



HB 3242-A

**Recording Custodial Interviews with Youths** 

Third Reading: Tuesday April 25, 2017

## What Does HB 3242-A do?

HB 3242 expands Oregon's current recording statute in ORS 133.400 by requiring the electronic recording of a
youth when subjected to a custodial interview at a law enforcement facility.

## Why is HB 3242-A Necessary?

- Electronic Recording of Interviews with Youths is a Nationally Recognized Best Practice:
  - Rules requiring electronic recording of interrogations exist in 16 states and D.C., and "nearly every other state is currently considering legislation." 1
  - "More than 450 police departments in all 50 states have voluntarily adopted electronic recording Policies."<sup>2</sup>
  - o Feedback from law enforcement in states that record is consistently positive.<sup>3</sup>
- Recording Interviews of Youths is Best Practice because Electronic Recording:
  - o Increases transparency and procedural due process in the juvenile justice system;
  - o Increases the public's trust in law enforcement thereby increasing public safety;
  - Allows fact-finders, prosecutors, and defense attorneys alike to objectively assess a confession for compliance with Miranda, reliability, and voluntariness;
  - o Allows claims of coercion to be objectively considered and disposed of when merited; and
  - Reduces frequency and duration of costly evidence suppression litigation.<sup>4</sup>
- Youth are two to three times more likely to falsely confess during interrogations than adults:
  - Studies have shown that youth exonerated by DNA evidence falsely confessed at a rate of 31.1% compared to exonerated adults at 17.8%.<sup>5</sup> Other studies have shown that of 340 exonerations by DNA, 42% of juveniles has falsely confessed compared to only 13% of adults.<sup>6</sup>
  - False confessions are very high among youth. The prefrontal cortex is responsible for judgment, problem-solving and decision-making, yet isn't fully developed until a person reaches their early twenties. Youth are at risk to falsely confess for the same reasons they are at risk for dangerous or illegal behavior:
    - ✓ Impulsivity: Youth have difficulty weighing and assessing risks ("This can't be that big of a deal.")
    - ✓ Vulnerability to pressure and suggestibility: Youth are vulnerable to external pressure, which can result in suggestibility and negative decision-making when surrounded by pressured interrogation.
    - ✓ Motivated by short-term rewards: Youth place emphasis on immediate rewards rather than long-term consequences ("I'll just say what they obviously want me to say so I can get out of here and go home.")

## We respectfully urge your "aye" vote.

Questions or Comments: Mary A. Sell, OSB # 111401\* Legislative Representative, OCDLA 503-516-1376 \* msell@ocdla.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> International Association of Chiefs of Police, "Reducing Risks: An Executive's Guide to Effective Juvenile Interview and Interrogation," 2012 at 12 citing Grant Fredericks, "Electronic Recording of Interrogations: A Need for Standards and Education for Local & State Law Enforcement Agencies" (Proposal to the International Association of Chiefs of Police, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Joshua Tepfer, Laura Nirider & Lynda Tricarico, "Arresting Development: Convictions of Innocent Youth," Rutgers Law Review 62, no. 4 (2010) at 916-917; and supra fid.

IU.

<sup>5</sup> ld.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Samuel Gross, et al, "Exonerations in the United States 1989 through 2003," Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, 95 no. 2 (2005) at 545.