#### Lessons Learned in California Climate Policy

**Carol Zabin** 

Green Economy Program

Center for Labor Research and Education

University of California, Berkeley

May 17, 2017



### Summary of research on California climate policies

- Nearly all research studies show small net job growth, with stimulation of some sectors especially renewables and EE, and sectors that reeive investment from our cap and invest revenue funds.
- Positive economic impact is due to higher job creation in non-fossil fuel sectors compared to fossil fuels, stimulating up front investments that pay off over time- (EE, EVs), and local production vs. imports of fossil fuels.
- Possible contractions in high GHG emitting sectors, which can be managed with intentional policy to invest in abatement and avoid leakage, and back-stop with robust transition assistance.



# California emissions targets to 2020: net impacts to date

- Cap and trade revenues generated \$3.4 billion in state investment
- 33% Renewables Portfolio Standard
  - Between 2002-15, about 11, 200 MWs of new renewable capacity was built
  - This created about 33,000 direct job years and 86,000 total jobs

Source: Jones et al, Link-Between-Good-Jobs-and-a-Low-Carbon-Future, Center for Labor Research and Education, University of California, Berkeley, 2016



### Renewable Energy MW Installed and Construction Jobs Created, 2002–15

Type of Renewable Energy	New In-State MW Capacity Built	Total Construction Job-Years	Blue-Collar Construction Job-Years	White-Collar Job-Years per MW	Blue-Collar Job-Years per MW
Photovoltaic (PV)	5,575	21,724	16,945	0.9	3.0
Large Commercial (0.25–1MW)	15	88	69	1.3	4.5
Community Scale (1–5 MW)	618	2,405	1,876	0.9	3.0
Utility (>5MW)	4,942	19,231	15,000	0.9	3.0
Concentrated Solar Power	897	6,014	4,691	1.5	5.2
Land-Based Wind Power	4,226	2,754	2,148	0.1	0.5
Geothermal	105	457	357	1.0	3.4
Small Hydro	48	341	266	1.6	5.5
Biomass (+Biogas)	381	1,346	1,050	0.8	2.8
Battery Storage	2	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total Renewable*	11,234	32,636	25,456	0.6	2.3

\*May not sum or multiply due to rounding

Source: Carol Zabin et al, The Link Between Good Jobs and a Low Carbon Future, University of California, Berkeley, 2016



#### Energy efficiency is key element

- IOUs administer most EE programs under CPUC oversight, over \$1 billion/year
- 6 direct jobs and 14 total jobs created per \$1 million investment
- Workforce training is crucial: to ensure equipment and buildings improvements are installed and maintained correctly
- Training infrastructure includes state-certified apprenticeship system and community colleges

Source: Proposition 39 Jobs Report 2014-2016, Carol Zabin and Kevin Duncan, 2017 University of California, Berkeley.



#### Case study: San Joaquin Valley

- Net benefit to rural, less prosperous regions: in eight-county San Joaquin Valley 2013-15, net creation of over 700 direct jobs, \$202 million in total net economic impact, including \$4.7 million in state and local tax revenue.
- Including revenue that has been allocated thru 2015 but not yet expended, total 2013-15 would be: 7,412 jobs, \$1.5 billion economic impact, \$45.3 million state-local tax revenue.
- Sectors that gained: construction; consumer savings from reduced energy use.

Source: Betony Jones et al, The Economic Impacts of California's Major Climate Programs on the San Joaquin Valley, Next 10, January 2017.



# High road: Job quality, not just quantity

- Most utility-scale renewables projects provided familysupporting wages, and benefits including training, pension contributions, and family health care.
- Many projects provided apprenticeship and hired local residents from disadvantaged communities, lowincome households and veterans.
- Main beneficiaries of growth in renewables were highunemployment, low-income rural counties, such as our San Joaquin and Imperial Valleys.



#### Utility-scale solar = quality jobs

Craft	Training	Pension	Health	<b>Total Benefits</b>	Wage
Boilermaker	\$0.75	\$16.20	\$8.57	\$25.52	\$41.66
Bricklayer	\$0.82	\$7.37	\$7.90	\$16.09	\$40.56
Carpenter	\$0.57	\$4.41	\$6.60	\$11.58	\$40.40
Cement Mason	\$0.60	\$8.09	\$7.52	\$16.21	\$32.30
Electrical Utility Lineman	\$0.26	\$8.18	\$5.50	\$13.94	\$52.85
Electrician-Wireman	\$0.93	\$8.52	\$8.97	\$18.42	\$38.20
Insulators	\$0.64	\$11.51	\$8.14	\$20.29	\$37.99
Ironworker	\$0.72	\$12.97	\$9.42	\$23.11	\$33.50
Laborers	\$0.64	\$6.50	\$6.86	\$14.00	\$31.39
Millwright	\$0.57	\$4.41	\$6.60	\$11.58	\$40.90
Operating Engineer	\$0.80	\$9.65	\$11.20	\$21.65	\$31.39
Painter, Industrial	\$0.79	\$3.04	\$8.05	\$11.88	\$30.72
Pipefitter	\$2.55	\$11.05	\$7.11	\$20.71	\$42.93
Roofer	\$0.30	\$1.62	\$6.00	\$7.92	\$28.73
Sheet Metal	\$0.73	\$14.54	\$7.92	\$23.19	\$35.55
Teamster	\$1.52	\$5.00	\$16.02	\$22.54	\$28.24
Average weighted by share of work	\$0.91	\$8.59	\$8.63	\$18.13	\$36.84
Benefits as a percent of average wage	3%	23%	23%	49%	

Source: Carol Zabin et al, The Link Between Good Jobs and a Low Carbon Future, University of California, Berkeley, 2016



#### Exhibit 10

Comparison of wages and wage trajectories for rooftop solar installers and union electricians in California



Source: US Bureau of Labor Statistics and Construction Electrician/Construction Wireman Wage and Fringe Benefits, California Bay Area Region<sup>19</sup>



# California's missed opportunity: community solar

- California has failed to take advantage of mid-scale projects, i.e. community solar, which can lower per MW cost and create better jobs.
- Oregon has an important opportunity with SB 1547, which mandates PUC to write rules for community solar by July 1.



### Workforce training lessons learned in California for post-2020 period

- Incorporate contractor and workforce standards into program requirements for climate policies to help ensure well-paying jobs for workers and ensure quality workmanship.
- Where feasible, use community workforce agreements.
- Use existing training infrastructure, particularly certified apprenticeship programs.
- Create inclusion programs to create job pipelines for workers from minority, low-income and disadvantaged communities into apprenticeships.



## CA climate policy to 2020 and beyond: broad stakeholder support

- Free allowances for electric utilities (not generators), industrial facilities and natural gas distributors
- Free allocation amount declines over time
- Investor-owned utilities must consign their free allowances to be sold at auction; must use proceeds for ratepayer benefit
- Major corporations support renewal of cap and trade



#### Impact on Native American tribes

- Tribes benefit because they can qualify for selling carbon offset credits under the Cap and Trade program.
- Seven tribes in California and other states, including Oregon's Warm Springs Confederated Tribes, have sold credits under the program to preserve forestland.

