OREGON PROGRESSIVE PARTY

411 S.W. 2nd Avenue Suite 200 Portland, OR 97204 503-548-2797

info@progparty.org



**February 13, 2018** 

www.ProgParty.org

**Oregon Progressive Party** Position on Bill at 2018 **Session of Oregon Legislature:** 

## HB 4155: Support bill, Oppose -3 and -4 amendments, suggest adopting language from Washington's HB 2282

Dear Committee:

The Oregon Progressive Party supports on this bill, which:

- Prohibits a broadband Internet access service provider from disclosing, selling, or permitting access to personal information of customers of the provider except by the customer's consent starting January 1, 2019.
- Requires a broadband Internet access service provider to take reasonable measure to protect their customers' personal information.
- Makes a violation an unlawful trade practice.
- Establishes the Task Force on Broadband Security.
- Requires Task Force to study laws protecting information of broadband Internet customers.
- Requires the Task Force to report to the interim committee of the Legislative Assembly related to the judiciary no later than December 15, 2018.

We oppose the -3 amendment, which replaces this bill with one seeking to ensure net neutrality by prohibiting public bodies from contracting with broadband ISPs that "disadvantages lawful internet content." Net neutrality protection can be added to this bill without deleting all of its other provisions. We oppose the -4 amendment for the same reason.

Both the -3 and -4 amendments are very weak measures to protect net neutrality,

merely prohibiting public bodies from contracting with broadband ISPs under certain circumstances. The -4 amendment is even weaker than the -3 amendment, as it allows the Public Utility Commission to override the prohibition upon making a vague finding that the impairment of net neutrality "provides significant public interest benefits" (undefined) or "is reasonable network management." The "public interest benefits" loophole makes the -4 amendment almost completely impotent.

Instead of merely prohibiting public bodies from contracting with certain ISP, we recommend that the bill be amended to include the language of HB 2282 in the Washington Legislature. Its House of Representatives passed that bill on February 9, 2018, by a vote of 93-5 (attached). That bill:

- Prohibits blocking of lawful content
- Prohibits impairment or degrading of lawful internet traffic on basis of content, application, or service.

Those prohibitions are "subject to reasonable network management," defined as:

"Reasonable network management" means a practice that has a primarily technical network management justification, but does not include other business practices. A network management practice is reasonable if it is primarily used for and tailored to achieving a legitimate network management purpose, taking into account the particular network architecture and technology of the broadband internet access service.

The Washington bill has no "public interest benefits" loophole.

No doubt the ISP industry will sue to invalidate a state law that seeks to protect net neutrality on grounds of federal preemption and perhaps other grounds as well. Adopting in large part the Washington language will have the effect of recruiting the State of Washington to join in defending the state net neutrality law in the inevitable court battles.

## **Oregon Progressive Party**

## **Daniel Meek**

authorized legal representative dan@meek.net
503-293-9021



## SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2282

State of Washington 65th Legislature 2018 Regular Session

By House Technology & Economic Development (originally sponsored by Representatives Hansen, Cody, Goodman, Pettigrew, Tarleton, Fey, DeBolt, Bergquist, Springer, Santos, McBride, Smith, Wylie, Fitzgibbon, Stonier, Slatter, Peterson, Morris, Sawyer, Robinson, Tharinger, Kagi, Pellicciotti, Dolan, Orwall, Valdez, Haler, Kilduff, Senn, Frame, Sells, Kirby, Stanford, Blake, Reeves, Clibborn, Macri, Kloba, Appleton, Stambaugh, Jinkins, Ormsby, Ryu, Hayes, Pollet, Doglio, Ortiz-Self, Riccelli, McDonald, and Gregerson)

READ FIRST TIME 01/30/18.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to protecting an open internet in Washington
- 2 state; adding a new chapter to Title 19 RCW; and providing a
- 3 contingent effective date.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** (1) Any person providing broadband
- 6 internet access service in Washington state shall publicly disclose
- 7 accurate information regarding the network management practices,
- 8 performance characteristics, and commercial terms of its broadband
- 9 internet access services sufficient to enable consumers to make
- 10 informed choices regarding the purchase and use of such services and
- 11 entrepreneurs and other small businesses to develop, market, and
- 12 maintain internet offerings. The disclosure must be made via a
- 13 publicly available, easily accessible web site.
- 14 (2) A person engaged in the provision of broadband internet
- 15 access service in Washington state, insofar as the person is so
- 16 engaged, may not:
- 17 (a) Block lawful content, applications, services, or nonharmful
- 18 devices, subject to reasonable network management;
- 19 (b) Impair or degrade lawful internet traffic on the basis of
- 20 internet content, application, or service, or use of a nonharmful
- 21 device, subject to reasonable network management; or

p. 1 SHB 2282

- (c) Engage in paid prioritization.
  - (3) Nothing in this chapter:

