

Before the Senate Committee on Environment and Natural Resources House Committee on Energy and Environment Senate Bill 1507/House Bill 4001 February 7, 2018

Testimony of Jana Jarvis, President Oregon Trucking Associations

There has been a great deal of effort to reduce the impact of diesel engine exhaust on human health. The Environmental Protection Agency began regulating the exhaust from heavy truck engines, in earnest, beginning in 2004 when engine manufacturers were first required to reduce NOX emissions. Then, in 2007 EPA required a 98% reduction in diesel engine particulate matter emissions. In 2010 an additional reduction in NOX was required reducing these emissions by a total of 95%. A 2015 study by the respected Health Effects Institute concluded that there is no longer a link between 2007 and newer diesel truck engine exhaust and cancer. However, the effort to reduce carbon emissions from heavy trucks has not been quite as effective.

The federal government and Oregon have adopted Renewable Fuel Standards that requires blending of at least 5% biofuels with petroleum diesel. Oregon has also adopted the Low Carbon Fuel Standard that has a goal to reduce the carbon emissions from motor vehicle fuels by 10% in 10 years. In addition, Oregon adopted truck idling regulations that were designed to reduce fuel consumption. However, the most promising effort to date has been the federal governments Super Truck Initiative.

In 2009, the US Department of Energy provided \$115 million in grants to four of the heavy truck manufactures to develop new technologies to reduce heavy truck fuel consumption by 50%. All of the manufacturers were able to achieve fuel consumption rates in the range of 12 miles per gallon. However, not all of the technologies developed are economically feasible today but many

Oregon Trucking Associations, Inc. 4005 SE Naef Rd. Portland, OR 97267 Phone: 503.513.0005 Fax: 503.513.0008 www.ortrucking.org have been incorporated in today's heavy trucks. In 2016, US DOE kicked off Super Truck II promising an additional investment of \$137 million. This effort is currently underway and is not only focusing on tractors but also on aerodynamic treatments for trailers. You see some of these on the highway today in the form of trailer skirts and boat tails.

The trucking industry believes that the best way to reduce carbon emissions from heavy trucks is to invest in research to develop new technologies to reduce or even eliminate the industry's consumption of carbon based fuels. We look forward to the day when our trucks are powered by renewable diesel, hydrogen fuel cells or even electricity. Despite Elon Musk recently unveiling an electric heavy truck, we don't see this technology or quite frankly any other, replacing the diesel-fueled truck for some time.

Senate Bill 1507 has goals of reducing Oregon's greenhouse gas emissions by 20% from 1990 levels by 2025 and by 45% by 2035 and finally by 80% by 2050. While reducing greenhouse gas emissions is a laudable goal, it is difficult to foresee what might actually happen in 2050. However, I am reasonably certain that the trucking industry simply cannot achieve the 2025 target and may very well struggle with the 2035 target as well. The only alternative will be for the petroleum industry to purchase increasingly expensive allowances or offsets. Of course, to the extent that our fuel costs go up, our cost of doing business also increases. Today, Oregon's trucking industry is moving approximately 75% of the tons of freight in this state. A significant increase in freight rates, driven by fuel cost increases, will have a dampening effect on Oregon's entire economy.

It is estimated the initial cost increase for a gallon of diesel resulting from this program will be about 16 cents and will continue to increase over time. To put this in perspective, the 2017 \$5.3 billion dollar Transportation Funding Package, when fully implemented in 2024, will increase Oregon's gas tax by 10 cents per gallon. While the trucking industry is not subject to the Oregon fuel tax, we do pay the weight-mile tax. This tax will be increased by 53% over the same time period. When the Transportation Funding Package was passed, Oregon had the highest operating taxes on trucks in the nation. (See attached 2017 Annual State Highway User Taxes.) 16 cents per gallon of diesel fuel on top of a 53% increase in the highest taxes in the country will have a debilitating effect not only on Oregon's trucking industry but on Oregon's economy as well. **I cannot emphasize this point enough!**

Unfortunately, during the workgroup process that helped develop this legislation, transportation was seldom discussed. It's also difficult to look to California and learn from their experience as transportation fuels were just brought under their Cap and Trade Program in 2015. We certainly need to have that discussion now to avoid unintended consequences like those discussed above. Following is a list of topics that we believe should be strongly considered:

- 1. Repeal the Low Carbon Fuel Standard. It is unnecessary and even more costly to have two programs with the same purpose.
- 2. Pre-empt local governments from enacting any kind of vehicle emissions reduction program. The industry's strong preference is to have emissions reduction programs administered at the federal level to preclude competitive advantage. However, the creation of a patchwork quilt of regulations within a single state is unfathomable.
- 3. Oppose exemptions from the Cap and Trade system particularly in the transportation sector. If the program has merit and is to be effective, all sectors should participate.
- 4. Provide reasonable cost containment provisions similar to those contained in HB 2017 for the Low Carbon Fuel Standard. This would include short and long term off ramps to protect consumers from fuel price spikes and fuel shortages as well as a provision to notify consumers of the cost of the program per gallon of fuel.
- 5. Reduce the fuel and weight mile tax increases contained in HB 2017 (Transportation Funding Package) by the amount of increased fuel costs resulting from Cap and Trade. This approach will send the price signal in terms of the cost of greenhouse gas emissions without the damaging impacts on the trucking industry and Oregon's economy.
- 6. Require some portion of the revenues from the Cap and Trade system to be used for research and development of technologies that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the transportation sector. In our opinion, this is the most cost effective way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
- 7. Provide incentives, in the form of grants, to trucking companies to purchase vehicles that incorporate technologies designed to reduce greenhouse gas

emissions. This will expedite adoption of these technologies in the marketplace.

8. Prohibit Oregon from banning older trucks from operating in the state. If the Cap and Trade system works as expected, it will be unnecessary to actually ban older trucks from operating in the state.

Finally, I would like to talk about what we see as one of the pitfalls in the current draft of the bill. We believe that the bill correctly requires that revenues generated from the sale of allowances that are derived from fuels consumed by motor vehicles operating on Oregon roadways to be deposited in the State Highway Fund. However, a new 21-person committee, staffed by DEQ, will recommend to a new joint legislative committee how these funds are to be expended. We think that instead of the new 21-person committee making these recommendations, this responsibility should fall to the Oregon Transportation Commission. The Commission and ODOT staff routinely make determinations regarding the State Highway Fund. They have the experience necessary to avoid future legal entanglements. For the same reason, we believe that it is unnecessary to have a separate Transportation Decarbonization Investment Fund within the State Highway Fund. All monies within the State Highway Fund have the same restrictions on expenditures.

In part, the reason that we are making these suggestions is that there seems to be some very real confusion about what the State Highway Fund can be used for. We have heard that some believe that State Highway Fund dollars can be used for transit as long as it is within the roadway right of way. This is simply not correct. The voters of Oregon have considered modification of the constitutional State Highway Fund on four occasions to include transit. (See attached Highway Fund Vote History.) In all four instances, the public declined to approve the proposals. It is very clear that State Highway Fund dollars cannot be used to fund transit in anyway regardless of where it is located.

This concludes my prepared testimony. Thank you for giving the trucking industry this opportunity.

Anerican Transportation

Annual State Highway User Taxes On A Typical 5-Axle Tractor-Semitrailer Combination

