

**From:** [Andrew Barrow](#)  
**To:** [SJUD Exhibits](#)  
**Subject:** SB 978 Please Vote No  
**Date:** Monday, April 1, 2019 10:59:06 PM

---

Good morning Senate Committee,

I would urge you to vote no on SB 978. This leaves the definition of public building to vague. Why demonize law abiding citizens, your constituents? I appreciate your empathy towards keeping people safe. However I believe this risks people's rights on a scale that has not be weighed fully yet. Take time to not just to please folks who are laying on the pressure to "protect others". Violence is a heart matter not an inanimate objects fault. Bad people do bad things regardless the mode, or weapon. I was a prison gaurd I should know the minds of criminals. Please vote no from a constituent who served this country, who's passed FBI background checks, who's law abiding citizen and not willing to compromise rights for convenience. Does this amendment truly produce the results your looking for? In my opinion it does not. Thank you for your time.

**Article 1, Section 27** of the **Oregon Constitution** states: "The people shall have the right to bear arms for the defence [sic] of themselves, and the State, but the Military shall be kept in strict subordination to the civil power[.]"Sep 27, 2018

Respectfully,

Andrew Barrow

Note: Please use discretion with your personal information in written testimony (i.e., do not add personal information you do not want the public to see). All meeting materials, including your name and any personal information contained in the submitted documents, are posted to the Oregon Legislative Information System (OLIS) and are accessible to all major search engines, including Google, Bing, and Yahoo.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Andrew Barrow](#)  
**To:** [SJUD Exhibits](#)  
**Subject:** SB 978 Vs Article 1, Section 27 of the Oregon Constitution states  
**Date:** Monday, April 1, 2019 10:44:13 PM

---

Good morning Senate Committee,

I would urge you to vote no on SB 978. This leaves the definition of public building to vague. Why demonize law abiding citizens, your constituents? I appreciate your empathy towards keeping people safe. However I believe this risks people's rights on a scale that has not be weighed fully yet. Take time to not just to please folks who are laying on the pressure to "protect others". Violence is a heart matter not an inanimate objects fault. Bad people do bad things regardless the mode, or weapon. I was a prison gaurd I should know the minds of criminals. Please vote no from a constituent who served this country, who's passed FBI background checks, who's law abiding citizen and not willing to compromise rights for convenience. Does this amendment truly produce the results your looking for? In my opinion it does not. Thank you for your time.

**Article 1, Section 27** of the **Oregon Constitution** states: "The people shall have the right to bear arms for the defence [sic] of themselves, and the State, but the Military shall be kept in strict subordination to the civil power[.]"Sep 27, 2018

Respectfully,

Andrew Barrow

Note: Please use discretion with your personal information in written testimony (i.e., do not add personal information you do not want the public to see). All meeting materials, including your name and any personal information contained in the submitted documents, are posted to the Oregon Legislative Information System (OLIS) and are accessible to all major search engines, including Google, Bing, and Yahoo.

Sent from my iPhone