Property Taxes Are Key Revenue Source

Property Taxes: 6.76 billion (FY 2017-18)
O2.8 billion: K-12 & ESDs
O1.3 billion: cities
O1.1 billion: counties
O.9 billion: special districts
O.26 billion: community colleges



Measure 50 (1997) (in Constitution)

- 1) Created "assessed value" (AV) for property valuation which is a real market value discount
- 2) AV was set at 10% less than 1995 RMV; use change property ratio for new property
- 3) Capped annual growth in AV at 3% (so totally divorced from RMV)
- 4) Set permanent rates for all taxing districts (froze at 1997 rate calculation)

Key Measure 50 Problem

Created valuation inequity that has grown over time a) Assessed value/Real market value gap b) Change property ratio for new/improved property

Valuation inequity causes tax inequity because:

(Property Value - Exemptions) x Tax Rate =

laxes

Gap between RMV & AV has grown—now state-wide AV total is 35% less than RMV





Tale of Two Houses- Tax Inequities (Salem)



Tale of Two Houses- Tax Inequities (Portland)



Tale of Two Businesses Too-Tax Inequities



Property Tax Statistics for 98 Gas Stations in Portland								Gas Station Number	Real Market Value (RMV)	Assessed Value (AV)	AV/RMV Ratio	2017 Taxes	RMV Tax Rate	AV Tax Rate
Gas Station Number	Real Market	Assessed	AV/RMV	2017	RMV	AV	62 63 64		\$1,453,920 \$1,131,960 \$1,985,910	\$572,090 \$447,370 \$804,160	39.3% 39.5% 40.5%	\$14,296 \$11,190 \$20,115	0.98% 0.99% 1.01%	2.50% 2.50% 2.50%
	Value (RMV)	Value (AV)	Ratio	Taxes	Tax Rate	Tax Rate	65		\$1,872,920	\$759,750	40.6%	\$19,004	1.01%	2.50%
2 N Interstate	\$2,509,840	\$460,700	18.4%	\$11,524	0.46%	2.50%	66		\$397,050	\$186,970	47.1%	\$4,005	1.01%	2.14%
2 N Interstate	\$1,433,970 \$969.310	\$189,440	18.8%	\$6,754 \$4,734	0.47%	2.50%	67		\$7,103,680	\$2,884,000	40.6%	\$72,138	1.02%	2.50%
4	\$1,518,910	\$322,710	21.2%	\$8,072	0.53%	2.50%	68		\$3,168,180	\$1,286,950	40.6%	\$32,190	1.02%	2.50%
5	\$1,797,480	\$390,480	21.7%	\$9,767	0.54%	2.50%	69		\$1,367,380	\$664,380	48.6%	\$14,051	1.03%	2.11%
6	\$1,792,110	\$391,760	21.9%	\$9,789	0.55%	2.50%	70		\$1,519,110	\$633,300	41.7%	\$15,841	1.04%	2.50%
7	\$1,501,500	\$336,600	22.4%	\$8,419	0.56%	2.50%	71		\$1,519,110	\$633,300	41.7%	\$15,841	1.04%	2.50%
8	\$966,020	\$225,450	23.3%	\$5,644	0.58%	2.50%	72		\$1,568,780	\$664,170	42.3%	\$16,613	1.06%	2.50%
9	\$3,679,940	\$897,450	24.4%	\$22,448	0.61%	2.50%	73		\$4,145,030	\$1,782,280	43.0%	\$44,536	1.07%	2.50%
10	\$1,491,540	\$371,650	24.9%	\$9,296	0.62%	2.50%	74		\$1,503,960	\$648,810	43.1%	\$16,229	1.08%	2.50%
11	\$2,103,290	\$531,550	25.3%	\$13,296	0.63%	2.50%	75 76		\$2,338,250	\$1,021,650	43.7%	\$25,554	1.09%	2.50%
12	\$1,823,060	\$473,520	26.0%	\$11,844	0.65%	2.50%	70		\$1,193,820 \$1,074,140	\$523,110 \$478,830	43.8%	\$13,072 \$11,977	1.12%	2.50%
13	\$978,420	\$255,710	26.1%	\$6,396	0.65%	2.50%	78		\$584,880	\$305,470	52.2%	\$6,543	1.12%	2.14%
14	\$3,656,250 \$666,140	\$957,990 \$205,260	26.2% 30.8%	\$23,962 \$4,397	0.66%	2.50% 2.14%	79		\$1,954,820	\$881,050	45.1%	\$22,038	1.13%	2.50%
15	\$1,806,770	\$484,290	26.8%	\$12,114	0.67%	2.50%	80		\$833,060	\$376,500	45.2%	\$9,417	1.13%	2.50%
17	\$3.029.560	\$960,690	31.7%	\$20,659	0.68%	2.15%	81		\$1,662,710	\$767,280	46.1%	\$19,193	1.15%	2.50%
18	\$7,626,840	\$2,095,140	27.5%	\$52,406	0.69%	2.50%	82		\$910,620	\$424,950	46.7%	\$10,619	1.17%	2.50%
19	\$2,670,780	\$768,400	28.8%	\$19,220	0.72%	2.50%	83 84		\$1,443,830 \$2,847,100	\$802,640	55.6% 56.7%	\$16,872 \$33,948	1.17%	2.10%