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

24

2526

27

30 31

32

33

34

37

- (a) Supersedes any obligation or authorization a provider of broadband internet access service may have to address the needs of emergency communications or law enforcement, public safety, or national security authorities, consistent with or as permitted by applicable law, or limits the provider's ability to do so; or
- 8 (b) Prohibits reasonable efforts by a provider of broadband 9 internet access service to address copyright infringement or other 10 unlawful activity.
  - (4) The definitions in this subsection apply throughout this section unless the context clearly requires otherwise.
  - (a)(i) "Broadband internet access service" means a mass-market retail service by wire or radio that provides the capability to transmit data to and receive data from all or substantially all internet endpoints, including any capabilities that are incidental to and enable the operation of the communications service, but excluding dial-up internet access service.
- 19 (ii) "Broadband internet access service" also encompasses any 20 service that the federal communications commission finds to be 21 providing a functional equivalent of the service described in (a)(i) 22 of this subsection, or that is used to evade the protections set 23 forth in this section.
  - (b) "Edge provider" means any individual or entity that provides any content, application, or service over the internet, and any individual or entity that provides a device used for accessing any content, application, or service over the internet.
- 28 (c) "End user" means any individual or entity that uses a 29 broadband internet access service.
  - (d)(i) "Paid prioritization" means the management of a broadband provider's network to directly or indirectly favor some traffic over other traffic, including through the use of techniques such as traffic shaping, prioritization, resource reservation, or other forms of preferential traffic management, either:
- 35 (A) In exchange for consideration, monetary or otherwise, from a 36 third party; or
  - (B) To benefit an affiliated entity.
- 38 (ii) "Paid prioritization" does not include the provision of 39 tiered internet access service or offerings to a retail end user.

p. 2 SHB 2282

(e) "Reasonable network management" means a practice that has a primarily technical network management justification, but does not include other business practices. A network management practice is reasonable if it is primarily used for and tailored to achieving a legitimate network management purpose, taking into account the particular network architecture and technology of the broadband internet access service.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

- (f) "Tiered internet access service" means offering end users a choice between different packages of service with clearly advertised speeds, prices, terms, and conditions; for example, a ten megabit service for one price and a fifty megabit service for a different price.
- 13 Sec. 2. (1) The legislature finds that the NEW SECTION. practices covered by this chapter are matters vitally affecting the 14 15 public interest for the purpose of applying the consumer protection 16 act, chapter 19.86 RCW. A violation of this chapter is not reasonable 17 in relation to the development and preservation of business and is an unfair or deceptive act in trade or commerce and an unfair method of 18 19 competition for the purpose of applying the consumer protection act, 20 chapter 19.86 RCW.
- 21 (2) This chapter may be enforced solely by the attorney general 22 under the consumer protection act, chapter 19.86 RCW.
- 23 Sec. 3. The internet consumer access account is NEW SECTION. 24 created in the state treasury. All receipts from recoveries by the office of the attorney general for lawsuits related to the consumer 25 protection act under the provisions of this chapter, or otherwise 26 27 designated to this account, must be deposited into the account. Moneys in the account may be spent only after appropriation. 28 29 Expenditures from the account may be used only for costs incurred by the office of the attorney general in the administration and 30 enforcement of this chapter. 31
- 32 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 4.** (1) This act takes effect on the later of the following:
- 34 (a) Ninety days after adjournment of the legislative session in 35 which this act is passed; or

p. 3 SHB 2282

- 1 (b) The date the federal communications commission's restoring 2 internet freedom order (FCC 17-166) as issued on January 4, 2018, 3 takes effect.
- 4 (2) The utilities and transportation commission must provide 5 notice of the effective date of this act to affected parties, the 6 chief clerk of the house of representatives, the secretary of the 7 senate, the office of the code reviser, and others as deemed 8 appropriate by the utilities and transportation commission.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 5.** Sections 1 through 3 of this act 10 constitute a new chapter in Title 19 RCW.

--- END ---

p. 4 SHB 2282