

Watts Remy

From: Laurel Hines <laulehines@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 9:39 PM
To: Watts Remy
Subject: No on SB 88

Dear Chair Dembrow and Members of the Committee:

I live in a rural area near Salem on a Rural Residential zoned property. I love our land use system in Oregon, and it's preservation of farm land and open spaces, and concentration in the city of denser housing. I also love wildlife and the fact that our land use system helps preserve areas for wildlife outside of the cities, in areas like RR zones with trees and brush where farming is not practical due to the topography or soils.

Already people in RR zones can apply for medical hardship based temporary additional living units on their property. They can rent part of their home with some restrictions.

ADU's should not be allowed outside of the UGB. It would mean a violation of the intent of the land use system. They would change the nature of the RR zones, and create more traffic on narrow rural roads. The short term rentals would bring more people to rural living life that are not familiar with life in a rural area, creating more conflicts with farms, rural residents, wildlife, water use, etc.

At present, if people in RR areas propose to partition their property, neighboring property owners can appeal and challenge the proposal if there is good reason. However, it appears that this bill would not allow such notice or action.

Please do not approve this bill.

Laurel Hines, [REDACTED] Salem, Oregon 97306