

City of Coos Bay Public Works and Development Department 500 Central Ave Coos Bay, Oregon, 97420 (541) - 269-8918

April 5, 2019

Oregon Senate Committee on Environment and Natural Resources Senator Michael Dembrow – Chair Senator Alan Olsen- Vice Chair Senator Herman Baertschiger.Jr. Senator Michael Dembrow Senator Cliff Bentz Senator Floyd Prozanski Senator Arnie Roblan

Subject: Senate Bill 927

Dear Senators,

The purpose of this letter is to share the City of Coos Bay's comments on Senate Bill 927. The City supports Senator Roblan's amendment deleting the repeal of ORS 197.772 in SB 927. The opportunity to opt out of participation in a historic program supports valuable property rights.

The balance of the proposed bill would enable local jurisdictions to establish a historic resources program unique to each Oregon community with a local process for inclusion of a property as well as a public participation program. This concept is preferable to the City.

The current Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians (CTCLUSI) has a proposal pending through the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) for a Traditional Cultural Lands Historic District over land in the City of Coos Bay. The current Oregon Administrative regulations (OAR) lack clarity; it appears land development applications in the proposed TCP area would be subject to extended development application processing times and expense. Were SB927 be adopted, the ambiguous OAR language would no longer mandate the development application and notification process. The City's letter to SHPO regarding objections to the TCP is attached and further expands on this concern.

The City respectfully requests consideration of establishing the effective date retroactively to precede the anticipated date of the July, 2019 National Park Service action on the CTCLUSI TCP application. Should SB 927 become law prior to July, the City would be empowered to develop and access its own criteria and public notification process for a CTCLUSI TCP application. If SB 927 were to become law after July 1, 2019, it is fully anticipated that residents seeking land development applications in the proposed TCP area will be facing additional processing time and expense.

Most Sincerely,

Carolyn Johnson

Carolyn Johnson Community Development Administrator City of Coos Bay at 500 Central Ave, Coos Bay Oregon 97420 <u>cjohnson@coosbay.org</u> 541-269-1181 ex 2287 cc: Rodger Craddock, City Manager



P.O. Box 1178 Coos Bay, Oregon 97420-0309 Telephone: (541) 269-1123 Fax: (541) 269-1126

Nathan B. McClintock Email: nmcclintock@epuerto.com

.

Legal Assistant: Raquel Wood, PLS Email: raquelwood@epuerto.com

April 3, 2019

Julie Osborne, Interim Chair State Advisory Committee on Historic Preservation Oregon Parks & Recreation Department 725 Summer St. NE, Suite C Salem, OR 97301 Julie.Osborne@state.or.us

Christine Curran Deputy State Historic Preservation Office State Advisory Committee on Historic Preservation Oregon Parks & Recreation Department 725 Summer St. NE, Suite C Salem, OR 97301 Chrissy.Curran@state.or.us

Robert Olguin Oregon State Historic Preservation Office 725 Summer St. NE, Suite C Salem, OR 97301 Robert.Olguin@oregon.gov

> RE: Proposed "Q'alya ta Kukwis scichdii me" Traditional Cultural Property Historic District ("Proposed TCP Historic District")

Dear State Advisory Committee on Historic Preservation ("SACHP"):

I am writing on behalf of the City of Coos Bay, to express the City's opposition to the creation of the proposed TCP Historic District sought by the Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians ("CTCLUSI").

While CTCLUSI has been working on this project for at least three years, the City of Coos Bay, and the private property owners in the City whose property would be affected by this proposal, first became aware of the Tribe's request in mid-December 2018. It was then, for the first time, that public knowledge of the breadth and scope of this proposal, which involves over 16,000 acres, and hundreds of discrete parcels of real property, became public knowledge. Since that time, the City has been attempting to discern the effects that such a

April 3, 2019 Page 2

designation will have on the involved properties in terms of development, both substantive and procedural. Unfortunately, because of the short window of time, and the fact that the scope of this project is unique to the State of Oregon (and most of the rest of the United States), questions remain unanswered. I direct your attention to the response submitted by the Coos Bay Landmarks Commission's response to the Tribe's proposal, in which the Commission raises a number of concerns, none of which have truly been answered.

It has been pointed out that the map submitted by CTCLUSI in its application for the TCP erroneously designates a number of properties in the TCP Historic District, which even CTCLUSI acknowledges should not be in the District. Other inaccuracies were identified during the recent SHPO hearing as well. In light of these errors, it would seem appropriate under the circumstances to at least delay any further consideration of this TCP request, until these errors are addressed, if not requiring this proposal to start over.

One of the most basic concerns the City has with regard to the granting of the TCP, will be the increased level of procedural process necessary for the development of properties within the District. Generally throughout the United States placement of a property on the National Register of Historic Places is honorific in nature, without the imposition of additional duties and responsibilities. Unfortunately, this is not the case in Oregon. As you are undoubtedly aware, once a property is listed in the National Register, additional procedural requirements are mandated under Goal 5. If a development will demolish or relocate a significant historic (and cultural) resource, a public hearing is required in order to determine whether the development should be approved or modified in some respect to protect the historic/cultural resource. At present, while consideration of proposed development already requires a review of its effect on historic/cultural significant resources, a public hearing is not required. Imposing such a requirement through the granting of the TCP will increase the time and expense associated with any such development. Who is going to pay for that expense? The Tribe? Most likely it will be the property owner, which will have a chilling effect on development in the area encompassed by the TCP district.

A final point, which is related to the discussion in the preceding paragraph, concerns the already existing requirements placed on property owners to protect historic and cultural resources when developing property. The Coos Bay estuary plan requires that CTCLUSI be advised in advance of any development in the area in question. The obvious purpose of this notice is to provide the Tribe the opportunity to determine if the area which is to be developed contains historic/cultural resources that need to be preserved. Under existing state law, if such resources are present, the property owner is required to develop a plan to preserve the resources. Given these existing protections, the City of Coos Bay sees no overall additional benefit with regard to preserving historic/cultural resources by creating the proposed TCP district, but does see the imposition of additional regulatory hurdles to property owners if the Tribe's request is granted.

The City of Coos Bay believes it is very important to our community as a whole, that the historic and cultural resources of the local native Tribes, including that of the CTCLUSI, be preserved, and that reasonable land use regulations are integral to this process. However, creating more layers of regulations which impact local property owners is not what is needed.

April 3, 2019 Page 3

As such, the City of Coos Bay respectfully objects to the creation of the proposed TCP Historic District.

Sincerely,

NATHAN B. McCLINTOCK

rw

c: Rodger Craddock (email only) Mayor and Council (email only)