

Nikki E. Dobay Senior Tax Counsel (202) 484-5221 ndobay@cost.org

March 16, 2017

Oregon State Legislature House Committee on Revenue

Re: Comments Opposing H.B. 2019 and H.B. 2940, Corporate Tax Disclosure

Dear Chairman Barnhart and Members of the Committee:

On behalf of the Council On State Taxation (COST), I am writing in opposition to H.B. 2019 and H.B. 2940, both of which require publication of confidential taxpayer information. H.B. 2019 would require the Department of Revenue and Legislative Revenue Office to work together to rank and make public certain taxpayer information for C corporations that have apportionment factors of less than 100 percent and that claim a yet to be determined amount of tax expenditures, including but not limited to tax deductions, tax exclusions, tax subtractions, tax exemptions, tax deferrals, preferential tax rates, and tax credits.

H.B. 2940 would require the Department of Revenue and Legislative Revenue Office to work together to make public certain taxpayer information for the 25 "largest" corporate Oregon taxpayers based on the following categories: the taxpayer's Oregon sales; Oregon taxable income; total amount of corporate excise tax credits claimed under Oregon law; and Oregon tax liability. Because disclosure of such information will serve no public policy and is arbitrary and punitive in nature, COST respectfully urges this Committee to reject both H.B. 2019 and H.B. 2940.

COST is a nonprofit trade association consisting of approximately 600 multistate corporations engaged in interstate and international business. COST's objective is to preserve and promote equitable and nondiscriminatory state and local taxation of multijurisdictional business entities.

The COST Board of Directors has adopted a formal policy statement in opposition to disclosure and publication of confidential taxpayer information.¹ That policy statement position is:

Taxpayers have a justifiable expectation of privacy. State departments of revenue audit business taxpayers on a regular basis to ensure that all relevant tax laws are appropriately enforced; releasing specific business tax returns or information from those returns to the public would serve no policy purpose.

Officers, 2016-2017

Amy Thomas Laub Chair Nationwide Insurance Company

Arthur J. Parham, Jr. Vice Chair Entergy Services, Inc.

Robert J. Tuinstra, Jr. Secretary & Treasurer E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Company

Theodore H. Ghiz, Jr. Past Chair The Coca-Cola Company

John J. Pydyszewski Past Chair Johnson & Johnson

Bobby L. Burgner Past Chair General Electric Company

Robert F. Montellione Past Chair *Prudential Financial*

Douglas L. Lindholm President Council On State Taxation

Directors

Barbara Barton Weiszhaar HP Inc.

Deborah R. Bierbaum AT&T

C. Benjamin Bright HCA Holdings, Inc.

Paul A. Broman BP America Inc.

Michael F. Carchia Capital One Services, LLC

Tony J. Chirico Medtronic, Inc.

Susan Courson-Smith Pfizer Inc.

Meredith H. Garwood Charter Communications

Denise J. Helmken General Mills

Frank G. Julian Macy's Inc.

Beth Ann Kendzierski Apria Healthcare, Inc.

Kurt Lamp Amazon.Com

Mollie L. Miller Fresenius Medical Care North America

Rebecca J. Paulsen U.S. Bancorp

John H. Paraskevas Exxon Mobil Corporation

Frances B. Sewell NextEra Energy, Inc.

Warren D. Townsend Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Frank A. Yanover GE Capital Americas

¹ See COST Policy Statement:

http://www.cost.org/uploadedFiles/About_COST/Policy_Statement/ConfidentialityOfTaxpayerInform ation.pdf.

Council On State Taxation (COST) Comments re. H.B. 2019 and H.B. 2940, Corporate Tax Disclosure

COST has consistently warned against violating taxpayer confidentiality under the guise of transparency. H.B. 2019 and H.B. 2940 would make certain taxpayer return information public record, to the benefit of no one. Rather than inform the public policy debate, both H.B. 2019 and H.B. 2940 would mislead both legislators and the public into thinking that businesses do not pay substantial taxes, when in fact business pay substantial taxes, especially in areas other than the corporate income tax. The corporate income tax is the most volatile state revenue stream because of business cycles and intended tax code features. If the Legislature is concerned that certain classes of taxpayers are inappropriately taxed, it should ask the executive branch for aggregate information for that class of taxpayers. Showing that a specific taxpayer did not pay corporate income tax for a given year – because of loss carryovers, current year profitability, tax credits, or some other reason – is not going to help the policy debate. In fact, it will likely harm the debate, as the reason for the taxpayer's Oregon income tax liability will likely not be apparent or understood from the information disclosed.

Further, limiting disclosure to C corporations that have apportionment factors of less than 100 percent and that claim a certain amount of tax expenditures, as required by H.B. 2019, or to "the largest 25 taxpayers" in various random categories, as required by H.B. 2940, underscores the fruitless, punitive, and arbitrary nature of these proposals. Thus, COST respectfully requests the Committee to reject both H.B. 2019 and H.B. 2940.

Sincerely, Mikns my

Nikki E. Dobay

cc: COST Board of Directors Douglas L. Lindholm, COST President & Executive Director