State Ranking by \$ Total	45	50	11	38	80	13	16	40	25	35	48	12	0	6	24	26	4	47	7	21	34	10	28	33	43	30	36	22	41	15	17	3	23	42
Total State and Federal Hwy User Fees	\$13,062	\$10,689	\$17,428	\$14,127	\$17,801	\$17,270	\$17,164	\$13,856	\$15,661	\$14,622	\$12,318	\$17,426	\$17,636	\$18,292	\$15,991	\$15,541	\$19,194	\$12,620	\$18,007	\$16,311	\$14,666	\$17,595	\$15,239	\$14,777	\$13,353	\$15,002	\$14,555	\$16,252	\$13,810	\$17,233	\$16,991	\$20,539	\$16,017	\$13,604
Federal Fuel, <u>Heavy</u> Vehicle Use, and Excise <u>Taxes³</u>	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906
Total Annual State Hwy User Fees (\$)	\$4,156	\$1,783	\$8,522	\$5,221	\$8,895	\$8,364	\$8,258	\$4,950	\$6,755	\$5,716	\$3,412	\$8,520	\$8,730	\$9,386	\$7,085	\$6,635	\$10,288	\$3,714	\$9,101	\$7,405	\$5,760	\$8,689	\$6,333	\$5,871	\$4,447	\$6,096	\$5,649	\$7,346	\$4,904	\$8,327	\$8,085	\$11,633	\$7,111	\$4,698
Third Structure Tax on 100,000 Miles (\$)	1	1	T	1	ı	T	1	1	T	I	1	ı	1	1	T	ı	\$2,850	1	1	I	1	1	I	1	1	1	I	-	1	1	\$4,380	\$3,900	I	1
Third Structure Tax Rate (\$/mile)	1	1	1		ı	T	1	I		1	1	1	1	1	1	I	0.0285	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	,	1	1	1	0.0438	0.0390	1	1
Fuel Tax on 16,000 Gallons	\$3,320	\$1,432	\$4,320	\$3,648	\$5,920	\$3,280	\$6,672	\$3,520	\$5,419	\$4,704	\$2,442	\$5,120	\$5,520	\$7,520	\$5,360	\$4,320	\$5,312	\$3,200	\$5,099	\$5,528	\$3,840	\$6,397	\$4,560	\$2,944	\$2,720	\$4,800	\$4,368	\$4,450	\$3,813	\$7,072	\$3,520	\$6,152	\$5,488	\$3,680
State Ranking by Diesel Fuel Tax Rate	40	49	29	36	8	41	5	38	13	23	47	18	11	3	14	29	15	42	20	10	32	6	25	45	46	21	28	27	34	4	38	7	12	35
Diesel Fuel Tax Rate (\$) ² (As of 7/1/2017)	0.2075	0.0895	0.2700	0.2280	0.3700	0.2050	0.4170	0.2200	0.3387	0.2940	0.1526	0.3200	0.3450	0.4700	0.3350	0.2700	0.3320	0.2000	0.3187	0.3455	0.2400	0.3998	0.2850	0.1840	0.1700	0.3000	0.2730	0.2781	0.2383	0.4420	0.2200	0.3845	0.3430	0.2300
State Ranking by Annual Registration & Weight Fees	46	49	2	28	6	1	26	31	34	43	45	4	5	21	24	13	17	48	3	20	19	14	22	7	23	35	36	8	38	37	50	27	25	42
Annual Registration & Weight Fees ¹ (As of 1/1/2017)	\$836	\$351	\$4,202	\$1,573	\$2,975	\$5,084	\$1,586	\$1,430	\$1,336	\$1,012	\$970	\$3,400	\$3,210	\$1,866	\$1,725	\$2,315	\$2,126	\$514	\$4,002	\$1,877	\$1,920	\$2,292	\$1,773	\$2,927	\$1,727	\$1,296	\$1,281	\$2,896	\$1,091	\$1,255	\$185	\$1,581	\$1,623	\$1,018
State	Alabama	Alaska	Arizona	Arkansas	California	Colorado	Connecticut	Delaware	Florida	Georgia	Hawaii	Idaho	Illinois	Indiana	Iowa	Kansas	Kentucky	Louisiana	Maine	Maryland	Massachusetts	Michigan	Minnesota	Mississippi	Missouri	Montana	Nebraska	Nevada	New Hampshire	New Jersey	New Mexico	New York	North Carolina	North Dakota

ATRI American Transportation

Annual State Highway User Taxes On A Typical 5-Axle Tractor-Semitrailer Combination

