TFPRX - September Survey Results

September 2018

ICMRESOLUTIONS

MASTERING DECISIONS

Task Force Evaluation Factor Weighting Results



Group Weights					
	18.4%	**21.0%**	**21.5%**	**19.4%**	**19.6%**
Name	**Ability to Monitor**	**Better Decision Making**	**Cost-effective**	**Cost Reduction**	**Enforceable**
Andrew Stolfi	18.2%	15.9%	22.7%	20.5%	22.7%
Brett Michelin	13.3%	26.7%	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%
Dana Hargunani	12.5%	25.0%	22.5%	22.5%	17.5%
Erin Moller	24.1%	24.1%	17.2%	10.3%	24.1%
Jack Holt	25.0%	13.9%	19.4%	13.9%	27.8%
James Slater	13.3%	33.3%	20.0%	26.7%	6.7%
Jason Kirby	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
John Santa	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Jon Bartholomew	18.8%	25.0%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%
Joseph Schnabel	25.0%	15.6%	21.9%	12.5%	25.0%
Leah Lindahl	17.6%	17.6%	17.6%	23.5%	23.5%
LuGina Mendez Harper	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%	6.7%	6.7%
Robert Judge	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	21.4%
Ryan Dunlap	20.7%	24.1%	20.7%	13.8%	20.7%
Saumil Pandya	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%


All TFPRX Proposals by Total Score (Task Force Weight x Avg Member Score)
Ability to Monitor
Better Decision Making
Cost-effective
Cost Reduction
Enforceable
PBM 4 4.8
Pharmacies 3 4.6
Pharmacies 2 4.5
Insurers 2 4.5
CCO 1 4.4
PBM 8 4.4
Pharmacies 5 4.1
Govt. Entity 2 4.1
Insurers 4 4.0
PBM 3 3.9
PBM 2 3.9
Manufacturers 6 3.9
Providers 2 3.9
Manufacturers 5 3.9
PBM 5 3.8
Manufacturers 4 3.8
Govt. Entity 5 3.8
Govt. Entity 1 3.8
Insurers 1 3.8
Consumer 1 3.8
Providers 3 3.8
PBM 6 3.7
Govt. Entity 3 3.6
Manufacturers 8 3.6
Insurers 3 3.6
Providers 1 3.6
Insurers 5 3.6
Providers 4 3.5
Govt. Entity 4 3.5
Manufacturers 7 3.4
Pharmacies 4 3.3
PBM 1 3.3
Manufacturers 3 3.3
Manufacturers 2 3.3
PBM 7 3.2
Manufacturers 1 3.2
Wholesalers 1 2.6
Pharmacies 1 2.6
0.0
1.0
2.0
3.0
4.0
5.0


All TFPRX Proposals by Total Score (Task Force Weight x Avg Member Score)
Ability to Monitor
Better Decision Making
Cost-effective
Cost Reduction
Enforceable
PBM 4 4.8
Pharmacies 3 4.6
Pharmacies 2 4.5
Insurers 2 4.5
CCO 1 4.4
PBM 8 4.4
Pharmacies 5 4.1
Govt. Entity 2 4.1
Insurers 4 4.0
PBM 3 3.9
PBM 2 3.9
Manufacturers 6 3.9
Providers 2 3.9
Manufacturers 5 3.9
PBM 5 3.8
Manufacturers 4 3.8
Govt. Entity 5 3.8
Govt. Entity 1 3.8
Insurers 1 3.8
Consumer 1 3.8
Providers 3 3.8
PBM 6 3.7
Govt. Entity 3 3.6
Manufacturers 8 3.6
Insurers 3 3.6
Providers 1 3.6
Insurers 5 3.6
Providers 4 3.5
Govt. Entity 4 3.5
Manufacturers 7 3.4
Pharmacies 4 3.3
PBM 1 3.3
Manufacturers 3 3.3
Manufacturers 2 3.3
PBM 7 3.2
Manufacturers 1 3.2
Wholesalers 1 2.6
Pharmacies 1 2.6
0.0
1.0
2.0
3.0
4.0
5.0
Legend:
< 1.5
1.51 - 2.5
2.51 - 3.5
3.51 - 4.5
> 4.51

Manufacturer Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
Manufacturers 6	4.23	3.92	3.92	3.46	4.08	3.92
Manufacturers 5	4.23	3.92	3.46	3.62	4.08	3.85
Manufacturers 4	4.14	4.00	3.86	3.29	3.86	3.83
Manufacturers 8	3.62	3.62	3.62	3.92	3.31	3.61
Manufacturers 7	3.53	3.67	3.27	3.27	3.40	3.43
Manufacturers 3	3.31	3.62	3.31	3.46	2.85	3.31
Manufacturers 2	3.80	3.53	3.13	2.20	3.67	3.26
Manufacturers 1	3.53	3.40	3.00	2.73	3.27	3.18

Wholesaler Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
Wholesalers 1	3.00	2.73	2.47	2.33	2.73	2.65

Pharmacy Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
Pharmacies 3	4.38	4.69	4.69	5.00	4.38	4.63
Pharmacies 2	4.00	4.57	4.86	4.86	4.29	4.53
Pharmacies 5	3.77	4.23	4.38	4.08	4.08	4.12
Pharmacies 4	3.29	3.43	3.29	3.29	3.29	3.32
Pharmacies 1	2.87	2.73	2.33	2.33	2.73	2.59

Pharmacy Benefit Manager Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
PBM 4	4.29	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.71	4.78
PBM 8	4.38	4.23	4.54	4.23	4.54	4.39
PBM 3	3.62	4.08	4.08	4.08	3.77	3.93
PBM 2	4.08	4.08	3.77	3.77	3.92	3.92
PBM 5	3.77	4.08	3.77	3.92	3.62	3.83
PBM 6	3.31	4.23	3.62	3.62	3.92	3.75
PBM 1	3.53	3.40	3.13	3.13	3.40	3.32
PBM 7	3.15	3.46	3.15	3.00	3.46	3.25

Insurer Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
Insurers 2	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.51
Insurers 4	3.77	3.92	4.23	3.77	4.38	4.02
Insurers 1	3.86	3.86	3.71	3.57	3.86	3.77
Insurers 3	3.62	3.77	3.62	3.15	3.77	3.59
Insurers 5	3.62	3.15	3.62	3.62	3.92	3.58

Provider Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
Providers 2	3.92	3.92	3.62	4.23	3.92	3.92
Providers 3	3.92	3.62	3.77	3.77	3.77	3.77
Providers 1	3.77	3.31	3.77	3.46	3.62	3.58
Providers 4	3.92	3.46	3.46	3.31	3.62	3.55

Government Entity Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
Govt. Entity 2	4.57	3.86	4.00	3.86	4.14	4.08
Govt. Entity 5	4.08	3.77	3.77	3.77	3.62	3.80
Govt. Entity 1	4.57	3.57	3.57	3.57	3.71	3.78
Govt. Entity 3	3.77	3.62	3.46	3.46	3.92	3.64
Govt. Entity 4	4.08	3.00	3.31	3.00	4.23	3.51

Coordinated Care Organization Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
CCO 1	4.54	4.38	4.54	4.23	4.38	4.42

Consumer Transparency Proposals



Proposal Names	Evaluation Factors					Final Score
	Ability to Monitor	Better Decision Making	Cost-effective	Cost Reduction	Enforceable	
EF Weights:	18.44%	21.01%	21.48%	19.43%	19.63%	100.00%
Consumer 1	4.23	3.77	3.92	3.00	3.92	3.77