts to provide all sorts of services for this segment of the population.

"Non-profits" are pernicious, predatory parasites and are major players in "The Homeless Industrial for Complex."

Socialists routinely cry "income inequality" and "growing disparities between the rich and the poor." The media feeds on this carrion and tries to force this warped ideology down our throats. The Socialist's manifesto is predicated on using other people's monies to temporarily support a failed economic model. When the money runs out-vigilantism will surface with a vengeance.

Removing all Non-profit services and government subsidies to artificially support those who cannot afford to live in Oregon will compel these people to flee Oregon's jurisdiction.

VOTE "NO" ON [SB 543]!
Respectfully submitted,
/s/ David S. Wall