HB 2079 Boating Safety Laws







Overview

- Allow Boater Education Card to be revoked for two violation convictions: Boating Under the Influence; Reckless Boating.
- Redefine "Recklessly" to be consistent with Motor Vehicle code.
- Reduce Life Jacket violation from Class B to Class D violation (\$265 to \$115 fine).





Issue: Boater Education Card and certain major violations.

- <u>Boating Under the Influence of Intoxicants</u>:1-3 year revocation of boating privileges.
 - If a boat owner, Board suspends all registrations.
 - Suspension may affect all members of a family trust, corporation or joint ownership.
- <u>Reckless Operation</u>: Operator may face criminal charges but no boating restrictions.





Solution:

- Allow courts and Marine Board to suspend the Boater Education Card for:
 - BUII convictions
 - Reckless Boating convictions
- No longer revokes registration
- No other violations would result in suspension



Issue: Update obsolete language in ORS 830.315 "Reckless Boating.

- "Willful or wanton" disregard for safety is not welldefined by courts and is inconsistent with motor vehicle code.
- The Board has seen a spike in reckless operation leading to serious crashes.





Solution:

 Update ORS 830.315 Reckless Boating to reference ORS 161.085 definition.

"Recklessly" ...means that a person is aware of and consciously disregards a substantial and unjustifiable risk that the result will occur or that the circumstance exists.

The risk must be of such nature and degree that disregard thereof constitutes a gross deviation from the standard of care that a reasonable person would observe in the situation.



Issue: Violation for "no life jacket."

- Failure to Carry a Life Jacket: B violation at \$265
- Life jackets are the seat belts of boating safety.
- 371 citations, 760 warnings in 2018
- High dollar value limits effective application in the field





Solution:

- Change adult "no life jacket" violation from a class B to a class D violation (\$115).
- Marine enforcement officers generally agree to more broad application
- No change to "Child not wearing PFD" violation. Remains Class B at \$265.



Questions