20	\$5,932,000	\$1,732,290	29.2%	\$43,287	0.73%	2.50%	85		\$1,100,680	\$628,760	57.1%	\$13,468	1.22%	2.14%
21	\$1,502,090	\$451,010	30.0%	\$11,270	0.75%	2.50%	86		\$808,480	\$461,870	57.1%	\$9,893	1.22%	2.14%
22	\$1,407,640	\$429,140	30.5%	\$10,724	0.76%	2.50%	87		\$570,240	\$326,880	57.3%	\$7,002	1.23%	2.14%
23	\$1,379,370	\$422,030	30.6%	\$10,556	0.77%	2.50%	88		\$295,230	\$170,320	57.7%	\$3,644	1.23%	2.14%
24 25	\$780,450	\$239,070	30.6%	\$5,980	0.77%	2.50%	89	SW Bachur, Blvd	\$1,408,880	\$710,290	50.4%	\$17,749	1.26%	2.50%
	\$914,320	\$286,580	31.3%	\$7,168	0.78%	2.50%	90		\$1,444,620	\$858,120	59.4% 60.7%	\$18,381	1.27%	2.14%
26	\$4,425,820 \$1,045,960	\$1,414,970 \$332,630	32.0% 31.8%	\$35,393 \$8,321	0.80%	2.50%	92		\$1,811,770 \$907,830	\$1,100,440 \$574,780	63.3%	\$23,133 \$12,083	1.28%	2.10%
28	\$568,180	\$182,080	32.0%	\$4,554	0.80%	2.50%	93		\$1,811,140	\$982,200	54.2%	\$24,194	1.34%	2.46%
29	\$1,155,210	\$372,590	32.3%	\$9,320	0.81%	2.50%	94		\$245,130	\$158,340	64.6%	\$3,349	1.37%	2.12%
30	\$896,320	\$290,660	32.4%	\$7,270	0.81%	2.50%	95		\$1,248,290	\$707,620	56.7%	\$17,710	1.42%	2.50%
31	\$2,378,020	\$775,840	32.6%	\$19,406	0.82%	2.50%	96		\$665,490	\$456,230	68.6%	\$9,697	1.46%	2.13%
12	\$1,877,050	\$615,840	32.8%	\$15,403	0.82%	2.50%	97 98		\$884,140 \$2,844,860	\$616,150 \$1,717,200	69.7% 60.4%	\$13,032 \$42,910	1.47%	2.12%
13	\$861,997	\$282,540	32.8%	\$7,067	0.82%	2.50%								
34	\$858,630	\$279,970	32.6%	\$7,003	0.82%	2.50%						\$1,509,755	0.93%	
35	\$1,295,210	\$497,620	38.4%	\$10,701	0.83%	2.15%								
96	\$2,076,610	\$804,650	38.7%	\$17,236	0.83%	2.14%	100		-		ierroza u e			
37	\$1,299,470	\$443,520	34.1%	\$11,094	0.85%	2.50%	No	tes: All values for 201	Only real p	roperty acco	unts (land	and buildings	s) are inclu	ded. Business
38 39	\$919,510	\$313,780	34.1%	\$7,849	0.85%	2.50%		counts (pumps and oth		are not inclu	ided. Rate	differences	between se	chool districts
40	\$2,445,010 \$2,305,340	\$845,940 \$797,660	34.6% 34.6%	\$21,138 \$19,932	0.86%	2.50%	car	use variation in effectiv	ve tax rates.					
40 41	\$1,626,880	\$559,880	34.0%	\$13,990	0.86%	2.50%								
2	\$981,610	\$337,160	34.3%	\$8,433	0.86%	2.50%	So	urces: http://www.mul	teoproptax orr	and https:/	/www.port	landmaps.co	m/	
43	\$2,414,270	\$836,150	34.6%	\$20,915	0.87%	2.50%								
14	\$2,950,580	\$1,040,840	35.3%	\$26,009	0.88%	2.50%								
45	\$857,400	\$357,350	41.7%	\$7,558	0.88%	2.12%								
46	\$914,210	\$320,100	35.0%	\$8,007	0.88%	2.50%								
17	\$712,380	\$250,840	35.2%	\$6,274	0.88%	2.50%								
48	\$2,674,460	\$953,750	35.7%	\$23,856	0.89%	2.50%								
50	\$1,172,400 \$1,577,550	\$419,400 \$568,180	35.8% 36.0%	\$10,483 \$14,198	0.89%	2.50%								
51	\$1,466,130	\$613,330	41.8%	\$13,138	0.90%	2.14%								
52	\$2,222,350	\$806,420	36.3%	\$20,171	0.91%	2.50%								
53	\$1,161,370	\$424,160	36.5%	\$10,610	0.91%	2.50%								
54	\$1,678,060	\$726,960	43.3%	\$15,282	0.91%	2.10%								
55	\$754,060	\$332,320	44.1%	\$7,118	0.94%	2.14%								
56	\$597,180	\$267,240	44.8%	\$5,618	0.94%	2.10%								
57	\$1,707,660	\$757,710	44.4%	\$16,230	0.95%	2.14%								
58	\$1,160,610	\$443,160	38.2%	\$11,085	0.96%	2.50%								
59	\$838,040 \$2,290,840	\$381,520	45.5%	\$8,070	0.96%	2.12%								
	az 250.840	\$1,049,720	45.8% 38.3%	\$22,066 \$7,704	0.96%	2.10%								



"LET CITIES WORK"



O League priority in 2019 session is meaningful property tax reform

• SJR 2 and SJR 21 would take meaningful steps- thank you for starting this important conversation. Its time to restore tax fairness and fix the broken system.

O Thank you!