

April 16, 2019

Chair & members of the Committee
Joint Committee on Transportation
Oregon State Capitol
Salem, OR 97301

Re: House Bill 2015

Dear Co-Chair Byers and Members of the Committee:

I am writing in non-support of House Bill 2015, in particular, its amendments, which would establish the non-requirement that a person provide proof of legal presence before Department of Transportation issues noncommercial drivers licenses, noncommercial driver permit or identification card. Oregonians voted in 2014 as a referendum in Measure 88. Sixty-six percentage of the state turned down the measure. The voters said, "No." It is a gross misuse of the Emergency clause which would make HB 2015 **retro-active**.

I professionally witnessed abuses in the system. Such abuses were not carrying any insurance, changing names, using stolen information, sending a relative to take the driver's test, or barely carrying insurance. My cousin was hit by an alien while on his bike (in a very wide bike lane). The alien driver held drivers licenses from **two countries and one state**. My cousin had to be airlifted to a hospital that had hand specialists. He is a commercial pilot and was off work for three months. Then he had to go through psychological, physical, and practical testing to requalify to be a commercial airline pilot while the alien driver was not held accountable.

I do not support HB 2015 because, the jury pool is taken from voter registration and DMV records. Aliens cannot serve on a jury.

I do not support HB 2015 it creates possible false voter registration. J. Christian Adams, who worked in the Justice Department's Voting Rights Section and now heads the nonprofit [Public Interest Law Foundation](#), obtained voter-registration records from eight of Virginia's 133 cities and counties, [discovering 1,046 illegal aliens were illegally registered to vote](#). He's also forced several counties to clean up their voter rolls in states such as Mississippi and Texas <https://www.wnd.com/2018/03/illegals-charged-for-voting-in-presidential-election/#olt5rPL68yr1l3am.99>

I do not support HB 2105 because, Immigration law forbids working in this country without legal authorization and a Social Security Number (SSN). Undocumented workers often get jobs by using illegally obtained, forged, or invalid Social Security numbers. Valid numbers issued from the U.S. government are also misused.

I do not support **Section 3** which requires the Department of Transportation to issue a drivers license to anyone without any real proof of citizenship. Line 40-A requires the driver to have at least 1 year's driving experience as well as, relevant experience. There is not any universal database to verify relevant or 1 year(s) driving experience. Lines 35-38 do not spell out any medical requirements and leave it open to interpretation by a committee. A line 40-42 does not require a method to verify if a military member has a clean driving record. There is not a database that the state can access to the military system. Military driver's licenses are issued at the individual bases not through a state run DMV.

I do not support **Section 4, B, e. line 28.** Taking biometric data by the department does not work if the person is not in the system.

I do not support **Section 4 E, lines 9-12.** What is considered lawful permanent resident. The verbiage is very open ended. Lines 27-31 the ID requirement is less stringent than the ID required by the State of Oregon for a Notary Republic to accept. Line 38 does not establish how DMV (department of transportation) determines if the document is fraudulent.

I do not support **Section 6, d, lines 21-22** does not specify what type of evidence is required to prove the person's full legal name, DOB and submits to biometric data. Biometric data will not verify anything if they are not already in the system. State, local, and Federal data bases cannot talk to each other due to different servers or help verify.

I do not support **Section 7, 1, lines 22-26.** . It does not specify what is considered lawful status. **Line 34** verbiage does not say what is considered a lawful stay. How are you going to verify a lawful stay if you do not contact ICE, have documentation or know what constitutes a lawful stay, i.e. Green card, travel visa, etc..

I do not support **Section 12,** an aggrieved party has more rights than the accused individual party. There are not any penalties for frivolous or false complaints. The individual party (defendant) is held to a higher standard and there is not any form redress, or any real due process. The accused does not have any way to recover attorney fees, time loss, for frivolous or false complaints.

I urge the Committee's consideration to respect the voters. I beseech the Committee's non-support of 2015 and its amendments.

Respectfully,
//SIGNED//
LAURIE KIMMELL