State Ranking by \$ Total	32	49	1	2	27	46	29	39	44	19	20	37	5	14	18	31
Total State and Federal Hwy User Fees	\$14,800	\$11,987	\$26,310	\$22,990	\$15,242	\$12,726	\$15,173	\$13,931	\$13,171	\$16,482	\$16,403	\$14,156	\$18,877	\$17,263	\$16,780	\$14,977
Federal Fuel, <u>Heavy</u> Vehicle Use, and Excise Taxes ³	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906	\$8,906
Total Annual State Hwy User Fees (\$)	\$5,894	\$3,081	\$17,404	\$14,084	\$6,336	\$3,820	\$6,267	\$5,025	\$4,265	\$7,576	\$7,497	\$5,250	\$9,971	\$8,357	\$7,874	\$6,071
Third Structure Tax on 100,000 Miles (\$)	I	1	\$16,380		1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	T	1
Third Structure Tax Rate (\$/mile)	I	1	0.1638		1		I	1	1	I	1	1	I	1	1	1
Fuel Tax on 16,000 Gallons	\$4,480	\$2,080	\$0	\$11,952	\$5,280	\$3,000	\$4,800	\$3,584	\$3,200	\$4,704	\$5,120	\$3,888	\$7,904	\$5,712	\$5,264	\$3,840
State Ranking by Diesel Fuel Tax Rate	26	48	50	1	16	44	21	37	42	23	18	31	2	6	17	32
Diesel Fuel Tax Rate (\$) ² (As of 7/1/2017)	0.2800	0.1300	0.0000	0.7470	0.3300	0.1875	0.3000	0.2240	0.2000	0.2940	0.3200	0.2430	0.4940	0.3570	0.3290	0.2400
State Ranking by Annual Registration & Weight Fees	32	44	41	16	40	47	29	30	39	ດ	12	33	18	10	11	15
Annual Registration & Weight Fees ¹ (As of 1/1/2017)	\$1,414	\$1,001	\$1,024	\$2,132	\$1,056	\$820	\$1,467	\$1,441	\$1,065	\$2,872	\$2,377	\$1,362	\$2,067	\$2,645	\$2,610	\$2,231
State	Ohio	Oklahoma	Oregon	Pennsylvania	Rhode Island	South Carolina	South Dakota	Tennessee	Texas	Utah	Vermont	Virginia	Washington	West Virginia	Wisconsin	Wyoming

¹ The fees listed here are those charged in each state for the full annual registration of a tractor-semitrailer combination with a gross combined weight of 80,000 pounds, based in the state and operated by a for-hire motor carrier. Weight fees are included, but, unlike earlier versions of this chart, miscellaneous, nonapportioned fees are not included.

Semitrailer fees are annual fees, if the state charges one, even where a state also offers an option of multi-year plates for trailing equipment. Where no annual trailer registration is offered, the state's lowest multiyear fee is used.

those bases with it, the property tax is used. For these purposes, the combination is assumed to have a purchase price of \$145,000 (\$115,000 for the tractor and \$30,000 for the In-lieu ad valorem fees are included for states that collect such a fee through IRP. Where the state charges an in-lieu fee for vehicles based elsewhere, and a property tax for semitrailer) and to be in its first year of operation.

² The diesel fuel tax rates listed represent the total state or provincial fuel tax paid by motor carriers in each jurisdiction. Local taxes are not included, except where they are uniform statewide. ³ Federal taxes and fees include federal diesel tax paid on 16,000 gallons, heavy vehicle use tax on 80,000 pounds, excise tax paid on a combination unit with a purchase price of \$145,000 (amortized over 4 years) and excise tax paid on four new tires (assuming the other 14 are recapped)

Highway Fund Vote History Constitutional Amendments

Year	Description	Result	Vote
1942	Exclusive Use of Gasoline and Motor Vehicle Tax (Creating State Highway Fund) (Measure 3, Nov. 3, 1942)	Passed	59.3 - 40.7
1952	Equitable Taxing Method for Use of Highways (amendment to prohibit weight-mile tax) (Measure 16, Nov. 4, 1952)	Failed	21.8 - 78.2
1974	Highways Fund Use for Mass Transit (Measure 2, May 28, 1974)	Failed	34.1 - 65.9
1976	Authorize Vehicle Tax for Mass Transit (Measure 4, May 25, 1976)	Failed	24.3 - 75.7
1980	Limit Use of Gasoline and Highway User Taxes (Measure 1, May 20, 1980)	Passed	63.7 - 36.3
1990	Allow Local Vehicle Tax for Transit (Measure 1, May 15, 1990)	Failed	47.5 - 52.5
1992	Allow Future Fuel Taxes for Police (Measure 1, May 19, 1992)	Failed	35.1 - 64.9
1992	Allow Future Fuel Taxes for Parks (Measure 2, Nov. 3, 1992)	Failed	27.7 - 62.3
1994	Allow New Fuel Tax Revenue for Transit and Parks (Measure 2, May 17, 1994)	Failed	26.1 - 63.9
1999	Require Road User Taxes To Be Fair and Proportionate (Measure 76, Nov. 2, 1999)	Passed	54.2 - 45.8
2000	Allow Road User Taxes And Fees For Highway Policing (Measure 80, May 16, 2000)	Failed	35.7 - 64.3
2004	Remove "Mobile Home" From Constitutional Description Of Motor Vehicles (Measure 32, Nov. 2, 2004)	Passed	61.3 - 38.7