

UNIFIED BUSINESS OPPOSES SENATE BILL 379

Chair Prozanski, Vice-Chair Thatcher, and members of the Senate Judiciary Committee,

Unified Business Oregon would like to put on record our opposition to Senate Bill 379, which would undermine the ability of employers to engage in testing for marijuana use in Oregon as a condition of employment, based on the needs of the employer. There are several other issues which should also be addressed.

Currently under Federal law, marijuana is still considered a controlled substance. The Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988 is still Federal law. While Oregonians passed Measure 91 to allow for recreational use of marijuana, we believe this attempt to overwrite policies surrounding drug-free work places will create confusion for contractors and grantees receiving Federal dollars to run programs in Oregon, placing those funds in jeopardy.

Our members with state contracts, and Oregon public employees, also have a requirement under to comply with a drugfree work place environment under the 1988 Drug-Free Workplace Act as described in the ORS. It is unclear from this measure how those statutes are impacted as Senate Bill 379 doesn't seek to amend those statutes; it only provides a private right of action and an unlawful employment practice under ORS 659A.315.

Terminology in Senate Bill 379 is vague and doesn't clarify in Section 1 (1)a what a bona fide occupational "qualification" means when replacing current text, which more clearly states "occupational requirements". Newly added (b) language, "performance of work while impaired", is also a standard with no definition. Unlike law enforcement members who have been trained to recognize impairment in situations like driving while distracted, most employers, particularly small firms, have no way to recognize or monitor for impairment.

Moreover, while the measure creates a right of employment action against the employer by the employee for failure to comply with this proposal, the bill offers no remedy for an employer should an employee show up to work impaired. In fact, it's unclear if impairment could be considered a terminable offense without putting an employer in jeopardy of litigation. Lastly, without a regular and enforceable standard, an impaired employee puts the employer's company at risk should an employee's impairment result in negligence which harms another employee, a customer, or a member of the community.

Lawmakers should reject a measure like Senate Bill 379 which takes from the hands of employers the ability to provide and maintain a safe work environment.

Sincerely,

Lou Ogden Executive Director